

# 14.462

## Problem Set 2

### Problem 1

In this problem you will replicate Figures on pages 12 and 14 of the lecture notes (demand shocks, part I). Consider a stochastic growth model with preferences and technology given by

$$\begin{aligned}U(C_t, N_t) &= \frac{1}{1-\sigma} C_t^{1-\sigma} - \frac{1}{1+\eta} N_t^{1+\eta}, \\A_t F(K_{t-1}, N_t) &= A_t K_{t-1}^\alpha N_t^{1-\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

The process for  $A_t$  is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}A_t &= e^{a_t}, \\a_t &= \rho a_{t-1} + \epsilon_t.\end{aligned}$$

Use parameters

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= 0.99, \quad \delta = 0.025, \\ \eta &= 1, \quad \sigma = 1, \\ \alpha &= 0.36, \quad \rho = 0.95.\end{aligned}$$

You can use the Matlab package Dynare (<http://www.ceprenap.cnrs.fr/dynare/>).

- (i) Setup the planner problem and derive the first order conditions.
- (ii) Derive impulse response functions for  $a, i, c, y, n$  for the model above.
- (iii) Replace the technology process with

$$a_t = \rho a_{t-1} + \epsilon_{t-3}.$$

Derive impulse response functions for  $a, i, c, y, n$  for the new model.

(iv) Try to change the elasticity of intertemporal substitution  $\sigma$  and see how it affects equilibrium dynamics.

- (v) (OPTIONAL) Introduce quadratic adjustment costs in labor inputs:

$$G(N_{t+1}, N_t) = \frac{\xi}{2} \left( \frac{N_{t+1} - N_t}{N_t} \right)^2.$$

Characterize the equilibrium dynamics for different values of  $\xi$ .

## Problem 2

Consider an economy where productivity follows the process

$$x_t - x_{t-1} = \rho(x_{t-1} - x_{t-2}) + \epsilon_t.$$

Agents observe all past values  $\{x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \dots\}$  and a signal regarding the current shock

$$s_t = \epsilon_t + e_t.$$

Suppose consumers follow the forward-looking rule

$$c_t = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j x_{t+j} \mid \mathcal{J}_t \right],$$

where  $\mathcal{J}_t$  is the consumers' information set.

(i) Derive equilibrium consumption dynamics in terms of the shocks  $\epsilon_t$  and  $e_t$ .

(ii) Suppose the econometrician information set at time  $t$ ,  $\mathcal{J}_t^E$ , is given by  $\{x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \dots\}$  and  $\{c_t, c_{t-1}, \dots\}$ . Write down a VAR representation for the joint behavior of  $x_{t-1}$  and  $c_t$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_t \\ x_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \begin{pmatrix} c_{t-j} \\ x_{t-1-j} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{1,t} \\ \eta_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(Hint: careful when defining the innovation to the  $x_{t-1}$  equation, notice that  $E[\epsilon_t | \mathcal{J}_t^E] \neq 0$ ). Argue that the econometrician can identify  $s_t$  but cannot separately identify  $\epsilon_t$  and  $e_t$  from  $(\eta_{1,t}, \eta_{2,t})$ .

(iv) Suppose now the econometrician information set is  $\{x_t, x_{t-1}, \dots\}$  and  $\{c_t, c_{t-1}, \dots\}$ . Write down a VAR representation for the joint behavior of  $x_t$  and  $c_t$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_t \\ x_t \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \begin{pmatrix} c_{t-j} \\ x_{t-j} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{1,t} \\ \eta_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Discuss how an econometrician can impose identifying restrictions to estimate and recover the shocks  $e_t$  and  $\epsilon_t$  from the innovations  $(\eta_{1,t}, \eta_{2,t})$ .

## Problem 3

Consider an economy populated by a continuum of households  $[0, 1]$  located on different islands.

Each household has an endowment  $\bar{x} = 1$  of gold. Each household is made of a consumer and a producer. At the beginning of the day the producer sets the price  $p_i$ . Then the consumer  $i$  travels to an island  $j$ , randomly assigned. Then the preference shock  $\alpha_i$  is realized. The consumer observes  $\alpha_i$  and buys  $c_i$  units of the good produced in island  $j$ . At the same time the producer is selling  $y_i$

to some other consumer. Then the consumer returns home and consumes the gold:

$$x_i = \bar{x} - p_j c_i + p_i y_i.$$

The central imperfection is that agents do not observe  $y_i$  (sales) at the time of making the purchases  $c_i$ .

Preferences are as follows

$$E[u(c_i, \alpha_i) + w(x_i) - v(n_i)]$$

where  $c_i$  is consumption,  $x_i$

$$\begin{aligned} u(c_i, \alpha_i) &= \alpha_i c_i - \frac{1}{2} c_i^2 \\ w(x_i) &= x_i - \frac{1}{2} x_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

and  $v(n_i)$  is a convex function.

The production function in each island is linear and given by:

$$y_i = n_i.$$

For simplicity, let  $c_i, x_i$  and  $n_i$  vary in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ , and disregard all non-negativity constraints.

The preference shocks are generated by:

$$\alpha_i = \alpha + \epsilon_i$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\epsilon_i$  are independent gaussian random variables with mean zero and variances  $\sigma_\alpha^2$  and  $\sigma_\epsilon^2$  and  $\int \epsilon_i di = 1$ .

Consider a symmetric equilibrium where  $p_i = p$ . For the purpose of this exercise we will fix  $p$  (i.e. disregard the optimality condition for prices at the beginning of the period).

(i) Write down the consumer first order condition and derive the optimal choice of  $c_i$  as a function of  $\alpha_i$  and  $E_i[y_i]$ .

(ii) Show that  $p$  determines the degree of strategic complementarity in spending. Comment.

(iii) Find the equilibrium output  $y$  in the case of perfect information.

(iv) Go back to the case where agents only observe  $\alpha_i$ . Find a linear equilibrium of the type

$$c = \psi \alpha$$

and show that –for a given value of  $p$ –  $\psi$  is larger for larger values of  $\frac{\sigma_\alpha^2}{\sigma_\epsilon^2}$ .

Consider the case where agents can observe both  $\alpha_i$  and a public signal of the preference shock

$$s = \alpha + e$$

where  $e$  is gaussian, independent of  $\alpha$  and  $\epsilon_i$ , with mean zero and variance  $\sigma_e^2$ .

(v) Characterize an equilibrium of the type

$$c = \psi_a \alpha + \psi_s s$$

(Please use the notation:  $E[\alpha|\alpha_i, s] = \beta_\alpha \alpha_i + \beta_s s$ )

(vi) Show that –for a given value of  $p$ – the economy is very responsive to the public signal shock  $e$  when  $\beta_s$  is large and  $\beta_\alpha$  is small.

(vii) Comment on the welfare implications, is the presence of the signal  $s$  always desirable?

## Problem 4

Consider the version of the Lucas (1972) model derived in class.

(i) Derive an expression for the constant  $\xi$  or (which is the same) for the average price level  $\bar{p}$ . (Hint: you can take unconditional expectations on both sides of the labor supply equation to get

$$E[N_{i,t}] = E\left[\frac{P_{i,t}}{P_{j,t+1}}(1 + x_{t+1})\right],$$

substitute the equilibrium prices...)

(ii) Study the effect of changing  $\sigma_e^2$  on average labor supply and average output, interpret.

(iii) (OPTIONAL) Consider a planner who uses a utilitarian welfare function (i.e. who maximizes  $E\left[\int C_{i,t} di - \frac{1}{2} \int N_{j,t}^2 dj\right]$  each period). What is the level of  $\sigma_e^2$  that maximizes welfare?