

Lecture Five: The Cacciopoli Inequality

1 The Cacciopoli Inequality

The Cacciopoli (or Reverse Poincare) Inequality bounds similar terms to the Poincare inequalities studied last time, but the other way around. The statement is this.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $u : B_{2r} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfy $u\Delta u \geq 0$. Then*

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \frac{4}{r^2} \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} u^2. \quad (1)$$

First prove a Lemma.

Lemma 1.2 *If $u : B_{2r} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfies $u\Delta u \geq 0$, and $\phi : B_{2r} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is non-negative with $\phi = 0$ on ∂B_{2r} , then*

$$\int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 |\nabla u|^2 \leq 4 \int_{B_{2r}} |u|^2 |\nabla \phi|^2. \quad (2)$$

Proof Consider

$$0 \leq \int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 u \Delta u. \quad (3)$$

Clearly $\int_{\partial B_{2r}} \phi^2 u \nabla u \cdot dS = 0$, so apply Stokes' theorem to get $\int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 u \Delta u + \int_{B_{2r}} \nabla(\phi^2 u) \cdot \nabla u = 0$. From this

$$0 \leq - \int_{B_{2r}} \nabla(\phi^2 u) \nabla u = -2 \int_{B_{2r}} \phi u \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla u - \int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 |\nabla u|^2, \quad (4)$$

and so

$$\int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 |\nabla u|^2 \leq -2 \int_{B_{2r}} \phi u \nabla \phi \nabla u \quad (5)$$

$$\leq 2 \int_{B_{2r}} \phi |u| |\nabla \phi| |\nabla u|. \quad (6)$$

Recall the inequality $\int fg \leq (\int f^2)^{1/2} (\int g^2)^{1/2}$ for any functions f and g (this is one form of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality), and apply it above to get

$$\int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 |\nabla u|^2 \leq 2 \left(\int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |u|^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (7)$$

Dividing and squaring then gives

$$\int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 |\nabla u|^2 \leq 4 \int_{B_{2r}} |u|^2 |\nabla \phi|^2. \quad \blacksquare \quad (8)$$

To complete the proof of theorem 1.1 pick

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \leq r; \\ \frac{2r-|x|}{r} & \text{if } r < |x| \leq 2r, \end{cases}$$

so $|\nabla \phi| = 0$ on B_r and $|\nabla \phi| = 1/r$ on $B_{2r} \setminus B_r$. Substitute this into the lemma to obtain the result, namely

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \frac{4}{r^2} \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} u^2. \quad (9)$$

2 Applications of the Caccioppoli Inequality

2.1 Bounding the growth of a harmonic function

One nice consequence of the Caccioppoli Inequality is the following inequality bounding the rate at which a harmonic function can decay.

Proposition 2.1 *There are strictly positive dimensional constants $k(n)$ such that*

$$\int_{B_{2r}} u^2 \geq (1 + k(n)) \int_{B_r} u^2 \quad (10)$$

for all harmonic functions $u : B_{2r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Proof Let ϕ be a test function as before, and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla(\phi u)|^2 &= \int_{B_{2r}} |\phi \nabla u + u \nabla \phi|^2 \\ &= \int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 |\nabla u|^2 + u^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 + 2u \phi \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla u. \end{aligned}$$

Apply Cauchy-Schwarz and lemma 1.2 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla(\phi u)|^2 &\leq \int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{B_{2r}} u^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 + 2 \left(\int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_{2r}} u^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq 2 \int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 |\nabla u|^2 + 2 \int_{B_{2r}} u^2 |\nabla \phi|^2. \\
&\leq 10 \int_{B_{2r}} u^2 |\nabla \phi|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now make the same choice of ϕ as before to give

$$\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla(\phi u)|^2 \leq \frac{10}{r^2} \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} u^2 \tag{11}$$

and apply Dirichlet-Poincare to the left hand side to get

$$\frac{1}{C(n)r^2} \int_{B_{2r}} \phi^2 u^2 \leq \frac{10}{r^2} \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} u^2. \tag{12}$$

Since $(\phi u)^2$ is a positive function we can reduce the area of the integration, therefore

$$k(n) \int_{B_r} \phi^2 u^2 \leq \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} u^2. \tag{13}$$

for $k(n) = \frac{1}{10C(n)}$. Finally note that $\phi = 1$ on B_r , so

$$k(n) \int_{B_r} u^2 \leq \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} u^2, \tag{14}$$

and

$$(1 + k(n)) \int_{B_r} u^2 \leq \int_{B_{2r}} u^2. \tag{15}$$

This completes the proof. ■

2.2 Bounding the growth of the energy of a harmonic function

We will now prove a similar inequality for the Dirichlet energy of a harmonic function.

Proposition 2.2 *There are dimensional constants $c(n)$ such that*

$$\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla u|^2 \geq (1 + \theta(n)) \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2. \tag{16}$$

for all harmonic functions $u : B_{2r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Proof It suffices to show that

$$c(n) \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} |\nabla u|^2. \quad (17)$$

To do this we use two inequalities. Firstly we will state and use without proof the Neumann-Poincare inequality for an annulus, namely if $A = \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r}} \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} u$ then

$$\int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} (u - A)^2 \leq d(n)r^2 \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} |\nabla u|^2. \quad (18)$$

Secondly we use Caccioppoli, noting that if $\Delta u = 0$ then $\Delta(u + A) = 0$, and $\nabla(u + A) = \nabla u$, to give

$$r^2 \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 \leq 4 \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} (u - A)^2. \quad (19)$$

Together (15) and (16) give

$$\frac{1}{4d(n)} \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} |\nabla u|^2 \quad (20)$$

as required. ■