

Q vs. q for distinguishable vs indistinguishable systems

Derivation of Thermodynamic Properties from Q:

U, S, A, μ , P

Examples

• Partition Functions for independent and distinguishable particles

We want to generalize for distinguishable and indistinguishable particles. Let's make it easier on ourselves by considering only independent subsystems, i.e., the particles do not interact. Then the energy of the whole system, written as,

$$E_j = \sum_i \epsilon_i + (\epsilon_{\text{interaction}})$$

can be simplified because the 2nd term ($\epsilon_{\text{interaction}}$) is 0.

This allows us to say:

$$Q_{\text{whole system}} = \prod_i q_i$$

1. Distinguishable particles (and independent)

A, B independent of each other, and labeled:

(A)

(B)

$$\epsilon_i^A$$

$i=1,2,\dots,a$

$$\epsilon_m^B$$

$m=1,2,\dots,b$

$$E_j = \epsilon_i^A + \epsilon_m^B$$

$$q_A = \sum_{i=1}^a \exp^{-\epsilon_i^A/kT} \quad \text{and} \quad q_B = \sum_{m=1}^b \exp^{-\epsilon_m^B/kT}$$

$$Q = \sum_{j=1}^t \exp^{-E_j/kT} = \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{m=1}^b \exp^{-(\epsilon_i^A + \epsilon_m^B)/kT} = \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{m=1}^b \exp^{-\epsilon_i^A/kT} \exp^{-\epsilon_m^B/kT}$$

Because the sums are independent of each other

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^a \exp^{-\epsilon_i^A/kT} \sum_{m=1}^b \exp^{-\epsilon_m^B/kT} = q_A q_B$$

Generalize for N independent and distinguishable particles:

$$Q = q^N$$

2. Indistinguishable particles (and independent)

Now: no A and B labels!

$$E_j = \epsilon_i^A + \epsilon_m^B \text{ where } i=1,2,\dots,t_1, m = 1,2,\dots,t_2.$$

$$Q = \sum_{j=1}^t \exp^{-E_j/kT} = \sum_{i=1}^{t_1} \sum_{m=1}^{t_2} \exp^{-\left(\epsilon_i^A + \epsilon_m^B\right)/kT}$$

Now: cannot factor out of sum due to indistinguishability: can't separate sums

WHY?

particle 1 $\epsilon_1=10$

particle 2 $\epsilon_1=167$

Can't be distinguished from the situation where

particle 1 $\epsilon_1=167$

particle 2 $\epsilon_1=10$

So overcounting is present. Divide by 2!

$$Q = \frac{q^2}{2!}$$

In general, for N particles, divide by N!

$$Q = \frac{q^N}{N!}$$

• Deriving Thermodynamic Properties using Q

All thermodynamic quantities can be calculated from the partition function

The Boltzmann factor and partition function are the two most important quantities for making statistical mechanical calculations. ***If we have a model for a material for which we can calculate the partition function, we know everything there is to know about the thermodynamics of that model.***

Now we will relate our favorite thermodynamic properties to q, the partition function. This is our link between the microscopic and macroscopic descriptions. Using the convenient dummy variable $\beta = 1/k_b T$ to simplify things.

Deriving Energy, U

$$\begin{aligned}\langle E \rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^t p_j E_j \\ &= \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{j=1}^t E_j e^{-\beta E_j}\end{aligned}$$

Use trick

$$\left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \beta} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \sum e^{-\beta E_j} = - \sum E_j e^{-\beta E_j}$$

so then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle E \rangle &= \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{j=1}^t E_j e^{-\beta E_j} \\ &= -\frac{1}{Q} \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \beta} \right) = - \left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \beta} \right)\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into $\langle E \rangle$

$$U = \langle E \rangle = - \left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial T} \right) \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \beta} \right)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial T} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{1}{kT} \right) = -\frac{1}{kT^2}$$

$$U = kT^2 \left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial T} \right)$$

Deriving S:

$$\frac{S}{k} = - \sum_j p_j \ln p_j$$

Use

$$p_j = \frac{e^{-E_j/kT}}{Q}$$

$$\frac{S}{k} = -\sum_j \left(\frac{1}{Q} e^{-E_j/kT} \right) \left(\ln \left(\frac{1}{Q} \right) - \frac{E_j}{kT} \right)$$

Split Σ up

$$\frac{S}{k} = -\sum_j \left(\frac{1}{Q} e^{-E_j/kT} \right) \ln \left(\frac{1}{Q} \right) + \sum_j \frac{1}{Q} e^{-E_j/kT} \left(\frac{E_j}{kT} \right)$$

1st term:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\sum_j \frac{1}{Q} e^{-E_j/kT} \ln \frac{1}{Q} \\ & = +\frac{1}{Q} \sum_j e^{-E_j/kT} \ln Q \\ & = \frac{1}{Q} \cdot Q \cdot \ln Q \\ & = \ln Q \end{aligned}$$

2nd term:

$$\sum_j \frac{1}{Q} e^{-E_j/kT} \left(\frac{E_j}{kT} \right) = \frac{1}{Q} \cdot \frac{1}{kT} \sum_j E_j e^{-E_j/kT}$$

Use

$$U = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_j E_j e^{-E_j/kT}$$

So 2nd term is

$$\sum_j \frac{1}{Q} e^{-E_j/kT} \left(\frac{E_j}{kT} \right) = \frac{1}{Q} \cdot \frac{1}{kT} \sum_j E_j e^{-E_j/kT} = \frac{U}{kT}$$

Combing both terms:

$$S = k \ln Q + \frac{U}{T}$$

We can do this for several other properties!

