

## 18.014 Problem Set 2 Solutions

Total: 24 points

**Problem 1:** Let  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k x^k$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$ .

(d) If  $f(x) = 0$  for  $n + 1$  distinct real values of  $x$ , then every coefficient  $c_k$  of  $f$  is zero and  $f(x) = 0$  for all real  $x$ .

(e) Let  $g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m b_k x^k$  be a polynomial of degree  $m$  where  $m \geq n$ . If  $g(x) = f(x)$  for  $m + 1$  distinct real values of  $x$ , then  $m = n$ ,  $b_k = c_k$  for each  $k$ , and  $g(x) = f(x)$  for all real  $x$ .

Solution (4 points)

We prove (d) by induction on  $n$ . Since the statement is true for all integers  $n \geq 0$ , our base case is  $n = 0$ . If  $f$  is a polynomial of degree 0, then  $f = c$  is a constant. If  $f$  has  $n + 1 = 1$  real roots, then  $f(x) = 0$  for some  $x$ ; hence,  $c = 0$  and  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x$ .

Assume the statement is true for all polynomials of degree  $n$ ; we prove the statement for a polynomial  $f$  of degree  $n + 1$ . By hypothesis,  $f$  has  $n + 2$  distinct real roots,  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n+2}\}$ . Using part (c) of this problem (which we did together in recitation),

$$f(x) = (x - a_{n+2})f_n(x)$$

where  $f_n$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$ . But, the roots  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n+2}\}$  are distinct; hence,  $a_i - a_{n+2} \neq 0$  if  $i < n + 2$  and  $f_n(a_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ . Thus, by the induction hypothesis,  $f_n = 0$  because  $f_n$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  with  $n + 1$  distinct real roots,  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}\}$ . Since  $f_n = 0$ , we conclude  $f(x) = (x - a_{n+2})f_n(x) = 0$  for every real  $x$ . Moreover, since every coefficient of  $f_n$  is zero, every coefficient of  $f$  is zero. This is what we wanted to show.

(e). If  $g(x) = f(x)$  for  $m + 1$  distinct values of  $x$ , then  $g(x) - f(x)$  has  $m + 1$  distinct real roots. Moreover, since  $\deg f = n$ ,  $\deg g = m$ , and  $m \geq n$ , we observe  $\deg(g - f) \leq m$ . Thus, by part (d),  $g(x) - f(x) = 0$  and every coefficient of  $g(x) - f(x)$  is zero. This implies  $g(x) = f(x)$  for all real  $x$ ; moreover, since the coefficients of  $g(x) - f(x)$  are  $b_k - a_k$ , it implies  $b_k = a_k$  for all  $k$  and  $m = \deg g = \deg f = n$ .

**Problem 2:** Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , and let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the set of all subsets of  $A$ . For each set  $S$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , let  $n(S)$  denote the number of elements of  $S$ . If  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

and  $T = \{3, 4, 5\}$ , compute  $n(S \cup T)$ ,  $n(S \cap T)$ ,  $n(S - T)$ , and  $n(T - S)$ . Prove that the set function  $n$  satisfies the first three axioms for area.

**Solution** (4 points)

Note  $S \cup T = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ ,  $S \cap T = \{3, 4\}$ ,  $S - T = \{1, 2\}$ , and  $T - S = \{5\}$ ; hence,  $n(S \cup T) = 5$ ,  $n(S \cap T) = 2$ ,  $n(S - T) = 2$ , and  $n(T - S) = 1$ .

For the first axiom,  $n(S) \geq 0$  for all sets  $S$  since the cardinality of a set is always a positive integer or zero.

