

11. HIGHER DERIVATIVES

We first record a very useful:

Theorem 11.1. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open subset. Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $g: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be two functions and suppose that $P \in A$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be a scalar.*

If f and g are differentiable at P , then

- (1) $f + g$ is differentiable at P and $D(f + g)(P) = Df(P) + Dg(P)$.
- (2) $\lambda \cdot f$ is differentiable at P and $D(\lambda f)(P) = \lambda D(f)(P)$.

Now suppose that $m = 1$.

- (3) fg is differentiable at P and $D(fg)(P) = D(f)(P)g(P) + f(P)D(g)(P)$.
- (4) If $g(P) \neq 0$, then f/g is differentiable at P and

$$D(f/g)(P) = \frac{D(f)(P)g(P) - f(P)D(g)(P)}{g^2(P)}.$$

If the partial derivatives of f and g exist and are continuous, then (11.1) follows from the well-known single variable case. One can prove the general case of (11.1), by hand (basically lots of ϵ 's and δ 's). However, perhaps the best way to prove (11.1) is to use the chain rule, proved in the next section.

What about higher derivatives?

Definition 11.2. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. The k th order partial derivative of f , with respect to the variables $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k}$ is the iterated derivative*

$$\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{i_k} \partial x_{i_{k-1}} \dots \partial x_{i_2} \partial x_{i_1}}(P) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_k}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{k-1}}} \left(\dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_2}} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i_1}} \right) \dots \right) \right)(P).$$

We will also use the notation $f_{x_{i_k} x_{i_{k-1}} \dots x_{i_2} x_{i_1}}(P)$.

Example 11.3. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function $f(x, t) = e^{-at} \cos x$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} f_{xx}(x, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (e^{-at} \cos x) \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (-e^{-at} \sin x) \\ &= -e^{-at} \cos x. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{xt}(x, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (e^{-at} \cos x) \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (-ae^{-at} \cos x) \\ &= ae^{-at} \sin x. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{tx}(x, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (e^{-at} \cos x) \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (-e^{-at} \sin x) \\ &= ae^{-at} \sin x. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$f_t(x, t) = -ae^{-at} \cos x.$$

It follows that $f(x, t)$ is a solution to the **Heat equation**:

$$a \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}.$$

Definition 11.4. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open subset and let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a function. We say that f is of **class \mathcal{C}^k** if all k th partial derivatives exist and are continuous.

We say that f is of **class \mathcal{C}^∞** (aka **smooth**) if f is of class \mathcal{C}^k for all k .

In lecture 10 we saw that if f is \mathcal{C}^1 , then it is differentiable.

Theorem 11.5. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open subset and let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a function.

If f is \mathcal{C}^2 , then

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i},$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

The proof uses the Mean Value Theorem.

Suppose we are given $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ an open subset and a function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of class \mathcal{C}^1 . The objective is to find a solution to the equation

$$f(x) = 0.$$

Newton's method proceeds as follows. Start with some $x_0 \in A$. The best linear approximation to $f(x)$ in a neighbourhood of x_0 is given by

$$f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0).$$

If $f'(x_0) \neq 0$, then the linear equation

$$f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) = 0,$$

has the unique solution,

$$x_1 = x_0 - \frac{f(x_0)}{f'(x_0)}.$$

Now just keep going (assuming that $f'(x_i)$ is never zero),

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= x_0 - \frac{f(x_0)}{f'(x_0)} \\x_2 &= x_1 - \frac{f(x_1)}{f'(x_1)} \\&\vdots \\x_n &= x_{n-1} - \frac{f(x_{n-1})}{f'(x_{n-1})}.\end{aligned}$$

Claim 11.6. *Suppose that $x_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ exists and $f'(x_\infty) \neq 0$. Then $f(x_\infty) = 0$.*

Proof of (11.6). Indeed, we have

$$x_n = x_{n-1} - \frac{f(x_{n-1})}{f'(x_{n-1})}.$$

Take the limit as n goes to ∞ of both sides:

$$x_\infty = x_\infty - \frac{f(x_\infty)}{f'(x_\infty)},$$

we used the fact that f and f' are continuous and $f'(x_\infty) \neq 0$. But then

$$f(x_\infty) = 0,$$

as claimed. □

Suppose that $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a function. Suppose that f is \mathcal{C}^1 (that is, suppose each of the coordinate functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n is \mathcal{C}^1).

