

Lecture 20: Holder continuity of Harmonic functions.

1 Holder continuity of Harmonic functions

In this lecture we will show that harmonic functions need to have a degree of regularity, specifically they must be Holder continuous.

Theorem 1.1 *Let L be a uniformly elliptic operator in divergence form taking*

$$Lu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} A_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}. \quad (1)$$

If $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an L harmonic function then u is holder continuous.

The proof is a little involved, so we will first give a sketch of the proof, and then go back to fill in the details. The aim is to use Morrey's lemma.

Proof Pick $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and define the operator \tilde{L} by

$$\tilde{L}f = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} A_{ij}(x_0) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = A_{ij}(x_0) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}. \quad (2)$$

Pick $s > 0$, and let v be an L harmonic function with $v = u$ on $\partial B_s(x_0)$. Note that the inequalities we proved in lecture 16 apply to v so, in particular,

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 \leq k \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^n \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 \quad (3)$$

for all $r < s$. We use this and the inequality $(a + b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$ to estimate

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \leq 2 \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 + 2 \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla(u - v)|^2 \quad (4)$$

$$\leq 2k \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^n \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 + 2 \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla(u - v)|^2 \quad (5)$$

$$\leq 2k \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^n \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 + 2 \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla(u - v)|^2. \quad (6)$$

Now use a lemma which we will prove later.

Lemma 1.2 Let $\|A - A(x_0)\| = \sup_{B_s(x_0), i, j} |A_{ij} - A_{ij}(x_0)|$. Then

$$\int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla(u - v)|^2 \leq \left(\frac{n\|A - A(x_0)\|}{\lambda} \right)^2 \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 \quad (7)$$

and

$$\int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla(u - v)|^2 \leq \left(\frac{n\|A - A(x_0)\|}{\lambda} \right)^2 \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \quad (8)$$

By the first of these we get

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \left(2k \left(\frac{r}{s} \right)^n + 2 \left(\frac{n\|A - A(x_0)\|}{\lambda} \right)^2 \right) \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2. \quad (9)$$

Now we need to estimate this last integral in terms of u . We have

$$\int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 \leq 2 \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 + 2 \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla(u - v)|^2 \quad (10)$$

$$\leq \left(2 + 2 \left(\frac{n\|A - A(x_0)\|}{\lambda} \right)^2 \right) \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \quad (11)$$

by lemma 1.2. Plugging this back into ?? gives

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \left(2k \left(\frac{r}{s} \right)^n + 2 \left(\frac{\|A - A(x_0)\|}{\lambda} \right)^2 \right) \left(2 + 2 \left(\frac{n\|A - A(x_0)\|}{\lambda} \right)^2 \right) \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2. \quad (12)$$

By choosing s small we can get $n\|A - A(x_0)\|$ as small as we like. Therefore, for some constant k' and for any $\delta > 0$ we can pick a small s so that

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \left(k' \left(\frac{r}{s} \right)^n + \delta \right) \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2. \quad (13)$$

We need one more lemma.

Lemma 1.3 Let ϕ be a positive and increasing function on the positive reals, and let α, c be positive constants. For $0 < \gamma < \alpha$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\phi(r) \leq c_1 \left(\left(\frac{r}{s} \right)^\alpha + \delta \right) \phi(s) \quad (14)$$

for $0 < r < s$ implies

$$\phi(r) \leq c_2 \left(\frac{r}{s} \right)^\gamma \phi(s), \quad (15)$$

where c_2 is some constant that depends on c_1, α and γ .

In other words for any $0 < \gamma < \alpha$ we can prove ?? by proving ?? for a sufficiently small δ . We will prove this later. Pick $0 < \beta < 1$ and apply this to ?? with $\phi(r) = \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2$ and $\gamma = n - 2 + 2\beta$ to get

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \leq c \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{n-2+2\beta} \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2. \quad (16)$$

Let $C = \left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^{n-2+2\beta} \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2$, then

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \leq c \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{n-2+2\beta} C, \quad (17)$$

so $u \in C^\beta$ by Morrey's lemma. \blacksquare

Now prove lemma's 1.2 and 1.3.

