

# An Improved Gradient Estimate for Harmonic Functions

## 1 The new gradient estimate

Last lecture we used an improved form of the gradient estimate for harmonic functions. We will now prove it.

**Theorem 1.1** *There are dimensional constants  $c$  such that*

$$\sup_{B_r} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u} \leq \frac{c}{r} \quad (1)$$

for all positive harmonic functions  $u : B_{2r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof** We will prove the result for  $r = 1$  and claim that the general case follows immediately by scaling. As usual we take a non-negative test function  $\phi : B_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\phi = 0$  on  $\partial B_2$ . Define  $v = \log u$  and  $w = |\nabla v|^2$ . Note that  $\nabla v = \frac{\nabla u}{u}$  and  $\Delta v = -\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} = -w$ . We start by bounding  $\Delta(w\phi^4) + 2\nabla v \cdot \nabla(w\phi^4)$  by a quartic polynomial in  $w^{1/2}\phi$ . Calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w &= \Delta |\nabla v|^2 \\ &= 2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 + 2 \langle \nabla \Delta v, \nabla v \rangle \\ &= 2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 - 2 \langle \nabla w, \nabla v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

by the Bochner formula. Therefore

$$\Delta(w\phi^4) = \phi^4 \Delta w + 2\nabla \phi^4 \cdot \nabla w + w \Delta \phi^4 \quad (2)$$

$$= 2\phi^4 \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 - 2\phi^4 \langle \nabla w, \nabla v \rangle + 2\nabla \phi^4 \cdot \nabla w + w \Delta \phi^4. \quad (3)$$

Now try to find our quartic bound. Consider

$$\Delta(w\phi^4) + 2\nabla v \cdot \nabla(w\phi^4) = \Delta(w\phi^4) + 2\phi^4 \nabla v \cdot \nabla w + 2w \nabla v \cdot \nabla \phi^4. \quad (4)$$

Substitute for  $\Delta(w\phi^4)$  from (3) to get

$$\Delta(w\phi^4) + 2\nabla v \cdot \nabla(w\phi^4) = 2\phi^4 \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 + 2\nabla\phi^4 \cdot \nabla w + w\Delta\phi^4 + 2w\nabla v \cdot \nabla\phi^4. \quad (5)$$

We need to write the second term out in terms of partial derivatives. Calculate

$$\begin{aligned} 2\nabla\phi^4 \cdot \nabla w &= 2 \frac{\partial\phi^4}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial|\nabla v|^2}{\partial x_i} \\ &= 4 \frac{\partial\phi^4}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\Delta(w\phi^4) + 2\nabla v \cdot \nabla(w\phi^4) = 2\phi^4 \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 + 4 \frac{\partial\phi^4}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} + w\Delta\phi^4 + 2w\nabla v \cdot \nabla\phi^4. \quad (6)$$

Use an absorbing inequality to simplify. Let  $a_{ij} = \phi^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$  and  $b_{ij} = \frac{\partial\phi^4}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j}$ . Note that  $a_{ij}^2 + 4a_{ij}b_{ij} \geq -4b_{ij}^2$ . Together with (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(w\phi^4) + 2\nabla v \cdot \nabla(w\phi^4) &\geq \phi^4 \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 - 4b_{ij}^2 + w\Delta\phi^4 + 2w\nabla v \cdot \nabla\phi^4 \\ &\geq \phi^4 \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 - 4|\nabla\phi^4|^2 |\nabla v|^2 + w\Delta\phi^4 + 2w\nabla v \cdot \nabla\phi^4 \\ &\geq \phi^4 \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 - 16\phi^6 |\nabla\phi|^2 |\nabla v|^2 + (4\phi^3 \Delta\phi + 12\phi^2 |\nabla\phi|^2)w - 8\phi^3 w |\nabla v| |\nabla\phi| \end{aligned}$$

since  $\phi$  and  $w$  are both non-negative. Observe that  $\phi$ ,  $|\nabla\phi|$ , and  $\Delta\phi$  are bounded, so there are constants  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  such that

$$\Delta(w\phi^4) + 2\nabla v \cdot \nabla(w\phi^4) \geq \phi^4 \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 - c_1\phi^2 |\nabla v|^2 + c_2\phi^2 w - c_3\phi^3 w |\nabla v|. \quad (7)$$

Recall that for any collection of real numbers the average of the squares is greater than the square of the average. Thus for any matrix  $A$

$$\frac{\sum A_{ij}^2}{n} \geq \frac{\sum A_{ii}^2}{n} \geq \left( \frac{\sum A_{ii}}{n} \right)^2.$$

Apply this above to give

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right)^2 \geq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i^2}\right)^2 = \frac{(\Delta v)^2}{n},$$

and substitute this into (7) ;

$$\Delta(w\phi^4) + 2\nabla v \cdot \nabla(w\phi^4) \geq \phi^4 \frac{(\Delta v)^2}{n} - c_1 \phi^2 |\nabla v|^2 + c_2 \phi^2 w - c_3 \phi^3 w |\nabla v|. \quad (8)$$

Observe that  $\Delta v = -|\nabla v|^2 = -w$ , so we have

$$\Delta(w\phi^4) + 2\nabla v \cdot \nabla(w\phi^4) \geq \phi^4 \frac{w^2}{n} - c_1 \phi^2 w + c_2 \phi^2 w - c_3 \phi^3 w^{3/2}. \quad (9)$$

This is the bound we were looking for.

Now apply this. Since  $\phi$  is zero on the boundary of  $B_2$ ,  $\phi^4 w$  takes it's maximum in the interior. Let  $x$  be the maximum. At  $x$ ,  $\nabla(\phi^4 w) = 0$  and  $0 \geq \Delta(\phi^4 w)$ , so

$$0 \geq \frac{1}{n}(\phi w^{1/2})^4 + (c_2 - c_1)(\phi w^{1/2})^2 - c_3(\phi w^{1/2})^3. \quad (10)$$

This is a quartic polynomial in  $\phi w^{1/2}$  with positive leading coefficient. Such polynomials are positive for large argument, so there is a constant  $k$  with  $|\phi(x)(w(x))^{1/2}| \leq k$ . Note that  $k$  depends only on the coefficients of the polynomial. The coefficients themselves depend only on dimension, so  $k$  also depends only on dimension. Choose  $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ . Then

$$\sup_{B_2} \phi^4 w = (\phi(x))^4 w(x) \leq (\phi(x)(w(x))^{1/2})^2 \leq k^2. \quad (11)$$

Finally we choose  $\phi$  to be identically one on  $B_1$ , so

$$\sup_{B_1} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} = \sup_{B_1} \phi^4 w \quad (12)$$

$$\leq \sup_{B_2} \phi^4 w \quad (13)$$

$$\leq k^2 \quad (14)$$

and take square roots to give our result. ■

The gradient estimate we proved earlier follows easily from this; this is a stronger result. As we saw last time the Harnack inequality is also a reasonably straightforward consequence. The only major annoyance is that we needed  $u > 0$ .