

## Exam 2 Solutions

**Problem 1.** Consider  $f(x, y) = (xy + y)^{10}$  on the square  $Q = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . Evaluate  $\int \int_Q f dx dy$ .

**Solution** The function

$$f(x, y) = (xy + y)^{10}$$

is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Thus,  $\int_0^1 f(x, y) dx$  is integrable for all  $y \in [0, 1]$  so one can apply Fubini's Theorem to get:

$$\int \int_Q (xy + y)^{10} dx dy = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (xy + y)^{10} dx dy = \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{(xy + y)^{11}}{11y} \right]_0^1 dy = \int_0^1 \frac{(2^{11} - 1)y^{10}}{11} dy = \frac{2047}{121}$$

**Problem 2.** Complete the following statement. (There is more than one correct answer.)

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and connected. Suppose  $\mathbf{f}$  is a vector field defined on  $S$ . Then  $\mathbf{f}$  is a gradient field if and only if \_\_\_\_\_.

**Solution** There are two correct answers:

... The line integral of  $f$  along a path connecting two points  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in S$  is independent of the path in  $S$ ;

... The line integral of  $f$  is 0 around every piecewise smooth closed path in  $S$ .

**Problem 3.** Let  $\gamma$  be the semi-circle connecting  $(0, 0)$  and  $(2, 0)$  that sits in the half plane where  $y \geq 0$ . Given  $\mathbf{f}(x, y) = (2x + \cos y, -x \sin y + y^7)$ , calculate  $\int \mathbf{f} \cdot d\gamma$ . If your calculation requires justification from a theorem we proved in class, state the theorem you are using.

**Solution** Notice that  $D_1 f_2(x, y) = -\sin y = D_2 f_1(x, y)$ . Since  $f(x, y)$  is defined on all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which is convex, we conclude  $f(x, y)$  is a gradient field. Thus the integral of  $f(x, y)$  from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(2, 0)$  is independent of the path. Let us integrate on a straight line  $s : [0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $s(t) = (t, 0)$ :

$$\int_C (2x + \cos y, -x \sin y + y^7) ds = \int_0^2 (2t + \cos 0, -t \sin 0 + 0^7) \cdot (1, 0) dt = [t^2 + t]_0^2 = 6$$

**Problem 4.** Consider the surface  $x^2 y z + 2x z^2 = 6$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

1. For  $(x, y) = (1, 4)$ , determine all values of  $z$  such that  $(1, 4, z)$  is on the surface.
2. For each of the values of  $z$  found above, determine at which of the points  $(1, 4, z)$  one can apply the implicit function theorem.
3. Choose one point from part (b) where the implicit function theorem can be applied and let  $g(x, y) = z$  be the function defined in a neighborhood of  $(1, 4)$  such that  $(x, y, g(x, y))$  is on the surface. Find  $\nabla g(1, 4)$ .

**Solution**

1. By substitution we get  $4z + 2z^2 = 6$  or  $z^2 + 2z - 3 = 0$ . This easily factors into  $(z - 1)(z + 3) = 0$  and the zero product property implies  $z = 1$  or  $z = -3$ .
2. Let  $f(x, y, z) = x^2yz + 2xz^2 - 6$ . Then  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = x^2y + 4xz$ . And thus  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(1, 4, 1) = 4 + 4 = 8 \neq 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(1, 4, -3) = 4 - 12 = -8 \neq 0$ . Thus, one can apply the implicit function theorem at both points, as the only necessary condition ( $\partial f / \partial z \neq 0$ ) has been met.
3. By the implicit function theorem we know that

$$\nabla g = - \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \end{array} \right).$$

Since  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 2xyz + 2z^2$ ,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = x^2z$ , wherever  $g$  is defined we see

$$\nabla g(x, y) = \left( -\frac{2xyz + 2z^2}{x^2y + 4xz}, -\frac{x^2z}{x^2y + 4xz} \right)$$

and thus if we defined  $g$  in a neighborhood of  $(1, 4, 1)$ ,  $\nabla g(1, 4) = \left(-\frac{10}{8}, -\frac{1}{8}\right) = \left(-5/4, -1/8\right)$ . While if  $g$  is defined in a neighborhood of  $(1, 4, -3)$ ,  $\nabla g(1, 4) = \left(-\frac{-6}{-8}, -\frac{-3}{-8}\right) = \left(-3/4, -3/8\right)$ .