Lemma 1.2. We wish to show that

$$\int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla(u - v)|^2 \leq \left(\frac{n\|A - A(x_0)\|}{\lambda}\right)^2 \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 \quad (18)$$

and

$$\int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla(u - v)|^2 \leq \left(\frac{n\|A - A(x_0)\|}{\lambda}\right)^2 \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2. \quad (19)$$

Proof We will prove the first equation. The proof of the second is analogous. Calculate

$$\lambda \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla(u - v)|^2 \leq \int_{B_s(x_0)} A_{ij} \frac{\partial(u - v)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial(u - v)}{\partial x_j} \quad (20)$$

$$\leq \int_{B_s(x_0)} A_{ij} \frac{\partial(u - v)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \int_{B_s(x_0)} A_{ij} \frac{\partial(v - u)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j}. \quad (21)$$

Work on the first term. Clearly $\int_{\partial B_s(x_0)} (u - v) A \nabla u \cdot dS = 0$. By Stokes' theorem we get

$$\int_{B_s(x_0)} A_{ij} \frac{\partial(u - v)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} = - \int_{B_s(x_0)} (u - v) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} A_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} = \int_{B_s(x_0)} (u - v) Lu = 0. \quad (22)$$

Plugging this into ?? gives

$$\lambda \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla(u - v)|^2 \leq \int_{B_s(x_0)} A_{ij} \frac{\partial(v - u)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j}. \quad (23)$$

By a similar calculation to ?? we get $\int_{B_s(x_0)} A_{ij}(x_0) \frac{\partial(v-u)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} = 0$, and

$$\lambda \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla(u-v)|^2 \leq \int_{B_s(x_0)} (A_{ij} - A_{ij}(x_0)) \frac{\partial(v-u)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \quad (24)$$

$$\leq \|A - A(x_0)\| \int_{B_s(x_0)} \sum_{i,j} \left| \frac{\partial(v-u)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right|. \quad (25)$$

Now we need a minilemma, namely that if u, v are n vectors then $\sum_{i,j} u_i v_j \leq n|u||v|$. Let w be the vector with $w_i = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_n$ for all i . Note that

$$|w| = \sqrt{n(v_1 + \dots + v_n)^2} \quad (26)$$

$$\leq n^{3/2} \sqrt{\frac{(v_1 + \dots + v_n)^2}{n^2}} \quad (27)$$

$$\leq n^{3/2} \sqrt{\frac{v_1^2 + \dots + v_n^2}{n}} \quad (28)$$

$$\leq n|v| \quad (29)$$

since the square of the mean is less than or equal to the mean of the square. From this we get $\sum_{i,j} u_i v_j = u \cdot w \leq |u||w| \leq n|u||v|$ as expected. Applying this to $\nabla(u-v)$ and ∇v gives

$$\lambda \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla(u-v)|^2 \leq n \|A - A(x_0)\| \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla(u-v)| |\nabla v| \quad (30)$$

$$\leq n \|A - A(x_0)\| \left(\int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla(u-v)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad (31)$$

Finally divide and square to get

$$\int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla(u-v)|^2 \leq \left(\frac{n \|A - A(x_0)\|}{\lambda} \right)^2 \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 \quad (32)$$

as required. \blacksquare

Lemma 1.3. We will show that if ϕ is a positive and increasing function on \mathbb{R}^+ and

$$\phi(r) \leq c_1 \left(\left(\frac{r}{r'} \right)^\alpha + \delta \right) \phi(s) \quad (33)$$

for $r < r'$ and $0 < \delta < 1$ then

$$\phi(r) \leq c_2(\gamma) \left(\frac{r}{s} \right)^\gamma \phi(s) \quad (34)$$

where $\gamma = \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\log 2c_1}{\log \delta} \right)$, and c_2 is a constant depending on γ .

Proof Choose $\tau = \delta^{1/\alpha}$ so that $\delta = \tau^\alpha$. Then

$$\phi(\tau s) \leq c(\tau^\alpha + \delta)\phi(s) \leq 2c\tau^\alpha\phi(s). \quad (35)$$

Therefore

$$\phi(\tau^k s) \leq (2c_1\tau^\alpha)^k\phi(s). \quad (36)$$

Pick $\gamma = \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\log 2c_1}{\log \delta}\right)$ so that $2c_1\tau^{\alpha-\gamma} = 1$ and we have

$$\phi(\tau^k s) \leq \tau^{k\gamma}\phi(s). \quad (37)$$

When $r = \tau^k s$ this is precisely what we wanted with $c_2 = 1$. If instead $\tau^{k+1}s \leq r \leq \tau^k s$ then

$$\phi(r) \leq \phi(\tau^k s) \leq \tau^{k\gamma}\phi(s) \leq \frac{1}{\tau} \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^\gamma \phi(s) \quad (38)$$

which is what we needed. Finally note that by using a small δ we can get γ as close as we like to α (though the constant will become nastier). ■