

# Chapter 3

## Symplectic and Kaehler Geometry

### Lecture 12

Today: Symplectic geometry and Kaehler geometry, the linear aspects anyway.

#### Symplectic Geometry

Let  $V$  be an  $n$  dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a bilinear form on  $V$ .

**Definition.**  $B$  is alternating if  $B(v, w) = -B(w, v)$ . Denote by  $\text{Alt}^2(V)$  the space of all alternating bilinear forms on  $V$ .

**Definition.** Take any  $B \in \text{Alt}(V)$ ,  $U$  a subspace of  $V$ . Then we can define the orthogonal complement by

$$U^\perp = \{v \in V, B(u, v) = 0, \forall u \in U\}$$

**Definition.**  $B$  is non-degenerate if  $V^\perp = \{0\}$ .

**Theorem.** If  $B$  is non-degenerate then  $\dim V$  is even. Moreover, there exists a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n$  of  $V$  such that  $B(e_i, e_n) = B(f_i, f_j) = 0$  and  $B(e_i, f_j) = \delta_{ij}$

**Definition.**  $B$  is non-degenerate if and only if the pair  $(V, B)$  is a symplectic vector space. Then  $e_i$ 's and  $f_j$ 's are called a Darboux basis of  $V$ .

Let  $B$  be non-degenerate and  $U$  a vector subspace of  $V$

Remark:

$\dim U^\perp = 2n - \dim U$  and we have the following 3 scenarios.

1.  $U$  isotropic  $\Leftrightarrow U^\perp \supset U$ . This implies that  $\dim U \leq n$
2.  $U$  Lagrangian  $\Leftrightarrow U^\perp = U$ . This implies  $\dim U = n$ .
3.  $U$  symplectic  $\Leftrightarrow U^\perp \cap U = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $U^\perp$  is symplectic and  $B|_U$  and  $B|_{U^\perp}$  are non-degenerate.

Let  $V = V^m$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\text{Alt}^2(V) \cong \Lambda^2(V^*)$$

is a canonical identification. Let  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  be a basis of  $v$ , then

$$\text{Alt}^2(V) \ni B \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \sum B(v_i, v_j) v_i^* \wedge v_j^*$$

and the inverse  $\Lambda^2(V^*) \ni \omega \mapsto B_\omega \in \text{Alt}^2(V)$  is given by

$$B(v, w) = i_W(i_V \omega)$$

Suppose  $m = 2n$ .

**Theorem.**  $B \in \text{Alt}^2(V)$  is non-degenerate if  $\omega_B \in \Lambda^2(V)$  satisfies  $\omega_B^n \neq 0$

1/2 of Proof.  $B$  non-degenerate, let  $e_1, \dots, f_n$  be a Darboux basis of  $V$  then

$$\omega_B = \sum e_i^* \wedge f_j^*$$

and we can show

$$\omega_B^n = n! e_1^* \wedge f_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_n^* \wedge f_n^* \neq 0$$

□

**Notation.**  $\omega \in \Lambda^2(V^*)$ , symplectic geometers just say “ $B_\omega(v, w) = \omega(v, w)$ ”.

## Kaehler spaces

$V = V^{2n}$ ,  $V$  a vector space over  $R$ ,  $B \in \text{Alt}^2(V)$  is non-generate. Assume we have another piece of structure a map  $J : V \rightarrow V$  that is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear and  $J^2 = -I$ .

**Definition.**  $B$  and  $J$  are **compatible** if  $B(v, w) = B(Jv, Jw)$ .

Exercise(not to be handed in) Let  $Q(v, w) = B(v, Jw)$  show that  $B$  and  $J$  are compatible if and only if  $Q$  is symmetric.

From  $J$  we can make  $V$  a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  by setting  $\sqrt{-1}v = Jv$ . So this gives  $V$  a structure of complex  $n$ -dimensional vector space.

**Definition.** Take the bilinear form  $H : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$H(v, w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}(B(v, w) + \sqrt{-1}Q(v, w))$$

$B$  and  $J$  are compatible if and only if  $H$  is hermitian on the complex vector space  $V$ . Note that  $H(v, v) = Q(v, v)$ .

**Definition.**  $V, J, B$  is Kahler if either  $H$  is positive definite or  $Q$  is positive definite (these two are equivalent).

Consider  $V^* \otimes \mathbb{C} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, \mathbb{C})$ , so if  $l \in V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$  then  $l : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition.**  $l \in (V^*)^{1,0}$  if it is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear, i.e.  $l(Jv) = \sqrt{-1}l(v)$ . And  $l \in (V^*)^{0,1}$  if it is  $\mathbb{C}$ -antilinear, i.e.  $l(Jv) = -\sqrt{-1}l(v)$ .

**Definition.**  $\bar{l}v = \overline{l(v)}$ .  $J^*l(v) = lJ(v)$ .

Then if  $l \in (V^*)^{1,0}$  then  $\bar{l} \in (V^*)^{0,1}$ . If  $l \in (V^*)^{0,1}$  then  $J^*l = \sqrt{-1}l$ ,  $l \in (V^*)^{0,1}$ ,  $J^*l = -\sqrt{-1}l$ .

