## Lecture 20

We begin with a review of last lecture.

Consider a vector space V. A tensor  $T \in \mathcal{L}^k$  is decomposable if  $T = \ell_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \ell_k$ ,  $\ell_i \in \mathcal{L}^1 = V^*$ . A decomposable tensor T is redundant of  $\ell_i = \ell_{i+1}$  for some i. We define

$$\mathcal{I}^k = \mathcal{I}^k(V) = \text{Span} \{ \text{ redundant } k \text{-tensors } \}.$$
 (4.94)

Because  $\mathcal{I}^k \subseteq \mathcal{L}^k$ , we can take the quotient space

$$\Lambda^k = \Lambda^k(V^*) = \mathcal{L}^k/\mathcal{I}^k, \tag{4.95}$$

defining the map

$$\pi: \mathcal{L}^k \to \Lambda^k. \tag{4.96}$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{A}^k(V)$  the set of all alternating k-tensors. We repeat the main theorem from last lecture:

**Theorem 4.27.** The map  $\pi$  maps  $\mathcal{A}^k$  bijectively onto  $\Lambda^k$ . So,  $\mathcal{A}^k \cong \Lambda^k$ .

It is easier to understand the space  $\mathcal{A}^k$ , but many theorems are much simpler when using  $\Lambda^k$ . This ends the review of last lecture.

## 4.6 Wedge Product

Now, let  $T_1 \in \mathcal{I}^{k_1}$  and  $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}^{k_2}$ . Then  $T_1 \otimes T_2$  and  $T_2 \otimes T_1$  are in  $\mathcal{I}^k$ , where  $k = k_1 + k_2$ . The following is an example of the usefulness of  $\Lambda^k$ .

Let  $\mu_i \in \Lambda^{k_i}$ , i = 1, 2. So,  $\mu_i = \pi(T_i)$  for some  $T_i \in \mathcal{L}^{k_i}$ . Define  $k = k_1 + k_2$ , so  $T_1 \otimes T_2 \in \mathcal{L}^k$ . Then, we define

$$\pi(T_1 \otimes T_2) = \mu_1 \wedge \mu_2 \in \Lambda^k. \tag{4.97}$$

Claim. The product  $\mu_i \wedge \mu_2$  is well-defined.

*Proof.* Take any tensors  $T'_i \in \mathcal{L}^{k_i}$  with  $\pi(T'_i) = \mu_i$ . We check that

$$\pi(T_1' \otimes T_2') = \pi(T_1 \otimes T_2). \tag{4.98}$$

We can write

$$T_1' = T_1 + W_1$$
, where  $W_1 \in \mathcal{I}^{k_1}$ , (4.99)

$$T_2' = T_2 + W_2$$
, where  $W_2 \in \mathcal{I}^{k_2}$ . (4.100)

Then,

$$T_1' \otimes T_2' = T_1 \otimes T_2 + \underbrace{W_1 \otimes T_2 + T_1 \otimes W_2 + W_1 \otimes W_2}_{\in \mathcal{I}^k}, \tag{4.101}$$

SO

$$\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2 \equiv \pi(T_1' \otimes T_2') = \pi(T_1 \otimes T_2).$$
 (4.102)

This product ( $\wedge$ ) is called the *wedge product*. We can define higher order wedge products. Given  $\mu_i \in \Lambda^{k_i}$ , i = 1, 2, 3, where  $\mu = \pi(T_i)$ , we define

$$\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2 \wedge \mu_3 = \pi(T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes T_3). \tag{4.103}$$

We leave as an exercise to show the following claim.

Claim.

$$\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2 \wedge \mu_3 = (\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2) \wedge \mu_3 = \mu_1 \wedge (\mu_2 \wedge \mu_3).$$
(4.104)

*Proof Hint:* This triple product law also holds for the tensor product.  $\Box$ 

We leave as an exercise to show that the two distributive laws hold:

Claim. If  $k_1 = k_2$ , then

$$(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \wedge \mu_3 = \mu_1 \wedge \mu_3 + \mu_2 \wedge \mu_3. \tag{4.105}$$

If  $k_2 = k_3$ , then

$$\mu_1 \wedge (\mu_2 + \mu_3) = \mu_1 \wedge \mu_2 + \mu_1 \wedge \mu_3.$$
 (4.106)

Remember that  $\mathcal{I}^1=\{0\}$ , so  $\Lambda^1=\Lambda^1/\mathcal{I}^1=\mathcal{L}^1=\mathcal{L}^1(V)=V^*$ . That is,  $\Lambda^1(V^*)=V^*$ .

