Lecture 11

We review some basic properties of the Riemann integral.

Let $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rectangle, and let $f, g : q \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded functions. Assume that f, g are R. integrable. We have the following properties of R. integrals:

• Linearity: $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \implies af + bg$ is R. integrable and

$$\int_{Q} af + bg = a \int_{Q} f + b \int_{Q} g. \tag{3.82}$$

• Monotonicity: If $f \leq g$, then

$$\int_{Q} f \le \int_{Q} g. \tag{3.83}$$

• Maximality Property: Let $h: Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function defined by $h(x) = \max(f(x), g(x))$.

Theorem 3.14. The function h is R. integrable and

$$\int_{Q} h \ge \max\left(\int_{Q} f, \int_{Q} g\right). \tag{3.84}$$

Proof. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.15. If f and g are continuous at some point $x_0 \in Q$, then h is continuous at x_0 .

Proof. We consider the case $f(x_0) = g(x_0) = h(x_0) = r$. The functions f and g are continuous at x_0 if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon$ and $|g(x) - g(x_0)| < \epsilon$ whenever $|x - x_0| < \delta$.

Substitute in $f(x_0) = g(x_0) = r$. The value of h(x) is either f(x) or g(x), so $|h(x) - r| < \epsilon$ for $|x - x_0| < \delta$. That is $|h(x) - h(x_0)| < \epsilon$ for $|x - x_0| < \delta$, so h is continuous at x_0 .

The proofs of the other cases are left to the student.

We defined $h = \max(f, g)$. The lemma tells is that h is integrable.

Define E, F, and G as follows:

$$E =$$
Set of points in Q where f is discontinuous, (3.85)

$$F =$$
Set of points in Q where q is discontinuous, (3.86)

$$G =$$
Set of points in Q where h is discontinuous. (3.87)

The functions f, g are integrable over Q if and only if E, F are of measure zero. The lemma shows that $G \subseteq E \cup F$, so h is integrable over Q. To finish the proof, we notice that

$$h = \max(f, g) \ge f, g. \tag{3.88}$$

Then, by monotonicity,

$$\int_{Q} h \ge \max\left(\int_{Q} f, \int_{Q} g\right). \tag{3.89}$$

Remark. Let $k = \min(f, g)$. Then $k = -\max(-f, -g)$. So, the maximality property also implies that k is integrable and

$$\int_{Q} k \le \min\left(\int_{Q} f, \int_{Q} g\right).$$
(3.90)

A useful trick for when dealing with functions is to change the sign. The preceding remark and the following are examples where such a trick is useful.

Let $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a R. integrable function. Define

$$f_{+} = \max(f, 0), \quad f_{-} = \max(-f, 0).$$
 (3.91)

Both of these functions are R. integrable and non-negative: $f_+, f_- \ge 0$. Also note that $f = f_+ - f_-$. This decomposition is a trick we will use over and over again.

Also note that $|f| = f_+ + f_-$, so |f| is R. integrable. By monotonicity,

$$\int_{Q} |f| = \int_{Q} f_{+} + \int_{Q} f_{-}$$

$$\geq \int_{Q} f_{+} - \int_{Q} f_{-}$$

$$= \int_{Q} f.$$
(3.92)

By replacing f by -f, we obtain

$$\int_{Q} |f| \ge \int_{Q} -f$$

$$= -\int_{Q} f.$$
(3.93)

Combining these results, we arrive at the following claim

Claim.

$$\int_{O} |f| \ge \left| \int_{O} f \right| \tag{3.94}$$

Proof. The proof is above.

3.6 Integration Over More General Regions

So far we've been defining integrals over rectangles. Let us now generalize to other sets.

Let S be a bounded set in \mathbb{R}^n , and let $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function. Let $f_S: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be the map defined by

$$f_S(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in S, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin S. \end{cases}$$
 (3.95)

Let Q be a rectangle such that Int $Q \supset \bar{S}$.

Definition 3.16. The map f is Riemann integrable over S if f_S is Riemann integrable over Q. Additionally,

$$\int_{S} f = \int_{O} f_{S}. \tag{3.96}$$

One has to check that this definition does not depend on the choice of Q, but we do not check this here.

Claim. Let S be a bounded set in \mathbb{R}^n , and let $f, g : S \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded functions. Assume that f, g are R. integrable over S. Then the following properties hold:

• Linearity: If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then af + bg is R. integrable over S, and

$$\int_{S} af + bg = a \int_{S} f + b \int_{S} g. \tag{3.97}$$

• Monotonicity: If $f \leq g$, then

$$\int_{S} f \le \int_{S} g. \tag{3.98}$$

• Maximality: If $h = \max(f, g)$ (over the domain S), then h is R. integrable over S, and

$$\int_{S} h \ge \max\left(\int_{S} f, \int_{S} g\right). \tag{3.99}$$

Proof. The proofs are easy, and we outline them here.

• Linearity: Note that $af_S + bg_S = (af + bg)_S$, so

$$\int_{S} af + bg = \int_{Q} (af + bg)_{S}$$

$$= a \int_{Q} f_{S} + b \int_{Q} g_{S}$$

$$= a \int_{S} f + b \int_{S} g.$$
(3.100)

- Monotonicity: Use $f \leq g \implies f_S \leq g_S$.
- Maximality: Use $h = \max(f, g) \implies h_S = \max(f_S, g_S)$.

Let's look at some nice set theoretic properties of the Riemann integral.

Claim. Let S, T be bounded subsets of \mathbb{R}^n with $T \subseteq S$. Let $f : S \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded and non-negative. Suppose that f is R. integrable over both S and T. Then

$$\int_{T} f \le \int_{S} f. \tag{3.101}$$

Proof. Clearly, $f_T \leq f_S$. Let Q be a rectangle with $\bar{S} \supseteq \text{Int } Q$. Then

$$\int_{Q} f_T \le \int_{Q} f_S. \tag{3.102}$$

Claim. Let S_1, S_2 be bounded subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , and let $f: S_1 \cup S_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function. Suppose that f is R. integrable over both S_1 and S_2 . Then f is R. integrable over $S_1 \cap S_2$ and over $S_1 \cup S_2$, and

$$\int_{S_1 \cup S_2} f = \int_{S_1} f + \int_{S_2} f - \int_{S_1 \cap S_2} f. \tag{3.103}$$

Proof. Use the following trick. Notice that

$$f_{S_1 \cup S_2} = \max(f_{S_1}, f_{S_2}), \tag{3.104}$$

$$f_{S_1 \cap S_2} = \min(f_{S_1}, f_{S_2}). \tag{3.105}$$

Now, choose Q such that

Int
$$Q \supset \overline{S_1 \cup S_2}$$
, (3.106)

so $f_{S_1 \cup S_2}$ and $f_{S_1 \cap S_2}$ are integrable over Q.

Note the identity

$$f_{S_1 \cup S_2} = f_{S_1} + f_{S_2} - f_{S_1 \cap S_2}. (3.107)$$

So,

$$\int_{Q} f_{S_1 \cup S_2} = \int_{Q} f_{S_1} + \int_{Q} f_{S_2} - \int_{Q} f_{S_1 \cap S_2}, \tag{3.108}$$

from which it follows that

$$\int_{S_1 \cup S_2} f = \int_{S_1} f + \int_{S_2} f - \int_{S_1 \cap S_2} f. \tag{3.109}$$