**Problem 5.** Assuming the comparison theorem for step functions, prove it for integrable functions  $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . That is, let  $U$  be a closed rectangle in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and assume  $\int \int_U f, \int \int_U g$  both exist. If  $g \leq f$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in U$ , prove  $\int \int_U g \leq \int \int_U f$ .

**Solution** We proceed by contradiction. Assume  $f, g$  are both integrable in  $U$  and  $g \leq f$  in  $U$  but  $\int \int_U g > \int \int_U f$ . Let  $M = \int \int_U (g - f)$ . By hypothesis,  $M > 0$ . The Riemann condition implies there exist step functions  $s_g, t_g, s_f, t_f$  with

- $s_g \leq g \leq t_g$  and  $s_f \leq f \leq t_f$  in  $U$ ,
- $\int \int_U t_g - s_g < M/4, \int \int_U t_f - s_f < M/4$ , and
- $\int \int_U s_g \leq \int \int_U g \leq \int \int_U t_g, \int \int_U s_f \leq \int \int_U f \leq \int \int_U t_f$ .

Taken together, these imply that  $\int \int_U g - \int \int_U s_g < M/4, \int \int_U f - \int \int_U t_f > -M/4$ .

Now consider

$$\int \int_U s_g - \int \int_U t_f > (\int \int_U g - M/4) + (-\int \int_U f - M/4) = \int \int_U g - \int \int_U f - M/2 = M - M/2 > 0.$$

But since by construction  $s_g \leq t_f$  on  $U$ , the comparison theorem for step functions implies that  $\int \int_U t_f \geq \int \int_U s_g$ . This gives the necessary contradiction.

### Bonus.

1. Let  $A$  be a set of content zero and assume  $B \subset A$ . Prove  $B$  has content zero.
2. Let  $A_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  be sets of content zero. Prove  $\cup_{i=1}^n A_i$  has content zero.
3. Provide a counterexample to the following statement (and explain it): Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a collection of sets  $A_i$  which each have content zero. Then  $\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i$  has content zero.

### Solution

1. For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $R_i$  be a finite collection of rectangles such that  $A \subset \cup_i R_i$  and  $\sum_i Area(R_i) < \epsilon$ . But  $B \subset A$  implies  $B \subset \cup_i R_i$ .
2. For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $R_j^i, j = 1, \dots, m_i$ , be a finite collection of rectangles such that  $A_i \subset \cup_{j=1}^{m_i} R_j^i$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^{m_i} Area(R_j^i) < \epsilon/n$ . But then  $\cup_{i=1}^n A_i \subset \cup_{i=1}^n \cup_{j=1}^{m_i} R_j^i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} Area(R_j^i) < \epsilon$ . Thus,  $\cup_{i=1}^n A_i$  has content zero.
3. Index the rational numbers between  $[0, 1]$  by  $i$ , and represent each element in the set by  $r_i$ . Let  $A_i$  represent the line segment connecting  $x = 0$  and  $x = 1$  at height  $y = r_i$ . Each  $A_i$  certainly has content zero as each is a function  $y = f(x)$ , continuous on  $[0, 1]$ . But  $\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i$  is dense in the rectangle  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .

Now, fix  $\epsilon = 1/2$  and suppose there exists a finite collection of rectangles  $R_j, j = 1, \dots, m$  such that  $\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i \subset \cup_{j=1}^m R_j$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^m Area(R_j) < 1/2$ . Since

$\text{Area}([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) = 1$ , there exists some open ball  $B \subset [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  such that  $B \cap \cup_{j=1}^m R_j = \emptyset$ . Let  $x$  denote the center of the ball  $B$ . Notice that there exists some  $r_k \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $(x, r_k) \in B$ . (In fact, there are an infinite number of such  $r_k$ .) Since  $(x, r_k) \in A_k$  we get a contradiction. That is,  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  is not contained in any finite collection of rectangles with area less than  $1/2$ .

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.024 Multivariable Calculus with Theory  
Spring 2011

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.