For the second axiom, note

$$S \cup T = (S - T) \cup (T - S) \cup (S \cap T)$$

and the union is disjoint. In words, if  $x$  is in either  $S$  or  $T$ , then  $x$  is either in  $S$  and not in  $T$ , in  $T$  and not in  $S$ , or in  $S$  and in  $T$ . Further,  $x$  can only satisfy one of these conditions at a time. Hence, we count

$$n(S \cup T) = n(S - T) + n(T - S) + n(S \cap T). \quad (*)$$

Similarly,  $T = (T - S) \cup (S \cap T)$  and  $S = (S - T) \cup (S \cap T)$  are disjoint unions; thus,  $n(T) = n(T - S) + n(S \cap T)$  and  $n(S) = n(S - T) + n(S \cap T)$ . Solving for  $n(S - T)$ ,  $n(T - S)$  and plugging back into our expression (\*), we get

$$n(S \cup T) = n(S) + n(T) - n(S \cap T).$$

Third, suppose  $S \subset T$  are two subsets of  $A$ . Because  $S \subset T$ , we have  $S - T = \emptyset$  and  $n(S - T) = 0$ . Further,  $S \cap T = S$  and  $S \cup T = T$ . Plugging back into the expression (\*), we get  $n(T) = 0 + n(T - S) + n(S)$ . Solving for  $n(T - S)$  yields

$$n(T - S) = n(T) - n(S).$$

**Problem 3:** (a) Compute  $\int_0^9 \lfloor \sqrt{t} \rfloor dt$ .

(b) If  $n$  is a positive integer, prove  $\int_0^{n^2} \lfloor \sqrt{t} \rfloor dt = \frac{n(n-1)(4n+1)}{6}$ .

**Solution** (4 points)

(a). Note

$$\lfloor \sqrt{t} \rfloor = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t < 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq t < 4 \\ 2 & \text{if } 4 \leq t < 9 \end{cases}.$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^9 \lfloor \sqrt{t} \rfloor dt = 0 \cdot (1 - 0) + 1 \cdot (4 - 1) + 2 \cdot (9 - 4) = 13.$$

(b). More generally,  $\lfloor \sqrt{t} \rfloor = m$  if  $m^2 \leq t < (m + 1)^2$ . Thus,

$$\int_0^{n^2} \lfloor \sqrt{t} \rfloor dt = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} m \cdot ((m + 1)^2 - m^2).$$

Computing, we get  $(m + 1)^2 - m^2 = 2m + 1$ ; hence,  $m \cdot ((m + 1)^2 - m^2) = 2m^2 + m$ . Using induction on  $n$ , we will show

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (2m^2 + m) = \frac{n(n-1)(4n+1)}{6}.$$

This will complete the problem. When  $n = 1$ , both sides are zero. Assume the statement for  $n$ ; we will prove it for  $n + 1$ . Adding  $2n^2 + n$  to both sides yields  $\sum_{m=0}^n (2m^2 + m) = \frac{n(n-1)(4n+1)}{6} + 2n^2 + n$ . Computing, the right hand side multiplied by 6 is

$$\begin{aligned} n(n-1)(4n+1) + 6(2n^2 + n) &= n(4n^2 - 3n - 1 + 12n + 6) \\ &= n(4n^2 + 9n + 5) = n(n+1)((4(n+1) + 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have shown  $\sum_{m=0}^n (2m^2 + m) = \frac{(n+1)n(4(n+1)+1)}{6}$ , which is the statement for  $n + 1$ .

**Problem 4:** If, instead of defining integrals of step functions by using formula (1.3), we used the definition

$$\int_a^b s(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^3 (x_k - x_{k-1}),$$

a new and different theory of integration would result. Which of the following properties would remain valid in this new theory?

- (a)  $\int_a^b s + \int_b^c s = \int_a^c s$ .
- (c)  $\int_a^b cs = c \int_a^b s$ .

Solution (4 points)

(a) This statement is still true in our new theory of integration; here is a proof. Let

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b$$

be a partition of  $[a, b]$  such that  $s(x) = a_k$  if  $x_{k-1} \leq x < x_k$ . Let

$$b = y_0 < y_1 < \cdots < y_m = c$$

be a partition of  $[b, c]$  such that  $s(y) = b_k$  if  $y_{k-1} \leq y < y_k$ . Then by our new definition of the integral of a step function

$$\int_a^b s = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^3(x_k - x_{k-1}), \quad \int_b^c s = \sum_{k=1}^m b_k^3(y_k - y_{k-1}).$$

Now,

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b = y_0 < \cdots < y_m = c$$

is a partition of  $[a, c]$  such that  $s$  is constant on each interval. Thus,

$$\int_a^c s = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^3(x_k - x_{k-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^m b_k^3(y_k - y_{k-1}).$$

But, this is just the sum of the integrals  $\int_a^b s$  and  $\int_b^c s$ .

