

# 14.581 International Trade

## — Lecture 4: Assignment Models —

# Today's Plan

- 1 Overview
- 2 Log-supermodularity
- 3 Comparative advantage based assignment models
- 4 Cross-sectional predictions
- 5 Comparative static predictions

# 1. Overview

# Assignment Models in the Trade Literature

- Small but rapidly growing literature using assignment models in an international context:
  - Trade: Grossman Maggi (2000), Grossman (2004), Yeaple (2005), Ohnsorge Trefler (2007), Costinot (2009), Costinot Vogel (2010), Sampson (2012)
  - Offshoring: Kremer Maskin (2003), Antras Garicano Rossi-Hansberg (2006), Nocke Yeaple (2008), Costinot Vogel Wang (2011)
- **What do these models have in common?**
  - Factor allocation can be summarized by an assignment function
  - Large number of factors and/or goods
- **What is the main difference between these models?**
  - *Matching*: Two sides of each match in finite supply (as in Becker 1973)
  - *Sorting*: One side of each match in infinite supply (as in Roy 1951)

- I will restrict myself to sorting models, e.g. Ohnsorge and Trefler (2007), Costinot (2009), and Costinot and Vogel (2010)
- **Objectives:**
  - 1 Describe how these models relate to “standard” neoclassical models
  - 2 Introduce simple tools from the mathematics of complementarity
  - 3 Use tools to derive cross-sectional and comparative static predictions
- This is very much a methodological lecture. If you are interested in more specific applications, read the papers...

## 2. Log-Supermodularity

# Log-supermodularity

## Definition

- **Definition 1** A function  $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is log-supermodular if for all  $x, x' \in X$ ,  $g(\max(x, x')) \cdot g(\min(x, x')) \geq g(x) \cdot g(x')$

- **Bivariate example:**

- If  $g: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is log-spm, then  $x'_1 \geq x''_1$  and  $x'_2 \geq x''_2$  imply

$$g(x'_1, x'_2) \cdot g(x''_1, x''_2) \geq g(x'_1, x''_2) \cdot g(x''_1, x'_2).$$

- If  $g$  is strictly positive, this can be rearranged as

$$g(x'_1, x'_2) / g(x''_1, x'_2) \geq g(x'_1, x''_2) / g(x''_1, x''_2).$$

# Log-supermodularity

## Results

- **Lemma 1.**  $g, h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  *log-spm*  $\Rightarrow gh$  *log-spm*
- **Lemma 2.**  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  *log-spm*  $\Rightarrow G(x_{-i}) = \int_{X_i} g(x) dx_i$  *log-spm*
- **Lemma 3.**  $g : T \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  *log-spm*  $\Rightarrow x^*(t) \equiv \arg \max_{x \in X} g(t, x)$  *increasing in t*

### 3. Sorting Models

- Consider a world economy with:
  - 1 Multiple countries with characteristics  $\gamma \in \Gamma$
  - 2 Multiple goods or sectors with characteristics  $\sigma \in \Sigma$
  - 3 Multiple factors of production with characteristics  $\omega \in \Omega$
- Factors are immobile across countries, perfectly mobile across sectors
- Goods are freely traded at world price  $p(\sigma) > 0$

- Within each sector, factors of production are perfect substitutes

$$Q(\sigma, \gamma) = \int_{\Omega} A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) L(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) d\omega,$$

- $A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) \geq 0$  is productivity of  $\omega$ -factor in  $\sigma$ -sector and  $\gamma$ -country
- **A1**  $A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma)$  is *log-supermodular*
- A1 implies, in particular, that:
  - 1 High- $\gamma$  countries have a comparative advantage in high- $\sigma$  sectors
  - 2 High- $\omega$  factors have a comparative advantage in high- $\sigma$  sectors

- $V(\omega, \gamma) \geq 0$  is inelastic supply of  $\omega$ -factor in  $\gamma$ -country
- **A2**  $V(\omega, \gamma)$  is *log-supermodular*
- A2 implies that:  
High- $\gamma$  countries are relatively more abundant in high- $\omega$  factors
- Preferences will be described later on when we do comparative statics

## 4. Cross-Sectional Predictions

## 4.1 Competitive Equilibrium

- We take the price schedule  $p(\sigma)$  as given [small open economy]
- In a competitive equilibrium,  $L$  and  $w$  must be such that:
  - 1 Firms maximize profit

$$\begin{aligned} p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) - w(\omega, \gamma) &\leq 0, \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega \\ p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) - w(\omega, \gamma) &= 0, \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega \text{ s.t. } L(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

