Equilibrium in Solution

The chemical potential for molecules in solution is given by a formula that is very similar to that for ideal gases:

$$\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{c}_{\mathcal{A}}) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^{o}(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{p}) + R \mathcal{T} \ln \boldsymbol{c}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^{o}(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{p}) + R \mathcal{T} \ln [\mathcal{A}]$$

The precise definition of the standard chemical potential $\mu_A^o(T,p)$ is now more complicated; it is defined at a given pH, salt concentration, etc..., all solution properties that need to be defined in advance. We will not go through those and take it as a given that the standard state is appropriately defined.

Given a standard chemical potential $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^{o}(\mathcal{T}, p)$, then the analysis that we did for the ideal gas follows straight through and we find for a solution process

$$v_A A(g, T, p) + v_B B(g, T, p) = v_C C(g, T, p) + v_D D(g, T, p)$$

that following the ideal gas analysis in our previous lecture

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \left[v_{\mathcal{C}} \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^{\circ} \left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \right) + v_{\mathcal{D}} \mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{\circ} \left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \right) \right] - \left[v_{\mathcal{A}} \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ} \left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \right) + v_{\mathcal{B}} \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^{\circ} \left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \right) \right] + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \ln \left(\frac{\left[\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}} \right]^{v_{\mathcal{C}}} \left[\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}} \right]^{v_{\mathcal{D}}}}{\left[\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \right]^{v_{\mathcal{A}}} \left[\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}} \right]^{v_{\mathcal{B}}}} \right)$$

and the equilibrium constant K comes out through

$$\Delta G_{rxn}^{o} = -RT \ln K$$
, $K = e^{-\Delta G^{o}/RT}$

Where $K = Q_{eq} = \frac{\left[\mathcal{C}\right]^{v_c} \left[\mathcal{D}\right]^{v_b}}{\left[\mathcal{A}\right]^{v_A} \left[\mathcal{B}\right]^{v_B}}$ at equilibrium as before, and where the concentrations Q are equilibrium concentrations.

Temperature dependence of K (or K_p)

$$\ln K(T) = -\frac{\Delta G^{\circ}}{RT} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{d \ln K}{dT} = \frac{d}{dT} \left(-\frac{\Delta G^{\circ}}{RT} \right) = \frac{\Delta G^{\circ}}{RT^{2}} - \frac{1}{RT} \frac{d\Delta G^{\circ}}{dT}$$

But at <u>fixed pressure and/or solutions properties</u> (p = 1 bar, pH constant, etc..)

$$\frac{d\Delta G^{\circ}}{dT} = \left(\frac{\partial \Delta G^{\circ}}{\partial T}\right)_{1 \text{ bar,pH constant, etc...}}$$

and from fundamental equation

$$dG = -SdT + Vdp \implies \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial T}\right)_{p} = -S \implies \left(\frac{\partial \Delta G^{\circ}}{\partial T}\right)_{p} = -\Delta S^{\circ}(T)$$

$$\therefore \frac{d \ln K}{dT} = \frac{\Delta H^{\circ}(T) - T \Delta S^{\circ}(T)}{RT^{2}} + \frac{1}{RT} \Delta S^{\circ}(T)$$

$$\frac{d \ln K(T)}{dT} = \frac{\Delta H^{\circ}(T)}{RT^{2}}$$

Integrating:
$$ln K(T_2) = ln K(T_1) + \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \frac{\Delta \mathcal{H}^o(T)}{RT^2} dT$$

At constant p: $\Delta \mathcal{H}^{\circ}(T) = \Delta \mathcal{H}^{\circ}(T_1) + \Delta C_p(T - T_1)$

$$\ln K(T_2) = \ln K(T_1) + \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \frac{\Delta \mathcal{H}^{\circ}(T_1) + \Delta C_p(T - T_1)}{RT^2} dT$$

Over small T ranges, $\Delta C_p(T - T_1)$ can be <u>assumed small</u> and ΔH° independent of T.

