

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.102 Introduction to Functional Analysis
Spring 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.

Lecture 24. THURSDAY, MAY 7: COMPLETENESS OF HERMITE BASIS

Here is what I claim was done last time. Starting from the ground state for the harmonic oscillator

$$(24.1) \quad H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2, \quad Hu_0 = u_0, \quad u_0 = e^{-x^2/2}$$

and using the creation and annihilation operators

$$(24.2) \quad A = \frac{d}{dx} + x, \quad C = -\frac{d}{dx} + x, \quad AC - CA = 2\text{Id}, \quad H = CA + \text{Id}$$

I examined the higher eigenfunctions:

$$(24.3) \quad u_j = C^j u_0 = p_j(x)u_0(x), \quad p(x) = 2^j x^j + \text{l.o.t.s}, \quad Hu_j = (2j+1)u_j$$

and showed that these are orthogonal, $u_j \perp u_k$, $j \neq k$, and so when normalized give an orthonormal system in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$:

$$(24.4) \quad e_j = \frac{u_j}{2^{j/2}(j!)^{1/2}\pi^{1/4}}.$$

Now, what I want to show today, and not much more, is that the e_j form an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, meaning they are complete as an orthonormal sequence. There are various proofs of this, but the only ‘simple’ ones I know involve the Fourier inversion formula and I want to use the completeness to *prove* the Fourier inversion formula, so that will not do. Instead I want to use a version of Mehler’s formula. I also tried to motivate this a bit last time.

Namely, I suggested that to show the completeness of the e_j ’s it is enough to find a compact self-adjoint operator with these as eigenfunctions and no null space. It is the last part which is tricky. The first part is easy. Remembering that all the e_j are real, we can find an operator with the e_j ’s as eigenfunctions with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_j > 0$ (say) by just defining

$$(24.5) \quad Au(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j (u, e_j) e_j(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j e_j(x) \int e_j(y) u(y).$$

For this to be an operator we need $\lambda_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, although for convergence we just need the λ_j to be bounded. So, the problem with this is to show that A has no null space – which of course is just the completeness of the e_j since (assuming all the λ_j are positive)

$$(24.6) \quad Au = 0 \iff u \perp e_j \quad \forall j.$$

Nevertheless, this is essentially what we will do. The idea is to write A as an *integral operator* and then work with that. I will take the $\lambda_j = w^j$ where $w \in [0, 1)$. The point is that we can find an explicit formula for

$$(24.7) \quad A_w u = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w^j e_j(x) e_j(y) = A(w, x, y).$$

I struggled a bit with this in class but did pretty much manage to do it.

To find $A(w, x, y)$ we use some other things I did last time. First, I defined the Fourier transform and showed its basic property

$$(24.8) \quad \mathcal{F} : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_\infty^0(\mathbb{R}), \quad \mathcal{F}(u) = \hat{u},$$

$$\hat{u}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix\xi} u(x), \quad \sup |\hat{u}| \leq \|u\|_{L^1}.$$

Then I computed the Fourier transform of u_0 , namely

$$(24.9) \quad (\mathcal{F}u_0)(\xi) = \sqrt{2\pi}u_0(\xi).$$

Now, we can use this formula, or if you like the argument to prove it, to show that

$$(24.10) \quad v = e^{-x^2/4} \implies \hat{v} = \sqrt{\pi}e^{-\xi^2}.$$

Changing the names of the variables this just says

$$(24.11) \quad e^{-x^2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixs-s^2/4} ds.$$

Now, again as I discussed last time, the definition of the u_j 's can be rewritten

$$(24.12) \quad u_j(x) = \left(-\frac{d}{dx} + x\right)^j e^{-x^2/2} = e^{x^2/2} \left(-\frac{d}{dx}\right)^j e^{-x^2}.$$

Plugging this into (24.11) and carrying out the derivatives – which is legitimate since the integral is so strongly convergent – gives

$$(24.13) \quad u_j(x) = \frac{e^{x^2/2}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-is)^j e^{ixs-s^2/4} ds.$$

Now we can use this formula twice on the sum on the left in (24.7) and insert the normalizations in (24.4) to find that

$$(24.14) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w^j e_j(x) e_j(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{x^2/2+y^2/2}}{4\pi^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(-1)^j w^j s^j t^j}{2^j j!} e^{isx+ity-s^2/4-t^2/4} ds dt.$$

The crucial thing here is that we can sum the series to get an exponential, this allows us to finally conclude:

Lemma 19. *The identity (24.7) holds with*

$$(24.15) \quad A(w, x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{1-w^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1-w}{4(1+w)}(x+y)^2 - \frac{1+w}{4(1-w)}(x-y)^2\right)$$

Proof. Summing the series in (24.14) we find that

$$(24.16) \quad A(w, x, y) = \frac{e^{x^2/2+y^2/2}}{4\pi^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}wst + isx + ity - s^2/4 - t^2/4\right) ds dt.$$