- 2 Factor markets clear

$$V(\omega, \gamma) = \int_{\sigma \in \Sigma} L(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) d\sigma, \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega$$

## 4.2 Patterns of Specialization

### Predictions

- Let  $\Sigma(\omega, \gamma) \equiv \{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid L(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) > 0\}$  be the set of sectors in which factor  $\omega$  is employed in country  $\gamma$
- **Theorem**  $\Sigma(\cdot, \cdot)$  is increasing
- **Proof:**
  - 1 Profit maximization  $\Rightarrow \Sigma(\omega, \gamma) = \arg \max_{\sigma \in \Sigma} p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma)$
  - 2 A1  $\Rightarrow p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma)$  log-spm by Lemma 1
  - 3  $p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma)$  log-spm  $\Rightarrow \Sigma(\cdot, \cdot)$  increasing by Lemma 3
- **Corollary** High- $\omega$  factors specialize in high- $\sigma$  sectors
- **Corollary** High- $\gamma$  countries specialize in high- $\sigma$  sectors

## 4.2 Patterns of Specialization

### Relation to the Ricardian literature

- Ricardian model  $\equiv$  Special case w/  $A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) \equiv A(\sigma, \gamma)$
- Previous corollary can help explain:
  - 1 **Multi-country-multi-sector Ricardian model;** Jones (1961)
    - According to Jones (1961), efficient assignment of countries to goods solves  $\max \sum \ln A(\sigma, \gamma)$
    - According to Corollary,  $A(\sigma, \gamma)$  log-spm implies PAM of countries to goods; Becker (1973), Kremer (1993), Legros and Newman (1996).
  - 2 **Institutions and Trade;** Acemoglu Antras Helpman (2007), Costinot (2006), Cuñat Melitz (2006), Levchenko (2007), Matsuyama (2005), Nunn (2007), and Vogel (2007)
    - Papers vary in terms of source of "institutional dependence"  $\sigma$  and "institutional quality"  $\gamma$
    - ...but same fundamental objective: providing micro-theoretical foundations for the log-supermodularity of  $A(\sigma, \gamma)$

## 4.3 Aggregate Output, Revenues, and Employment

- Previous results are about the set of goods that each country produces
- **Question:** *Can we say something about how much each country produces? Or how much it employs in each particular sector?*
- **Answer:** *Without further assumptions, the answer is no*

## 4.3 Aggregate Output, Revenues, and Employment

### Additional assumptions

- **A3.** *The profit-maximizing allocation  $L$  is unique*
- **A4.** *Factor productivity satisfies  $A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) \equiv A(\omega, \sigma)$*
- **Comments:**
  - ① A3 requires  $p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma, \gamma)$  to be maximized in a *single* sector
  - ② A3 is an implicit restriction on the demand-side of the world-economy
    - ... but it becomes milder and milder as the number of factors or countries increases
    - ... generically true if continuum of factors
  - ③ A4 implies no Ricardian sources of CA across countries
    - Pure Ricardian case can be studied in a similar fashion
    - Having multiple sources of CA is more complex (Costinot 2009)

## 4.3 Aggregate Output, Revenues, and Employment

### Output predictions

- **Theorem** *If A3 and 4 hold, then  $Q(\sigma, \gamma)$  is log-spm.*
- **Proof:**
  - 1 Let  $\Omega(\sigma) \equiv \{\omega \in \Omega \mid p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma) > \max_{\sigma' \neq \sigma} p(\sigma') A(\omega, \sigma')\}$ . A3 and A4 imply  $Q(\sigma, \gamma) = \int \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(\sigma)}(\omega) \cdot A(\omega, \sigma) V(\omega, \gamma) d\omega$
  - 2 A1  $\Rightarrow \tilde{A}(\omega, \sigma) \equiv \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(\sigma)}(\omega) \cdot A(\omega, \sigma)$  log-spm
  - 3 A2 and  $\tilde{A}(\omega, \sigma)$  log-spm + Lemma 1  $\Rightarrow \tilde{A}(\omega, \sigma) V(\omega, \gamma)$  log-spm
  - 4  $\tilde{A}(\omega, \sigma) V(\omega, \gamma)$  log-spm + Lemma 2  $\Rightarrow Q(\sigma, \gamma)$  log-spm
- **Intuition:**
  - 1 A1  $\Rightarrow$  high  $\omega$ -factors are assigned to high  $\sigma$ -sectors
  - 2 A2  $\Rightarrow$  high  $\omega$ -factors are more likely in high  $\gamma$ -countries

