

Problem Set #2

## 1 Optimism and Pessimism of PT Maximizers

Tim owns a house in the Boston area. His company offered him a job in Europe that he accepted. In consequence, he decided to sell the house. He does not have much time, thus he just plan to post a take-it-or-leave-it offer at price  $x$ . For any price  $x \in [1; 2]$  in millions of dollars, Tim assesses the probability  $q$  of closing the deal to equal

$$q = 2 - x$$

If he doesn't find a buyer, he can always sell it to a friend for \$1million.

Tim is a Prospect Theory maximizer and he integrates over different accounts (house and money). In particular, he values any two-outcome distribution of changes to his reference point, say  $s$  with probability  $p$  and  $t$  with probability  $1 - p$  at

$$V = v(t) + (v(s) - v(t))p$$

whenever  $s > t \geq 0$  or  $s < t \leq 0$ . Here

$$v(z) = |z|^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ if } z \geq 0 \text{ and } v(z) = -2|z|^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ if } z < 0$$

Tim's reference point already includes all the changes required by the move to Europe other than the sale of the house.

1. [6 points] Assume that Tim is a pessimist and his reference point is based on presumption that he sells the house for \$1million. Thus, he will see it as a gain of  $x - 1$  if he obtains  $x$  higher than that. What price  $x$  would Tim ask for?
2. [6 points] Now, assume that Tim is an optimist and his reference point is based on presumption that he sells the house for \$2million. Thus, he will see any price  $x$  below this as a loss of  $2 - x$ . What price  $x$  would Tim ask for?
3. [5 points] Is there a difference between prices in questions 1 and 2? If not, try to explain why not. If yes, tell which one is higher and explain intuitively why the prices are different.

## 2 Lucas Calculation

Remind from class the Lucas discussion of the loss of welfare due to the business cycle. The welfare is

$$V = Eu(c + \varepsilon_t)$$

where  $c$  is an average consumption, and  $\varepsilon_t$  is the random cyclic element equal to  $-\sigma c$  with probability  $1/2$  and  $+\sigma c$  with probability  $1/2$ . We measure the welfare loss associated with the business cycle  $\varepsilon_t$  by the fraction  $\Lambda$  of consumption that people would accept to give up in order to avoid consumption variability. This means that Lucas'  $\Lambda$  solves

$$V = Eu(c + \varepsilon_t) = u((1 - \Lambda)c)$$

1. [6 points] Assume that the agent is an EU maximizer with

$$u(c_t) = \frac{c_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

for some positive  $\gamma \neq 1$ . Show that for small  $\sigma$ ,

$$\Lambda \simeq \frac{\gamma}{2}\sigma^2$$

2. Now, assume that the agent is a Prospect Theory maximizer with reference point at  $c$ , and

$$v(c_t) = \frac{(c_t - c)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \text{ if } c_t \geq c \text{ and } v(c_t) = -\lambda \frac{|c_t - c|^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \text{ if } c_t < c$$

for  $\lambda > 1$  and some positive  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ . Let us modify the definition of Lucas' welfare loss  $\Lambda^{PT}$  so that agents are indifferent between Prospect A:  $c_t = c + \varepsilon_t$ ,  $\varepsilon_t = \pm\sigma c$  as above, and Prospect B, a constant  $c_t = (1 - \Lambda)c$ .

- (a) [3 points] Calculate the PT value  $V^{PT}(A)$  of prospect A  
 (b) [3 points] Calculate the PT value  $V^{PT}(B)$  of prospect B  
 (c) [3 points] Calculate the value of  $\Lambda^{PT}$  that makes PT agents indifferent between A and B. Show it follows "first order risk aversion" for small  $\sigma$ .  
 (d) [3 points] For small  $\sigma$ , which is bigger,  $\Lambda^{EU}$  or  $\Lambda^{PT}$ ?
3. [extra points – harder] . Suppose that the agent consumes over many periods  $c_t = c + \varepsilon_t$ ,  $\varepsilon_t$  independent and identically distributed, distribution as above. So his EU utility is:

$$V^{EU} = E \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{c_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \right]$$

Units for  $t$  are months.

- (a) [2 points] Write down the equivalent PT value, for a 1 month frame.

- (b) [2 points] Write down the equivalent PT value, for a “2 month frame” in which consumptions are put in lumps of 2 months. This is, the agents consider prospects of  $(c_t + c_{t+1}), (c_{t+2} + c_{t+3}), \dots$ . We assume that  $T$  is even. The reference point for those 2 month frames is  $2c$ .
- (c) [4 points] Which frame makes PT agents better off, the 1 month frame or the 2 month frame? You can just give an intuition for the answer, or (better) a derivation.

### 3 Heuristics and biases

[17 points] Choose one among the heuristics and biases discussed in class and provide a real life example when it is *important*. Describe the situation, the bias and analyze the consequences of the bias in the situation you present.