## 14.126 Game Theory Final Exam

You have 24 hours from the time you pick up the exam (i.e. you need to return your solutions by the same time next day). You can use any existing written source, but you cannot discuss the content of this exam with others. Questions are equally weighted. Good Luck.

1. Consider two players bargaining about how to divide a dollar, which they can consume only when they reach an agreement. At each t, with probability  $p_{t,i}$  player i becomes proposer. Proposer offers a division  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  with  $x_1 + x_2 \le 1$ , and the other accepts or rejects it. If the offer is accepted, the game ends with payoff vector  $\delta^t x$ . Otherwise, they proceed to the next date. If they never agree, each gets zero. Show that in any subgame-perfect equilibrium, the expected payoff of player i is

$$V_{0,i} = (1 - \delta) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^t p_{t,i};$$

i.e. all SPE are payoff equivalent. Briefly interpret the equation. [You can make any independence assumption that you find helpful. If you find a SPE with above payoffs and show that it is indeed SPE, you will get half of the points. Try that only if you can't solve the original problem.]

2. Consider a two-player, infinitely repeated game in which players maximize average discounted value of stage payoffs with discount factor  $\delta \in (0,1)$ . At each date t, simultaneously each player i invests  $x_{i,t} \in \{0,1\}$  in a public good,  $y_t \in \{0,1\}$ , where

$$\Pr(y_t = 1 | x_{1,t}, x_{2,t}) = \begin{cases} 2/3 & \text{if } x_1 + x_2 = 2\\ 1/2 & \text{if } x_1 + x_2 = 1\\ r & \text{if } x_1 + x_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

with  $r \in (1/3, 5/12)$ . The stage payoff of player i is  $4y_t - x_{i,t}$ .

- (a) Assuming that all the previous moves are publicly observable, compute the most efficient symmetric subgame-perfect equilibrium (for each  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ).
- (b) Assume the previous levels of public goods (i.e.,  $y_s$  with s < t) are publicly observable but individual investments are not. Find the range of  $\delta$  under which grim trigger strategy profile is a public perfect equilibrium (Grim trigger: select  $x_{1,t} = x_{2,t} = 0$  if y has ever been 0 and  $x_{1,t} = x_{2,t} = 1$  otherwise.) Compute the expected payoff as  $\delta \to 1$ .
- (c) In part (b), find the range of  $\delta$  under which the following is a public perfect equilibrium: start with  $x_{1,0} = x_{2,0} = 1$ , and for any t > 0, select  $x_{1,t} = x_{2,t} = y_{t-1}$ . Compute the expected payoff as  $\delta \to 1$ .
- (d) In comparing your answers to parts (a-c), discuss how the structure of efficient public perfect equilibria varies under perfect and imperfect public monitoring.

3. Consider the differentiated Bertrand duopoly. Each firm i has a cost  $c_i$ , and the firms set the prices  $p_1 \in [c_1, \bar{p}]$  and  $p_2 \in [c_2, \bar{p}]$  simultaneously, where  $\bar{p}$  is a large number. The demand for the product of firm i is  $D_i(p_1, p_2, \theta)$  where  $\theta$  is a commonly known demand parameter,  $D_i$  is continuous, supermodular, decreasing in the price  $p_i$  of firm's own price, and increasing in the other firm's price and  $\theta$ . The profit of firm i is

$$D_i(p_1, p_2, \theta)(p_i - c_i)$$
.

[You can make any convenient differentiability assumption.]

- (a) Assuming that  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  common knowledge, show that there exist pure strategy Nash equilibria  $\left(\underline{p}_1,\underline{p}_2\right)$  and  $(\bar{p}_1,\bar{p}_2)$  such that for each rationalizable strategy  $p_i$ ,  $\underline{p}_i \leq p_i \leq \bar{p}_i$ .
- (b) In part (a), show that  $\underline{p}_i$  and  $\overline{p}_i$  are weakly increasing in  $\theta$ .
- (c) Assume that for each i,  $c_i$  is a privately known by i and it is a rational number in  $[0, \bar{p}]$ . Under this assumption, state analogous results to the ones in parts (a) and (b), and prove them. [Hint: since  $c_i$  is restricted to be rational, you don't need to worry about completeness.]
- 4. Modify the stage game in question 2, defining

$$\Pr(y = 1 | x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } x_1 + x_2 = 2\\ q & \text{if } x_1 + x_2 = 1\\ r & \text{if } x_1 + x_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

where p > q > r and p - q > q - r, and taking the payoff of player i to be  $\theta y - x_i$ . The unknown parameter  $\theta$  is exponentially distributed with parameter  $\lambda > 0$ . Each player i observes a signal  $s_i = \theta + \varepsilon \eta_i$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a real number and  $\eta_i$  is uniformly distributed on [-1, 1]. Assume that  $(\theta, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  are independent. Show that there exists  $\overline{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \overline{\varepsilon})$ , the game is "dominance solvable", and compute the "unique" equilibrium strategy.

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

14.126 Game Theory Spring 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.