## 4.3 Aggregate Output, Revenues, and Employment

### Output predictions (Cont.)

- **Corollary.** *Suppose that A3 and A4 hold. If two countries produce  $J$  goods, with  $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2$  and  $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_J$ , then the high- $\gamma$  country tends to specialize in the high- $\sigma$  sectors:*

$$\frac{Q(\sigma_1, \gamma_1)}{Q(\sigma_1, \gamma_2)} \geq \dots \geq \frac{Q(\sigma_J, \gamma_1)}{Q(\sigma_J, \gamma_2)}$$

## 4.3 Aggregate Output, Revenues, and Employment

### Employment and revenue predictions

- Let  $L(\sigma, \gamma) \equiv \int_{\Omega(\sigma)} V(\omega, \gamma) d\omega$  be aggregate employment
- Let  $R(\sigma, \gamma) \equiv \int_{\Omega(\sigma)} r(\omega, \sigma) V(\omega, \gamma) d\omega$  be aggregate revenues
- **Corollary.** *Suppose that A3 and A4 hold. If two countries produce  $J$  goods, with  $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2$  and  $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_J$ , then aggregate employment and aggregate revenues follow the same pattern as aggregate output:*

$$\frac{L(\sigma_1, \gamma_1)}{L(\sigma_1, \gamma_2)} \geq \dots \geq \frac{L(\sigma_J, \gamma_1)}{L(\sigma_J, \gamma_2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{R(\sigma_1, \gamma_1)}{R(\sigma_1, \gamma_2)} \geq \dots \geq \frac{R(\sigma_J, \gamma_1)}{R(\sigma_J, \gamma_2)}$$

# 4.3 Aggregate Output, Revenues, and Employment

Relation to the previous literature

## 1 Worker Heterogeneity and Trade

- Generalization of Ruffin (1988):
  - Continuum of factors, Hicks-neutral technological differences
  - Results hold for an arbitrarily large number of goods and factors
- Generalization of Ohnsorge and Trefler (2007):
  - No functional form assumption (log-normal distribution of human capital, exponential factor productivity)

## 2 Firm Heterogeneity and Trade

- Closely related to Melitz (2003), Helpman Melitz Yeaple (2004) and Antras Helpman (2004)
  - “Factors”  $\equiv$  “Firms” with productivity  $\omega$
  - “Countries”  $\equiv$  “Industries” with characteristic  $\gamma$
  - “Sectors”  $\equiv$  “Organizations” with characteristic  $\sigma$
  - $Q(\sigma, \gamma) \equiv$  Sales by firms with “ $\sigma$ -organization” in “ $\gamma$ -industry”
- In previous papers,  $f(\omega, \gamma)$  log-spm is crucial, Pareto is not

## 5. Comparative Static Predictions

# 5.1 Closing The Model

## Additional assumptions

- Assumptions A1-4 are maintained
- In order to do comparative statics, we also need to specify the demand side of our model:

$$U = \left\{ \int_{\sigma \in \Sigma} [C(\sigma, \gamma)]^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} d\sigma \right\}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}$$

- For expositional purposes, we will also assume that:
  - $A(\omega, \sigma)$  is *strictly* log-supermodular
  - Continuum of factors and sectors:  $\Sigma \equiv [\underline{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}]$  and  $\Omega \equiv [\underline{\omega}, \bar{\omega}]$

# 5.1 Closing the Model

## Autarky equilibrium

Autarky equilibrium is a set of functions  $(Q, C, L, p, w)$  such that:

- 1 Firms maximize profit

$$p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma) - w(\omega, \gamma) \leq 0, \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega$$

$$p(\sigma) A(\omega, \sigma) - w(\omega, \gamma) = 0, \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega \text{ s.t. } L(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) > 0$$

- 2 Factor markets clear

$$V(\omega, \gamma) = \int_{\sigma \in \Sigma} L(\omega, \sigma, \gamma) d\sigma, \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega$$

- 3 Consumers maximize their utility and good markets clear

$$C(\sigma, \gamma) = I(\gamma) \times p(\sigma)^{-\varepsilon} = Q(\sigma, \gamma)$$

