

# 14.451 Lecture Notes 4

Guido Lorenzoni

Fall 2009

## 1 Continuity of the policy function

We are in the case of bounded returns and we are assuming strict concavity of  $F$  and convexity of  $\Gamma$ .

We proved that  $V$  is strictly concave. This has one important implication about the policy:

The policy correspondence  $G(x)$  is single valued, i.e., it is a function. We will use  $g(x)$  to denote it.

To prove that  $G(x)$  is single-valued we use (1) the thm of the maximum, which shows that  $G$  is u.h.c. and (2) the fact that for single-valued correspondences u.h.c.  $\rightarrow$  continuity.

1. It is useful to recall steps from thm of the maximum. To apply that theorem we only use the facts that (a)  $F(x, y) + \beta V(y)$  is continuous (by Thm 4.6) and (b)  $\Gamma(x)$  is continuous (by assumption).

Then if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $y_n \in G(x_n)$  we want to find a convergent subsequence with  $y_{n_k} \rightarrow y \in G(x)$ . Since  $X$  is compact, a convergent subsequence  $\{y_{n_k}\}$  must exist. Take any  $y' \in \Gamma(x)$ . Then since  $\Gamma$  is l.h.c. there must be a sequence  $\{y'_{n_k}\}_{k=K}^{\infty} \rightarrow y$  with  $y_{n_k} \in \Gamma(x_{n_k})$ . But then

$$F(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) + \beta V(y_{n_k}) \geq F(x_{n_k}, y'_{n_k}) + \beta V(y'_{n_k}) \text{ for all } k \geq K$$

and taking lims we have

$$F(x, y) + \beta V(y) \geq F(x, y') + \beta V(y')$$

since this is true for all  $y'$  we have  $y \in G(x)$ .

2. Next we want to show that u.h.c. and single valued yield continuity. Suppose  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $\|y_{n_k} - y\| > \delta$  for all  $k$  for some  $\delta > 0$  for some subsequence  $\{y_{n_k}\}$ . But then take the sequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$ . By (1) there must be a subsequence of  $\{y_{n_k}\}$  that converges to some  $y' \in G(x)$ . Since  $G$  is single-valued we must have  $y' = y$  and since  $\|y_{n_k} - y\| > \delta$  for all  $k$  we have a contradiction.

## 2 Differentiability of the value function

To characterize the optimum sometimes it is useful to look at the first order condition of the problem in FE:

$$F_y(x, y) + \beta V'(y) = 0.$$

Clearly to do so we need  $F$  to be differentiable (in its second argument), but we also need  $V$  to be differentiable. What do we know about the differentiability of  $V$ ?

### Example

Simple finite horizon problem (really 1 period!):

$$V(x) = \max_{y \in [0,1]} y^2 - xy$$

where the initial state is  $x \geq 0$ .

If  $x \leq 1$  we have  $V(x) = 1 - x$  if  $x > 1$   $V(x) = 0$ .

Value function is not differentiable at  $x = 1$ , why? Lack of concavity  $\rightarrow$  discontinuity in the policy function.

So we hope that concavity can give us continuity of the policy can also give us differentiability.

**Fact 1.** If a function  $f : X \rightarrow R$  is concave (with  $X$  convex subset of  $R^n$ ) the function  $f$  admits a subgradient  $p \in R^n$ , i.e. a  $p$  such that

$$f(x) - f(x_0) \leq p \cdot (x - x_0) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Notice:

- This fact is true whether or not  $f$  is differentiable.
- If  $f$  is differentiable then  $p$  is unique and is the gradient of  $f$  at  $x_0$ .

The converse of the second point is also true:

**Fact 2.** If  $f$  is concave and has a unique subgradient, then  $f$  is differentiable.

We can now prove differentiability of  $V$

**Theorem 1** Suppose  $F$  is differentiable in  $x$  and the value function is concave,  $x_0 \in \text{int}X$  and  $y_0 = g(x_0) \in \text{int}\Gamma(x_0)$ , then  $V$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  with

$$\nabla V(x_0) = \nabla F_x(x_0, y_0)$$

**Proof.** The idea is to find a concave function  $W(x)$  that is a lower approximation for  $V(x)$  in a neighborhood of  $x_0$  and that is differentiable. Let us use

$$W(x) = F(x, y_0) + \beta V(y_0)$$

Given continuity of  $\Gamma$  and the fact that  $y_0 \in \text{int}\Gamma(x_0)$ , we can find a neighborhood  $D$  of  $x_0$  such that  $y_0 \in \Gamma(x)$  for all  $x \in D$ . Then

$$W(x) \leq V(x) \text{ for all } x \in D$$

and

$$W(x_0) \leq V(x_0).$$

Since  $V$  is concave it has a subgradient  $p$  and we have the chain of inequalities

$$W(x) - W(x_0) \leq V(x) - V(x_0) \leq p \cdot (x - x_0) \text{ for all } x \in D.$$

Since  $W$  is differentiable in  $x$  it must be

$$p = \nabla W(x_0)$$

so the subgradient is unique, and, by fact 2,  $V$  is differentiable. ■

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

14.451 Dynamic Optimization Methods with Applications  
Fall 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.