Helmholtz Free Energy, A

$$A = U - TS$$

$$= U - T \left(k \ln Q + \frac{U}{T} \right)$$

$$= -kT \ln Q$$

Chemical potential, μ

$$\mu = \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial N} \right)_{T,V}$$

$$\mu = -kT \left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial N} \right)_{T,V}$$

Pressure, P

$$P = - \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial V} \right)_{T,N}$$

$$P = kT \left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial V} \right)_{T,N}$$

Now have all the thermodynamic properties as a function of Q, the partition function. We can use these in a couple examples.

• **An Application Example: Visualizing the complex states of a DNA molecule**

Let's consider the unwinding of a superhelix of DNA as an example of using the Gibbs free energy to describe the population of states.

Closed superhelical DNA can be 'unwound' by treatment with DNase, which 'nicks' the DNA. The break in one chain allows the double helix to twist relative to its axis and relax the supercoiling in response to thermal fluctuations. The DNA can be 'healed' by treatment with ligase to seal the nick. When nicked, the DNA will achieve an equilibrium where some of the DNA is completely unwound, some has one right-handed twist, some has one left-handed twist, some has two right-handed twists, and so on. When the ligase is added to 'freeze' the fluctuating DNA by fixing the nick, the collection of DNA molecules is captured in an equilibrium distribution of different configurations. We can use the connection between the probability of configurations and the free energy to predict this distribution. (Eisenberg and Crothers)

Image removed due to copyright reasons.

Please see:

Figure 14-10 in Eisenberg, David S., and Donald M. Crothers. *Physical chemistry: with applications to the life sciences*. Menlo Park, CA: Benjamin/Cummings, 1979. ISBN: 080532402X.

Lecture 15

5.60/20.110/2.772

The 'frozen' collection of DNA molecules with different degrees of superhelicity can be separated by gel electrophoresis to allow analysis of the relative concentrations of each species:

Image removed due to copyright reasons.

Please see:

Figure 14-11(a) in Eisenberg, David S., and Donald M. Crothers. *Physical chemistry: with applications to the life sciences*. Menlo Park, CA: Benjamin/Cummings, 1979. ISBN: 080532402X.

The gel electrophoresis chart shows a clear separation of unique DNA species, occurring at different concentrations as a function of their superhelicity. (The y-axis represents concentration, while the x-axis represents distance along the gel.) The peaks have been denoted with values of ϵ , measuring the number of superhelical twists in the DNA present in each peak: ϵ = relaxed circular DNA, $\epsilon+1$ = one left-handed superhelical twist, $\epsilon-1$ = one right-handed superhelical twist, etc.

How can we predict the relative concentrations observed above? As with all statistical mechanics calculations, we start with a model: Here, we want a model for how the free energy varies with twist in the DNA superhelix.

We will start from a very simple model for the twisting energy of the DNA coil (...and show that it correctly predicts the observed distribution of twists). We are all familiar with the simple linear function known as Hooke's law which describes the relationship between the restoring force on a spring and the displacement of the spring: $F = -kx$, where k is the spring constant. Twisting DNA is not a simple spring, but can be thought of as a torsional spring- a coil with a restoring force when a torque is applied. To remind you, a torque (T) results when a force acts in a radial manner through an axis r :

$$T = \bar{F} \times \bar{r}$$

Both the force \bar{F} and radius \bar{r} are vectors. Analogous to the simple linear spring, a torsional spring feels a torque which is linear to the applied twist:

$$T_{torsional_spring} = -k_T \theta$$

Here k_T is a torsional spring constant and θ is the angle of the twist. If we assume the spring can only undergo integral numbers of twists, then we could rewrite this as:

$$T_{torsional_spring} = -k_T \theta_{twist} \tau$$

Where θ_{twist} is simply the angle for one twist of the spring, and τ is the total number of twists ($\theta = \theta_{twist} \tau$). Just as the force on a linear spring is related to a change in potential energy $F = -kx = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}$, we can relate the torque on our DNA torsional spring to a change in its free energy:

$$T_{\text{torsional_spring}} = -k_T \theta_{\text{twist}} \tau = -\frac{\partial G}{\partial \tau}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial G}{\partial \tau} = k_T \theta_{\text{twist}} \tau = B \tau$$

$$G = \frac{B}{2} \tau^2$$

In the equation above, we combine the constants into one stiffness parameter B ($B = k_T \theta_{\text{twist}}$) to simplify the expression. We are using free energy rather than mechanical potential energy here because this molecular system (the twisting DNA coil) has internal degrees of freedom (e.g., bonds among the DNA strands) that could also be affected by supercoiling.

If we ask what is the free energy of one particular DNA molecule i that has some number of twists τ_i , we have:

$$G_i = \frac{B \tau_i^2}{2}$$

τ is the number of superhelical turns; negative for right-hand turns, positive for left-hand turns.

Using our link between the free energy and the probability of observing a state with a particular energy we have for the twisting DNA:

$$P_i = \frac{e^{-\frac{B \tau_i^2}{2RT}}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\text{all energies}} e^{-\frac{B \tau_n^2}{2RT}}}$$

To relate this to our measured quantity (concentration of species i , proportional to the peak in our gel electrophoresis experiment), we simply recognize:

$$c_i = c_o P_i$$

Where c_o is the total concentration of DNA. The presence of the squared term in the exponent means this distribution has a Gaussian shape (the same result we discussed last lecture- except for this simple model, the entire probability distribution is Gaussian, not just near the peak of the distribution). Fitting the measured concentration data with a Gaussian curve, we find the theory predicts the observed distribution of superhelices very well:

Lecture 15

5.60/20.110/2.772

Images removed due to copyright reasons.

Please see:

Figure 14-11 in Eisenberg, David S., and Donald M. Crothers. *Physical chemistry: with applications to the life sciences*. Menlo Park, CA: Benjamin/Cummings, 1979. ISBN: 080532402X.