# 5.1 Closing the Model

## Properties of autarky equilibrium

- **Lemma** *In autarky equilibrium, there exists an increasing bijection  $M : \Omega \rightarrow \Sigma$  such that  $L(\omega, \sigma) > 0$  if and only if  $M(\omega) = \sigma$*
- **Lemma** *In autarky equilibrium,  $M$  and  $w$  satisfy*

$$\frac{dM(\omega, \gamma)}{d\omega} = \frac{A[\omega, M(\omega, \gamma)] V(\omega, \gamma)}{I(\gamma) \times \{p[M(\omega), \gamma]\}^{-\varepsilon}} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{d \ln w(\omega, \gamma)}{d\omega} = \frac{\partial \ln A[\omega, M(\omega)]}{\partial \omega} \quad (2)$$

with  $M(\underline{\omega}, \gamma) = \underline{\sigma}$ ,  $M(\bar{\omega}, \gamma) = \bar{\sigma}$ , and  
 $p[M(\omega, \gamma), \gamma] = w(\omega, \gamma) / A[\omega, M(\omega, \gamma)]$ .

## 5.2 Changes in Factor Supply

- **Question:** *What happens if we change country characteristics from  $\gamma$  to  $\gamma' \leq \gamma$ ?*
- If  $\omega$  is worker “skill”, this can be thought of as a change in terms of “skill abundance”:

$$\frac{V(\omega, \gamma)}{V(\omega', \gamma)} \geq \frac{V'(\omega, \gamma')}{V'(\omega', \gamma')}, \text{ for all } \omega > \omega'$$

- If  $V(\omega, \gamma)$  was a normal distribution, this would correspond to a change in the mean

## 5.2 Changes in Factor Supply

Consequence for factor allocation

- **Lemma**  $M(\omega, \gamma') \geq M(\omega, \gamma)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$
- **Intuition:**
  - If there are relatively more low- $\omega$  factors, more sectors should use them
  - From a sector standpoint, this requires *factor downgrading*

## 5.2 Changes in Factor Supply

### Consequence for factor allocation

- **Proof:** If there is  $\omega$  s.t.  $M(\omega, \gamma') < M(\omega, \gamma)$ , then there exist:

①  $M(\omega_1, \gamma') = M(\omega_1, \gamma) = \sigma_1$ ,  $M(\omega_2, \gamma') = M(\omega_2, \gamma) = \sigma_2$ , and  
$$\frac{M_\omega(\omega_1, \gamma')}{M_\omega(\omega_2, \gamma')} \leq \frac{M_\omega(\omega_1, \gamma)}{M_\omega(\omega_2, \gamma)}$$

② Equation (1)  $\implies \frac{V(\omega_2, \gamma')}{V(\omega_1, \gamma')} \frac{C(\sigma_1, \gamma')}{C(\sigma_2, \gamma')} \geq \frac{V(\omega_2, \gamma)}{V(\omega_1, \gamma)} \frac{C(\sigma_1, \gamma)}{C(\sigma_2, \gamma)}$

③  $V$  log-spm  $\implies \frac{C(\sigma_1, \gamma')}{C(\sigma_2, \gamma')} \geq \frac{C(\sigma_1, \gamma)}{C(\sigma_2, \gamma)}$

④ Equation (2) + zero profits  $\implies \frac{d \ln p(\sigma, \gamma)}{d\sigma} = -\frac{\partial \ln A[M^{-1}(\sigma, \gamma), \sigma]}{\partial \sigma}$

⑤  $M^{-1}(\sigma, \gamma) < M^{-1}(\sigma, \gamma')$  for  $\sigma \in (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  + A log-spm  $\implies$

$$\frac{p(\sigma_1, \gamma)}{p(\sigma_2, \gamma)} < \frac{p(\sigma_1, \gamma')}{p(\sigma_2, \gamma')}$$

⑥  $\frac{p(\sigma_1, \gamma)}{p(\sigma_2, \gamma)} < \frac{p(\sigma_1, \gamma')}{p(\sigma_2, \gamma')} + \text{CES} \implies \frac{C(\sigma_1, \gamma')}{C(\sigma_2, \gamma')} > \frac{C(\sigma_1, \gamma)}{C(\sigma_2, \gamma)}$ . A contradiction