So we can decompose  $V^* \otimes \mathbb{C} = (V^*)^{1,0} \oplus (V^*)^{0,1}$  i.e. decomposing into  $\pm\sqrt{-1}$  eigenspace of  $J^*$  and  $(V^*)^{0,1} = \overline{(V^*)^{1,0}}$ .

This decomposition gives a decomposition of the exterior algebra,  $\Lambda^r(V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \Lambda^r(V^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Now, this decomposes into bigraded pieces

$$\Lambda^r(V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{k+l=r} \Lambda^{k,l}(V^*)$$

$\Lambda^{k,l}(V^*)$  is the linear span of  $k, l$  forms of the form

$$\mu_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mu_k \wedge \bar{\nu}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\nu}_l \quad \mu_i \nu_j \in (V^*)^{1,0}$$

Note that  $J^* : V^* \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$  can be extended to a map  $J^* : \Lambda^r(V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \Lambda^r(V^* \otimes \mathbb{C})$  by setting

$$J^*(l_1 \wedge \dots \wedge l_r) = J^*l_1 \wedge \dots \wedge J^*l_r$$

on decomposable elements  $l_1 \wedge \dots \wedge l_r \in \Lambda^r$ .

We can define complex conjugation on  $\Lambda^r(V^* \otimes \mathbb{C})$  on decomposable elements  $\omega = l_1 \wedge \dots \wedge l_r$  by  $\bar{\omega} = \bar{l}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{l}_r$ .

$\Lambda^r(V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \Lambda^r(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\bar{\omega} = \omega$  if and only if  $\omega \in \Lambda^r(V^*)$ . And if  $\omega \in \Lambda^{k,l}(V^*)$  then  $\bar{\omega} \in \Lambda^{l,k}(V^*)$

**Proposition.** On  $\Lambda^{k,l}(V^*)$  we have  $J^* = (\sqrt{-1})^{k-l} \text{Id}$ .

*Proof.* Take  $\omega = \mu_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_k \wedge \bar{\nu}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\nu}_l$ ,  $\mu_i, \nu_i \in (V^*)^{1,0}$  then

$$J^* \omega = J^* \mu_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge J^* \mu_k \wedge J^* \bar{\nu}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge J^* \bar{\nu}_l = (-1)^k (-\sqrt{-1})^l \omega$$

□

Notice that for the following decomposition of  $\Lambda^2(V \otimes \mathbb{C})$  the eigenvalues of  $J^*$  are given below

$$\underbrace{\Lambda^2(V \otimes \mathbb{C})}_{J^*} = \underbrace{\Lambda^{2,0}}_1 \oplus \underbrace{\Lambda^{1,1}}_{-1} \oplus \underbrace{\Lambda^{0,2}}_{-1}$$

So if  $\omega \in \Lambda^*(V^* \otimes \mathbb{C})$  then if  $J\omega = \omega$ .

Now, back to serious Kahler stuff.

Let  $V, B, J$  be Kahler.  $B \mapsto \omega_B \in \Lambda^2(V^*) \subset \Lambda^2(V^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ .

$B$  is  $J$  invariant, so  $\omega_B$  is  $J$ -invariant, which happens if and only if  $\omega_B \in \Lambda^{1,1}(V^*)$  and  $\omega_B$  is real if and only if  $\bar{\omega}_B = \omega_B$ .

So there is a -1 correspondence between  $J$  invariant elements of  $\Lambda^2(V)$  and elements  $\omega \in \Lambda^{1,1}(V^*)$  which are real.

Observe:  $(V^*)^{1,0} \otimes (V^*)^{0,1} \xrightarrow{\rho} \Lambda^{1,1}(V^*)$  by  $\mu \otimes \nu \mapsto \mu \wedge \nu$ . Let  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  be a basis of  $(V^*)^{1,0}$ . Take

$$\alpha = \sum a_{ij} \mu_i \otimes \bar{\mu}_j \in (V^*)^{1,0} \otimes (V^*)^{0,1}$$

Take

$$\rho(\alpha) = \sum a_{ij} \mu_i \wedge \bar{\mu}_j$$

is it true that  $\overline{\rho(\alpha)} = \rho(\alpha)$ . No, not always. This happens if  $a_{ij} = -\bar{a}_{ij}$ , equivalently  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}[a_{ij}]$  is Hermitian.

We have

$$\text{Alt}^2(V) \ni B \mapsto \omega = \omega_B \in \Lambda^{1,1}(V^*)$$

Take  $\alpha = \rho^{-1}(\omega)$ ,  $H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}\alpha$ . Then  $H$  is Hermitian.

Check that  $H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}(B + \sqrt{-1}Q)$ ,  $B$  Kahler iff and only if  $H$  is positive definite.

/