$$\Rightarrow \left| \ln \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}_2) \approx \ln \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}_1) + \frac{\Delta \mathcal{H}^{\circ}}{\mathcal{R}} \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{T}_1} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{T}_2} \right) = \ln \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}_1) + \frac{\Delta \mathcal{H}^{\circ}}{\mathcal{R}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{T}_2 - \mathcal{T}_1}{\mathcal{T}_1 \mathcal{T}_2} \right) \right|$$

If
$$\Delta H^{\circ}(T) < 0$$
 (Exothermic) $T_2 > T_1$ means $K_{\rho}(T_2) < K_{\rho}(T_1)$
The equilibrium shifts toward reactants

If
$$\Delta H^{\circ}(T) > 0$$
 (Endothermic) $T_2 > T_1$ means $K_{\rho}(T_2) > K_{\rho}(T_1)$
The equilibrium shifts toward products

This is Le Chatelier's principle for Temperature

• Example: The Haber process

$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 N₂(g, T , p) + 3/2 H₂(g, T , p) = NH₃(g, T , p)
$$\Delta H_{rxn}^{o}(298 \text{ K}) = -46.21 \text{ kJ/mol}$$

$$\Delta G_{rxn}^{o}(298 \text{ K}) = -16.74 \text{ kJ/mol}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{p} = \frac{p_{\text{NH}_{3}}}{p_{\text{H}_{2}}^{3/2}p_{\text{N}_{2}}^{1/2}} = p^{-1}\frac{X_{\text{NH}_{3}}}{X_{\text{H}_{2}}^{3/2}X_{\text{N}_{2}}^{1/2}} = e^{\frac{16,740 \text{ J/mol}}{(8.314 \text{ J/K-mol})(298 \text{ K})}} = 860$$

For p=1 bar this is pretty good, lots of product. However, the reaction at room \mathcal{T} is <u>slow</u> (this is kinetics, not thermodynamics). Raising T to 800 K can speed it up. But since $\Delta \mathcal{H}^{\circ}(\mathcal{T}) < 0$ (exothermic), Le Chatelier tells us that the equilibrium will shift toward the reactants.

Indeed:
$$K_{p}(800 \text{ K}) = 0.007$$

What to do?
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Note above $K_{\chi} = p K_{\rho}$

Again use Le Chatelier, but with pressure! If we increase p, Eq. shifts toward products.

 \Rightarrow Run reaction at high T and high p

For p = 1 bar, T = 800 K, $K_p = 0.007$

$$K_X = \frac{X_{NH_3}}{X_{H_2}^{3/2} X_{N_2}^{1/2}} = (1) K_p = 0.007$$

But at p = 100 bar, $K_x = (100)K_p = 0.7$ much better!

Heterogeneous Equilibria

If a product or reactant is a <u>solid</u> or <u>liquid</u>, it will not appear in the ratio of partial p's for K_p or in the concentrations if the equilibrium is in solution. However, it must be used in ΔG .

Why? Take
$$v_A A(s) + v_B B(g) = v_C C(1) + v_D D(g)$$

The solid and liquid are not mixed - they are pure states.

$$\Delta \mathcal{G} = \left[v_{\mathcal{C}} \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{s}, \, \mathbf{pure}, \, \mathbf{p}) + v_{\mathcal{D}} \mu_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{g}, \, \mathbf{mix}, \, \mathbf{p}) \right] - \left[v_{\mathcal{A}} \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{I}, \, \mathbf{pure}, \, \mathbf{p}) + v_{\mathcal{B}} \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{g}, \, \mathbf{mix}, \, \mathbf{p}) \right]$$