## 5.2 Changes in Factor Supply

### Consequence for factor prices

- A decrease from  $\gamma$  to  $\gamma'$  implies *pervasive rise in inequality*:

$$\frac{w(\omega, \gamma')}{w(\omega', \gamma')} \geq \frac{w(\omega, \gamma)}{w(\omega', \gamma)}, \text{ for all } \omega > \omega'$$

- The mechanism is simple:

- 1 Profit-maximization implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \ln w(\omega, \gamma)}{d\omega} &= \frac{\partial \ln A[\omega, M(\omega, \gamma)]}{\partial \omega} \\ \frac{d \ln w(\omega, \gamma')}{d\omega} &= \frac{\partial \ln A[\omega, M(\omega, \gamma')]}{\partial \omega} \end{aligned}$$

- 2 Since  $A$  is log-supermodular, task upgrading implies

$$\frac{d \ln w(\omega, \gamma')}{d\omega} \geq \frac{d \ln w(\omega, \gamma)}{d\omega}$$

## 5.2 Changes in Factor Supply

### Comments

- In Costinot Vogel (2010), we also consider changes in diversity
  - This corresponds to the case where there exists  $\hat{\omega}$  such that  $V(\omega, \gamma)$  is log-supermodular for  $\omega > \hat{\omega}$ , but log-submodular for  $\omega < \hat{\omega}$
- We also consider changes in factor demand (Computerization?):

$$U = \left\{ \int_{\sigma \in \Sigma} B(\sigma, \gamma) [C(\sigma, \gamma)]^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} d\sigma \right\}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}$$

## 5.3 North-South Trade

### Free trade equilibrium

- Two countries, Home ( $H$ ) and Foreign ( $F$ ), with  $\gamma_H \geq \gamma_F$
- A competitive equilibrium in the world economy under free trade is s.t.

$$\frac{dM(\omega, \gamma_T)}{d\omega} = \frac{A[\omega, M(\omega, \gamma_T)] V(\omega, \gamma_T)}{I_T \times \{p[M(\omega, \gamma_T), \gamma_T]\}^{-\varepsilon}},$$

$$\frac{d \ln w(\omega, \gamma_T)}{d\omega} = \frac{\partial \ln A[\omega, M(\omega, \gamma_T)]}{\partial \omega},$$

where:

$$M(\underline{\omega}, \gamma_T) = \underline{\sigma} \text{ and } M(\bar{\omega}, \gamma_T) = \bar{\sigma}$$

$$p[M(\omega, \gamma_T), \gamma_T] = w(\omega, \gamma_T) A[\omega, M(\omega, \gamma_T)]$$

$$V(\omega, \gamma_T) \equiv V(\omega, \gamma_H) + V(\omega, \gamma_F)$$

## 5.3 North South Trade

### Free trade equilibrium

- **Key observation:**

$$\frac{V(\omega, \gamma_H)}{V(\omega', \gamma_H)} \geq \frac{V(\omega, \gamma_F)}{V(\omega', \gamma_F)}, \text{ for all } \omega > \omega' \Rightarrow \frac{V(\omega, \gamma_H)}{V(\omega', \gamma_H)} \geq \frac{V(\omega, \gamma_T)}{V(\omega', \gamma_T)} \geq \frac{V(\omega, \gamma_F)}{V(\omega', \gamma_F)}$$

- Continuum-by-continuum extensions of two-by-two HO results:

- 1 *Changes in skill-intensities:*

$$M(\omega, \gamma_H) \leq M(\omega, \gamma_T) \leq M(\omega, \gamma_F), \text{ for all } \omega$$

- 2 *Strong Stolper-Samuelson effect:*

$$\frac{w(\omega, \gamma_H)}{w(\omega', \gamma_H)} \leq \frac{w(\omega, \gamma_T)}{w(\omega', \gamma_T)} \leq \frac{w(\omega, \gamma_F)}{w(\omega', \gamma_F)}, \text{ for all } \omega > \omega'$$

## 5.3 North South Trade

### Other Predictions

- North-South trade driven by factor demand differences:
  - Same logic gets to the exact opposite results
  - Correlation between factor demand and factor supply considerations matters
- One can also extend analysis to study “North-North” trade:
  - It predicts wage polarization in the more diverse country and wage convergence in the other

# What's next?

- Dynamic issues:
  - Sector-specific human capital accumulation
  - Endogenous technology adoption
- Empirics:
  - Revisiting the consequences of trade liberalization

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

## 14.581 International Economics I

Spring 2013

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.