**Definition 4.28.** The element  $\mu \in \Lambda^k$  is *decomposable* if it is of the form  $\mu = \ell_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \ell_k$ , where each  $\ell_i \in \Lambda^1 = V^*$ .

That means that  $\mu = \pi(\ell_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \ell_k)$  is the projection of a decomposable k-tensor. Take a permutation  $\sigma \in S_k$  and an element  $\omega \in \Lambda^k$  such that  $\omega = \pi(T)$ , where  $T \in \mathcal{L}^k$ .

## Definition 4.29.

$$\omega^{\sigma} = \pi(T^{\sigma}). \tag{4.107}$$

We need to check that this definition does not depend on the choice of T.

Claim. Define  $\omega^{\sigma} = \pi(T^{\sigma})$ . Then,

- 1. The above definition does not depend on the choice of T,
- 2.  $\omega^{\sigma} = (-1)^{\sigma} \omega$ .

*Proof.* 1. Last lecture we proved that for  $T \in \mathcal{L}^k$ ,

$$T^{\sigma} = (-1)^{\sigma} T + W, \tag{4.108}$$

for some  $W \in \mathcal{I}^k$ . Hence, if  $T \in \mathcal{I}^k$ , then  $T^{\sigma} \in \mathcal{I}^k$ . If  $\omega = \pi(T) = \pi(T')$ , then  $T' - T \in \mathcal{I}^k$ . Thus,  $(T')^{\sigma} - T^{\sigma} \in \mathcal{I}^k$ , so  $\omega^{\sigma} = \pi((T')^{\sigma}) = \pi(T^{\sigma})$ .

2.

$$T^{\sigma} = (-1)^{\sigma} T + W, \tag{4.109}$$

for some  $W \in \mathcal{I}^k$ , so

$$\pi(T^{\sigma}) = (-1)^{\sigma} \pi(T). \tag{4.110}$$

That is,

$$\omega^{\sigma} = (-1)^{\sigma} \omega. \tag{4.111}$$

Suppose  $\omega$  is decomposable, so  $\omega = \ell_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \ell_k$ ,  $\ell_i \in V^*$ . Then  $\omega = \pi(\ell_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \ell_k)$ , so

$$\omega^{\sigma} = \pi((\ell_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \ell_k)^{\sigma})$$

$$= \pi(\ell_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \ell_{\sigma(k)})$$

$$= \ell_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \ell_{\sigma(k)}.$$
(4.112)

Using the previous claim,

$$\ell_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge \ell_{\sigma(k)} = (-1)^{\sigma} \ell_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \ell_k. \tag{4.113}$$

For example, if k=2, then  $\sigma=\tau_{1,2}$ . So,  $\ell_2\wedge\ell_1=-\ell_1\wedge\ell_2$ . In the case k=3, we find that

$$(\ell_1 \wedge \ell_2) \wedge \ell_3 = \ell_1 \wedge (\ell_2 \wedge \ell_3)$$

$$= -\ell_1 \wedge (\ell_3 \wedge \ell_2) = -(\ell_1 \wedge \ell_3) \wedge \ell_2$$

$$= \ell_3 \wedge (\ell_1 \wedge \ell_2).$$
(4.114)

This motivates the following claim, the proof of which we leave as an exercise.

Claim. If  $\mu \in \Lambda^2$  and  $\ell \in \Lambda^1$ , then

$$\mu \wedge \ell = \ell \wedge \mu. \tag{4.115}$$

*Proof Hint:* Write out  $\mu$  as a linear combination of decomposable elements of  $\Lambda^2$ .  $\square$ 

Now, suppose k=4. Moving  $\ell_3$  and  $\ell_4$  the same distance, we find that

$$(\ell_1 \wedge \ell_2) \wedge (\ell_3 \wedge \ell_4) = (\ell_3 \wedge \ell_4) \wedge (\ell_1 \wedge \ell_2). \tag{4.116}$$

The proof of the following is an exercise.