(b) This statement is false for our new theory of integration; here is a counterexample. Suppose  $a = 0$ ,  $b = 1$ ,  $s$  is the constant function 1, and  $c = 2$ . Then

$$\int_0^1 2 \cdot 1 = 2^3(1 - 0) = 8 \neq 2 = 2 \cdot (1(1 - 0)) = 2 \int_0^1 1.$$

**Problem 5:** Prove, using properties of the integral, that for  $a, b > 0$

$$\int_1^a \frac{1}{x} dx + \int_1^b \frac{1}{x} dx = \int_1^{ab} \frac{1}{x} dx.$$

Define a function  $f(w) = \int_1^w \frac{1}{x} dx$ . Rewrite the equation above in terms of  $f$ . Give an example of a function that has the same property as  $f$ .

Solution (4 points) Using Thm. I.19 on page 81, we have

$$\int_1^b \frac{1}{x} dx = \frac{1}{a} \int_a^{ab} \frac{a}{x} = \int_a^{ab} \frac{1}{x}.$$

And, using Thm. I. 16 on page 81, we have

$$\int_1^a \frac{1}{x} dx + \int_a^{ab} \frac{1}{x} dx = \int_1^{ab} \frac{1}{x} dx.$$

Combining the two gives us the result.

If  $f(w) = \int_1^w \frac{1}{x} dx$ , then our equation reads  $f(a) + f(b) = f(ab)$ . The natural logarithm function,  $\log(x)$ , satisfies this property.

**Problem 6:** Suppose we define  $\int_a^b s(x) dx = \sum s_k(x_{k-1} - x_k)^2$  for a step function  $s(x)$  with partition  $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ . Is this integral well-defined? That is, will the value of the integral be independent of the choice of partition? (If well-defined, prove it. If not well-defined, provide a counterexample.)

**Solution** (4 points)

This is not a well-defined definition of an integral. Consider the example of the constant function  $s = 1$  on the interval  $[0, 1]$ . If we choose the partition  $P = \{0, 1\}$ , then we get

$$\int_0^1 1 \cdot dx = 1 \cdot (1 - 0)^2 = 1.$$

On the other hand, if we choose the partition  $P' = \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ , then we get

$$\int_0^1 1 \cdot dx = 1 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} - 0\right)^2 + 1 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

We get two different answers with two different partitions! Therefore, this integral is not well-defined.

**Bonus:** Define the function (where  $n$  is in the positive integers)

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{n^2} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq \frac{1}{n^2} \end{cases}.$$

Prove that  $f$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$  and that  $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0$ .

**Solution** (4 points)

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $n$  such that  $n^4 > 1/\epsilon$ . Consider a partition of  $[0, 1]$  into  $n$  subintervals such that  $x_0 = 0$  and  $x_k = \frac{1}{(n-(k-1))^2}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Define the step function  $t_n$  in the following manner: Let  $t_n(x_k) = 1$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $t_n(0) = 0$ . For  $0 < x < 1/n^2$ , let  $t_n(x) = 1/n^2$ . For all other  $x \in [0, 1]$ , let  $t_n(x) = 0$ . Then  $t_n(x) \geq f(x)$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Moreover,  $\int_0^1 t_n(x) dx = 1/n^4 < \epsilon$ .

Now, consider  $s(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $s(x) \leq f(x)$  and  $\int_0^1 s(x) dx = 0$ . By the Riemann condition,  $f$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$ . Moreover, as  $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = \inf\{\int_0^1 t(x) dx \mid t(x) \geq f(x) \text{ for step functions } t(x) \text{ defined on } [0, 1]\}$ , we see that  $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0$ .

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.014 Calculus with Theory  
Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.