Now, we can use the same formula as before for the Fourier transform of u_0 to evaluate these integrals explicitly. I think the clever way, better than what I did in lecture, is to change variables by setting

$$(24.17) \quad s = (S+T)/\sqrt{2}, \quad t = (S-T)/\sqrt{2} \implies ds dt = dS dT,$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}wst + isx + ity - s^2/4 - t^2/4 = iS \frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{4}(1+w)S^2 + iT \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{4}(1-w)T^2.$$

The formula for the Fourier transform of $\exp(-x^2)$ can be used, after a change of variable, to conclude that

$$(24.18) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(iS \frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{4}(1+w)S^2\right) dS = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{1+w}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x+y)^2}{2(1+w)}\right)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(iT \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{4}(1-w)T^2\right) dT = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{1-w}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2(1-w)}\right).$$

Inserting these formulæ back into (24.16) gives

$$(24.19) \quad A(w, x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{1-w^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x+y)^2}{2(1+w)} - \frac{(x-y)^2}{2(1-w)} + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{y^2}{2}\right)$$

which after a little adjustment gives (24.15). \square

Now, this explicit representation of A_w as an integral operator allows us to show

Proposition 31. *For all real-valued $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$(24.20) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |(u, e_j)|^2 = \|f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Proof. By definition of A_w

$$(24.21) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |(u, e_j)|^2 = \lim_{w \uparrow 1} (f, A_w f)$$

so (24.20) reduces to

$$(24.22) \quad \lim_{w \uparrow 1} (f, A_w f) = \|f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

To prove (24.22) we will make our work on the integral operators rather simpler by assuming first that $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ is continuous and vanishes outside some bounded interval, $f(x) = 0$ in $|x| > R$. Then we can write out the L^2 inner product as a double integral, which is a genuine (iterated) Riemann integral:

$$(24.23) \quad (f, A_w f) = \int \int A(w, x, y) f(x) f(y) dy dx.$$

Here I have used the fact that f and A are real-valued.

Look at the formula for A in (24.15). The first thing to notice is the factor $(1-w^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ which blows up as $w \rightarrow 1$. On the other hand, the argument of the exponential has two terms, the first tends to 0 as $w \rightarrow 1$ and the second blows up, at least when $x-y \neq 0$. Given the signs, we see that

$$(24.24) \quad \text{if } \epsilon > 0, X = \{(x, y); |x| \leq R, |y| \leq R, |x-y| \geq \epsilon\} \text{ then}$$

$$\sup_X |A(w, x, y)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } w \rightarrow 1.$$

So, the part of the integral in (24.23) over $|x-y| \geq \epsilon$ tends to zero as $w \rightarrow 1$.

So, look at the other part, where $|x-y| \leq \epsilon$. By the (uniform) continuity of f , given $\delta > 0$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$(24.25) \quad |x-y| \leq \epsilon \implies |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \delta.$$

Now we can divide (24.23) up into three pieces:-

$$(24.26) \quad (f, A_w f) = \int_{S \cap \{|x-y| \geq \epsilon\}} A(w, x, y) f(x) f(y) dy dx \\ + \int_{S \cap \{|x-y| \leq \epsilon\}} A(w, x, y) (f(x) - f(y)) f(y) dy dx \\ + \int_{S \cap \{|x-y| \leq \epsilon\}} A(w, x, y) f(y)^2 dy dx$$

where $S = [-R, R]^2$.

Look now at the third integral in (24.26) since it is the important one. We can change variable of integration from x to $t = \sqrt{\frac{1+w}{1-w}}(x-y)$ and then this becomes

$$(24.27) \quad \int_{S \cap \{|x-y| \leq \epsilon\}} A(w, y + t\sqrt{\frac{1-w}{1+w}}, y) f(y)^2 dy dt, \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}(1+w)} \exp\left(-\frac{1-w}{4(1+w)}(2y + t\sqrt{1-w})^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4}\right).$$

Here y is bounded; the first exponential factor tends to 1 so it is straightforward to show that for any $\epsilon > 0$ the third term in (24.26) tends to

$$(24.28) \quad \|f\|_{L^2}^2 \text{ as } w \rightarrow 1 \text{ since } \int e^{-t^2/4} = 2\sqrt{\pi}.$$

Noting that $A \geq 0$ the same sort of argument shows that the second term is bounded by a constant multiple of δ . So this proves (24.22) (first choose δ then ϵ) and hence (24.20) under the assumption that f is continuous and vanishes outside some interval $[-R, R]$.

However, the general case follows by continuity since such continuous functions vanishing outside compact sets are dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and both sides of (24.20) are continuous in $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. \square

Now, (24.22) certainly implies that the e_j form an orthonormal basis, which is what we wanted to show – but hard work! I did it really to remind you of how we did the Fourier series computation of the same sort and to suggest that you might like to compare the two arguments.