

# Lecture Eight: Maximum principles and gradient estimates

## 1 The Maximum Principle for more general operators

Let  $u : B_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $C^2$  function, and let  $L$  be a uniformly elliptic differential operator taking

$$Lu = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \quad (1)$$

for some real  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix  $A$  with continuously differentiable entries. If  $x$  is an internal maximum of  $u$  then

$$\nabla u = 0 \text{ and } Lu \leq 0$$

at  $x$ . When  $A$  is the identity matrix this is exactly the maximum principle from lecture 1. If not then we pick coordinates at  $x$  so that  $A(x)$  is diagonal. Since all the eigenvalues of  $A(x)$  are positive we have

$$Lu = \sum_i b_i \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} \Big|_{(x)} \quad (2)$$

for some collection of positive constants  $b_i$ . Since  $x$  is a maximum  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} \Big|_{(x)}$  is non-positive for all  $i$ , and  $Lu \leq 0$  as expected.

## 2 The gradient estimate for $L$ -harmonic functions

Recall the gradient estimate

$$\sup_{B_r} |\nabla u| \leq \frac{c(n)}{r} \sup_{B_{2r}} |u|$$

for harmonic functions  $u$ . We will prove a similar estimate for uniformly elliptic operators.

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $L$  be a uniformly elliptic operator as above, with*

$$\lambda|\mathbf{v}|^2 \leq \mathbf{v} \cdot (A\mathbf{v}) \leq \Lambda|\mathbf{v}|^2$$

*for some real  $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$ . There are constants  $C$  which depend only on the operator and the dimension of the space such that*

$$\sup_{B_r} |\nabla u| \leq \frac{C}{r} \sup_{B_{2r}} |u| \quad (3)$$

*for all  $L$ -harmonic functions  $u$  on  $B_{2r}$ .*

**Proof** This proof follows essentially the same steps as the proof for the earlier gradient estimate from lecture 2, but each step is now more complicated. When constants are introduced it is important to notice that they depend only on  $A, n, \lambda$  and  $\Lambda$ . As before we will first prove the case  $r = 1$ , and then extend to general  $r$ .

**Step 1.** One key part of the proof in the harmonic case was the Bochner formula. We will prove a similar result for  $L$  harmonic functions. Calculate

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} |\nabla u|^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \sum_k 2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \quad (4)$$

$$= 2 \sum_k \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_k}. \quad (5)$$

Therefore

$$L|\nabla u|^2 = 2 \sum_{ijk} A_{ij} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} + 2 \sum_k \left( \sum_{ij} A_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} \right). \quad (6)$$

Let  $v_k = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}$ . The last term is  $\nabla v_k \cdot A \nabla v_k \geq \lambda |\nabla v_k|^2$  by uniform ellipticity. Substituting in gives

$$L|\nabla u|^2 \geq 2 \sum_{ijk} A_{ij} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} + 2\lambda \sum_{ik} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} \right)^2. \quad (7)$$

Now work on the first term.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{ijk} A_{ij} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} &= \sum_{ijk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left( A_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} - \sum_{ijk} \frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \\ &= \sum_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (Lu) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} - \sum_{ijk} \frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \\ &= - \sum_{ijk} \frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \end{aligned}$$

since  $Lu = 0$ . Together with (7) this gives

$$L|\nabla u|^2 \geq -2 \sum_{ijk} \frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} + 2\lambda \sum_{ik} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} \right)^2. \quad (8)$$

Let  $c_{ij} = \sum_k \frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}$  and  $d_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ . We can re-write (8) as

$$L|\nabla u|^2 \geq -2 \sum_{ij} c_{ij} d_{ij} + 2\lambda \sum_{ij} d_{ij}^2. \quad (9)$$

Note that

$$\lambda d_{ij}^2 - 2c_{ij} d_{ij} + \frac{c_{ij}^2}{\lambda} = \left( \frac{c_{ij}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} - \sqrt{\lambda} d_{ij} \right)^2 \geq 0, \quad (10)$$

and so

$$\lambda d_{ij}^2 - 2c_{ij} d_{ij} \geq -\frac{1}{\lambda} c_{ij}^2. \quad (11)$$

Apply this to (9) to get

$$L|\nabla u|^2 \geq -\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{ij} c_{ij}^2 + \lambda \sum_{i,j} d_{ij}^2, \quad (12)$$

or, in the old notation,

$$\begin{aligned} L|\nabla u|^2 &\geq -\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{ij} \left( \sum_k \frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{ik} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} \right)^2 \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{ij} (\nabla A_{ij} \cdot \nabla u)^2 + \lambda \sum_{ik} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} \right)^2 \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{ij} |\nabla A_{ij}|^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \lambda \sum_{ik} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} \right)^2 \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \sum_{ij} |\nabla A_{ij}|^2 \right) |\nabla u|^2 + \lambda \sum_{ik} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Pick  $c_1 = \sup_{B_{2r}} \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \sum_{ij} |\nabla A_{ij}|^2 \right) > 0$ . We have

$$L|\nabla u|^2 \geq -c_1 |\nabla u|^2 + \lambda \sum_{ik} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} \right)^2. \quad (13)$$