And for (1) or (s) $\mu_c(\text{pure}, p) \approx \mu^o(\text{pure})$ (no p-dependence)

$$\Rightarrow \Delta \mathcal{G} = v_{\mathcal{C}} \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^{\circ} + v_{\mathcal{D}} \mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{\circ} - v_{\mathcal{A}} \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ} - v_{\mathcal{B}} \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^{\circ} + RT \ln \frac{p_{\mathcal{D}}^{v_{\mathcal{D}}}}{p_{\mathcal{B}}^{v_{\mathcal{B}}}} = \Delta \mathcal{G}^{\circ} + RT \ln Q$$

$$\therefore \qquad \mathcal{K}_p = \left[\frac{p_D^{v_D}}{p_B^{v_B}}\right]_{Eq.} \qquad \underline{\text{No A or C involved}}.$$

But we still have
$$\Delta G_{\text{rxn}}^{\circ} = v_{\mathcal{C}} \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^{\circ} + v_{\mathcal{D}} \mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{\circ} - v_{\mathcal{A}} \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ} - v_{\mathcal{B}} \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^{\circ}$$

and
$$\ln K_{p} = -\frac{\Delta G_{\text{rxn}}^{\circ}}{RT}$$

e.g. the decomposition of limestone

$$CaCO_3$$
 (s) = CaO (s) + CO_2 (g) T = 25°C

Calculate equilibrium vapor pressure at room \mathcal{T} and elevated \mathcal{T} . Data at 25°C:

Substance	$CaCO_3$ (s)	CaO (s)	CO ₂ (g)
μ° (kJ/mol)	-1128.8	-604.0	-394.36
$\Delta \bar{H}_{f}^{\circ}$ (kJ/mol)	-1206.9	-635.09	-393.51

At equilibrium,

$$\begin{split} &\Delta\mathcal{G} = \mu(\text{CaO}, \, \mathbf{s}) + \mu(\text{CO}_2, \, \mathbf{g}) - \mu(\text{CaCO}_3, \, \mathbf{s}) \\ &= \mu^{\circ}\left(\text{CaO}, \, \mathbf{s}\right) + \mu^{\circ}\left(\text{CO}_2, \, \mathbf{g}\right) + RT\ln p_{\text{CO}_2} - \mu^{\circ}\left(\text{CaCO}_3, \, \mathbf{s}\right) \\ &= \Delta\mathcal{G}^{\circ} + RT\ln K_p \qquad \text{where } K_p = p_{\text{CO}_2} \text{ (at eq.)} \end{split}$$

The equilibrium constant includes only the gas, but ΔG° includes the solids too.

$$\Delta G^{\circ}$$
 (kJ/mol) = -604.0 - 394.4 - (-1128.8) = 130.4 kJ/mol ΔH° (kJ/mol) = -635.1 - 393.5 - (-1206.9) = 178.3 kJ/mol

Equilibrium pressure:

$$\ln K_{p} = -\frac{\Delta \mathcal{G}^{\circ}}{RT} = -\frac{130,400 \text{ J/mol}}{\left(8.314 \text{ J/K-mol}\right)\left(298.15 \text{ K}\right)} = -52.50$$

$$K_{p} = 1.43 \times 10^{-23} \text{ bar}$$

Nothing there at room T! Try 1100 K:

$$\begin{split} \ln p_{cO_2} \left(1100 \text{ K}\right) &\approx \ln p_{cO_2} \left(298 \text{ K}\right) + \frac{\Delta \mathcal{H}^{\circ}}{\mathcal{R}} \left(\frac{1}{1100 \text{ K}} - \frac{1}{298 \text{ K}}\right) \\ &= -52.50 - \frac{178,300 \text{ J/mol}}{8.314 \text{ J/K-mol}} \left(\frac{1}{1100 \text{ K}} - \frac{1}{298 \text{ K}}\right) = 0.17 \\ p_{cO_2} \left(1100 \text{ K}\right) &\approx 0.84 \text{ bar} \end{split}$$

There's probably some change in $\Delta \overline{\mathcal{H}}_f^\circ$ over such a wide \mathcal{T} range, but clearly the equilibrium shifts dramatically.