The objective is to find a solution to the equation

$$f(P) = \vec{0}.$$

Start with any point $P_0 \in A$. The best linear approximation to f at P_0 is given by

$$f(P_0) + Df(P_0)\overrightarrow{PP_0}.$$

Assume that $Df(P_0)$ is an invertible matrix, that is, assume that $\det Df(P_0) \neq 0$. Then the inverse matrix $Df(P_0)^{-1}$ exists and the unique solution to the linear equation

$$f(P_0) + Df(P_0)\overrightarrow{PP_0} = \vec{0},$$

is given by

$$P_1 = P_0 - Df(P_0)^{-1}f(P_0).$$

Notice that matrix multiplication is not commutative, so that there is a difference between $Df(P_0)^{-1}f(P_0)$ and $f(P_0)Df(P_0)^{-1}$. If possible, we get a sequence of solutions,

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= P_0 - Df(P_0)^{-1}f(P_0) \\ P_2 &= P_1 - Df(P_1)^{-1}f(P_1) \\ &\vdots \\ P_n &= P_{n-1} - Df(P_{n-1})^{-1}f(P_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the limit $P_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n$ exists and that $Df(P_\infty)$ is invertible. As before, if we take the limit of both sides, this implies that

$$f(P_\infty) = \vec{0}.$$

Let us try a concrete example.

Example 11.7. *Solve*

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 + y^2 &= 1 \\ y^2 &= x^3. \end{aligned}$$

First we write down an appropriate function, $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, given by $f(x, y) = (x^2 + y^2 - 1, y^2 - x^3)$. Then we are looking for a point P such that

$$f(P) = (0, 0).$$

Then

$$Df(P) = \begin{pmatrix} 2x & 2y \\ -3x^2 & 2y \end{pmatrix}.$$

The determinant of this matrix is

$$4xy + 6x^2y = 2xy(2 + 3x).$$

Now if we are given a 2×2 matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix},$$

then we may write down the inverse by hand,

$$\frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

So

$$Df(P)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2xy(2 + 3x)} \begin{pmatrix} 2y & -2y \\ 3x^2 & 2x \end{pmatrix}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} Df(P)^{-1}f(P) &= \frac{1}{2xy(2 + 3x)} \begin{pmatrix} 2y & -2y \\ 3x^2 & 2x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^2 + y^2 - 1 \\ y^2 - x^3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2xy(2 + 3x)} \begin{pmatrix} 2x^2y - 2y + 2x^3y \\ x^4 + 3x^2y^2 - 3x^2 + 2xy^2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

One nice thing about this method is that it is quite easy to implement on a computer. Here is what happens if we start with $(x_0, y_0) = (5, 2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (x_0, y_0) &= (5.000000000000000, 2.000000000000000) \\ (x_1, y_1) &= (3.24705882352941, -0.617647058823529) \\ (x_2, y_2) &= (2.09875150983980, 1.37996311951634) \\ (x_3, y_3) &= (1.37227480405610, 0.561220968705054) \\ (x_4, y_4) &= (0.959201654346683, 0.503839504009063) \\ (x_5, y_5) &= (0.787655203525685, 0.657830227357845) \\ (x_6, y_6) &= (0.755918792660404, 0.655438554539110), \end{aligned}$$

and if we start with $(x_0, y_0) = (5, 5)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (x_0, y_0) &= (5.000000000000000, 5.000000000000000) \\ (x_1, y_1) &= (3.24705882352941, 1.85294117647059) \\ (x_2, y_2) &= (2.09875150983980, 0.363541705259258) \\ (x_3, y_3) &= (1.37227480405610, -0.306989760884339) \\ (x_4, y_4) &= (0.959201654346683, -0.561589294711320) \\ (x_5, y_5) &= (0.787655203525685, -0.644964218428458) \\ (x_6, y_6) &= (0.755918792660404, -0.655519172668858). \end{aligned}$$

One can sketch the two curves and check that these give reasonable solutions. One can also check that (x_6, y_6) lie close to the two given curves, by computing $x_6^2 + y_6^2 - 1$ and $y_6^2 - x_6^3$.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.022 Calculus of Several Variables
Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.