Claim. If  $\mu \in \Lambda^2$  and  $\nu \in \Lambda^2$ , then

$$\mu \wedge \nu = \nu \wedge \mu. \tag{4.117}$$

We generalize the above claims in the following:

Claim. Left  $\mu \in \Lambda^k$  and  $\nu \in \Lambda^\ell$ . Then

$$\mu \wedge \nu = (-1)^{k\ell} \nu \wedge \mu. \tag{4.118}$$

*Proof Hint:* First assume k is even, and write out  $\mu$  as a product of elements all of degree two. Second, assume that k is odd.

Now we try to find a basis for  $\Lambda^k(V^*)$ . We begin with

$$e_1, \dots, e_n$$
 a basis of  $V$ , 
$$\tag{4.119}$$

$$e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*$$
 a basis of  $V^*$ , 
$$\tag{4.120}$$

$$e_I^* = e_{i_1}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}^*, \ I = (i_1, \dots, i_k), 1 \le i_r \le n, \text{ a basis of } \mathcal{L}^k,$$
 (4.121)

$$\psi_I = \text{Alt } (e_I^*), \quad I$$
's strictly increasing, a basis of  $\mathcal{A}^k(V)$ . (4.122)

We know that  $\pi$  maps  $\mathcal{A}^k$  bijectively onto  $\Lambda^k$ , so  $\pi(\psi_I)$ , where I is strictly increasing, are a basis of  $\Lambda^k(V^*)$ .

$$\psi_I = \text{Alt } e_I^* = \sum (-1)^{\sigma} (e_I^*)^{\sigma}.$$
 (4.123)

So,

$$\pi(\psi_I) = \sum_{I} (-1)^{\sigma} \pi((e_I^*)^{\sigma})$$

$$= \sum_{I} (-1)^{\sigma} (-1)^{\sigma} \pi(e_I^*)$$

$$= k! \pi(e_I^*)$$

$$\equiv k! \tilde{e}_I.$$

$$(4.124)$$

**Theorem 4.30.** The elements of  $\Lambda^k(V^*)$ 

$$\tilde{e}_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{e}_{i_k}^*, \ 1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n \tag{4.125}$$

are a basis of  $\Lambda^k(V^*)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is above.

Let V, W be vector spaces, and let  $A: V \to W$  be a linear map. We previously defined the pullback operator  $A^*: \mathcal{L}^k(W) \to \mathcal{L}^k(V)$ . Also, given  $T_i \in \mathcal{L}^{k_i}(W), i = 1, 2$ , we showed that  $A^*(T_1 \otimes T_2) = A^*T_1 \otimes A^*T_2$ . So, if  $T = \ell_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \ell_k \in \mathcal{L}_k(W)$  is decomposable, then

$$A^*T = A^*\ell_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A^*\ell_k, \quad \ell_i \in W^*. \tag{4.126}$$

If  $\ell_i = \ell_{i+1}$ , then  $A^*\ell_1 = A^*\ell_{i+1}$ . This shows that if  $\ell_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \ell_k$  is redundant, then  $A^*(\ell_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \ell_k)$  is also redundant. So,

$$A^*\mathcal{I}^k(W) \subseteq \mathcal{I}^k(V). \tag{4.127}$$

Let  $\mu \in \Lambda^k(W^*)$ , so  $\mu = \pi(T)$  for some  $T \in \mathcal{L}^k(W)$ . We can pullback to get  $\pi(A^*T) \in \Lambda^k(V^*)$ .

**Definition 4.31.**  $A^*\mu = \pi(A^*T)$ .

This definition makes sense. If  $\mu = \pi(T) = \pi(T')$ , then  $T' - T \in \mathcal{I}^k(W)$ . So  $A^*T' - A^*T \in \mathcal{I}^k(V)$ , which shows that  $A^*\mu = \pi(A^*T') = \pi(A^*T)$ .

We ask in the homework for you to show that the pullback operation is linear and that

$$A^*(\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2) = A^*\mu_1 \wedge A^*\mu_2. \tag{4.128}$$