**Step 2.** We will also need to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
L(u^2) &= \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \\
&= A_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( 2u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) \\
&= 2u A_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + 2A_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \\
&= 2A_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}
\end{aligned}$$

since  $Lu = 0$ . Now apply uniform ellipticity to get

$$L(u^2) \geq 2\lambda |\nabla u|^2. \quad (14)$$

**Step 3.** Assume  $r = 1$ , and pick  $\phi$  a test function with  $\phi = 0$  on  $\partial B_2$ , and  $\phi > 0$  on the interior. We need a bound for  $L(\phi^2 |\nabla u|^2)$ . Calculate

$$L(\phi^2 |\nabla u|^2) = A_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 (\phi^2 |\nabla u|^2)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \quad (15)$$

$$= \phi^2 A_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 |\nabla u|^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + |\nabla u|^2 A_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \phi^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + 2A_{ij} \phi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial |\nabla u|^2}{\partial x_j} \quad (16)$$

$$= \phi^2 L(|\nabla u|^2) + L(\phi^2) |\nabla u|^2 + 4A_{ij} \phi \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}. \quad (17)$$

Note that  $L\phi^2$  is bounded on  $B_2$ , say  $L\phi^2 \geq c_2$ . Applying this bound together with inequality (13) we get

$$L(\phi^2 |\nabla u|^2) \geq (c_2 - c_1 \phi^2) |\nabla u|^2 + \lambda \phi^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right)^2 + 4A_{ij} \phi \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}. \quad (18)$$

The function  $\phi^2$  is also bounded on  $B_2$ , so we can pick a positive constant  $c_3$  such that  $c_1 - k\phi^2 \geq -c_3$ . Then

$$L(\phi^2 |\nabla u|^2) \geq -c_3 |\nabla u|^2 + \lambda \phi^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right)^2 + 4A_{ij} \phi \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}. \quad (19)$$

Now set  $\gamma_{jk} = \phi \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}$  and  $\delta_{jk} = A_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i}$ , and rewrite as

$$L(\phi^2 |\nabla u|^2) \geq -c_3 |\nabla u|^2 + \lambda \gamma_{ik}^2 + 4\gamma_{jk} \delta_{jk}. \quad (20)$$

Use an absorbing inequality to show that

$$L(\phi^2|\nabla u|^2) \geq -c_3|\nabla u|^2 - c_4\delta_{jk}^2 \quad (21)$$

for some positive constant  $c_4$ . Substitute in for  $\delta_{jk}$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(\phi^2|\nabla u|^2) &\geq -c_3|\nabla u|^2 - c_4 \sum_{j,k} \left( \sum_i A_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \\ &\geq -c_3|\nabla u|^2 - c_4 n \sum_{j,k} \sum_i \left( A_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

since for any collection of real numbers the average of the squares is greater than the square of the averages. The functions  $A_{ij}$  are bounded on  $B_2$ , so there is a constant  $k$  with  $|A_{ij}| \leq k$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} L(\phi^2|\nabla u|^2) &\geq -c_3|\nabla u|^2 - c_4 n k^2 \sum_{j,k} \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \\ &\geq -c_3|\nabla u|^2 - c_4 n^2 k^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 |\nabla u|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and since  $|\nabla \phi|^2$  is bounded on  $B_2$

$$L(\phi^2|\nabla u|^2) \geq -c_5|\nabla u|^2 \quad (22)$$

for some constant  $c_5$  which depends only on the dimension and  $L$ .

**Step 4.** Apply the maximum principle. By steps 2 and 3

$$L(\phi^2|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{c_5}{2\lambda}u^2) \geq 0, \quad (23)$$

so, by the maximum principle,  $\phi^2|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{c_5}{2\lambda}u^2$  has no interior maxima. Therefore it takes it's maximum on the boundary. We have shown that

$$\sup_{B_2}(\phi^2|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{c_5}{2\lambda}u^2) = \sup_{\partial B_2}(\phi^2|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{c_5}{2\lambda}u^2). \quad (24)$$

Recall that  $\phi$  is zero on the boundary, and choose it to be identically one on  $B_1$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B_1}|\nabla u|^2 &\leq \sup_{B_1}(\phi^2|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{c_5}{2\lambda}u^2) \\ &\leq \sup_{B_2}(\phi^2|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{c_5}{2\lambda}u^2) \\ &\leq \sup_{\partial B_2}(\phi^2|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{c_5}{2\lambda}u^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{c_5}{2\lambda} \sup_{\partial B_2} u^2 \\
&\leq \frac{c_5}{2\lambda} \sup_{B_2} u^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally take square roots to obtain

$$\sup_{B_1} |\nabla u| \leq \frac{c_5}{2\lambda} \sup_{B_2} |u| \tag{25}$$

as expected.

**step 5.** Scale for general  $r$ . Assume  $u$  is  $L$ -harmonic on  $B_{2r}$ , and define  $u'(\mathbf{x}) = u(r\mathbf{x})$ . Then  $u'$  is  $L$  harmonic on  $B_2$ . Plugging  $u'$  into (25) we get

$$r \sup_{B_r} |\nabla u| \leq \frac{c_5}{2\lambda} \sup_{B_{2r}} |u| \tag{26}$$

as required. ■