

## 18.303 Midterm Solutions, Fall 2014

### Problem 1:

Define the inner product  $\langle u, v \rangle_c = \int_{\Omega} c \bar{u} v = \int_{\Omega} \bar{c} u v = \langle cu, v \rangle$  where  $\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \bar{u} v$ . Then  $\langle u, \hat{A}v \rangle_c = \langle cu, (cv)'' \rangle = \langle (cu)'' , cv \rangle = \langle c\hat{A}u, v \rangle = \langle \hat{A}u, v \rangle_c$ , where we have used the self-adjointness of  $d^2/dx^2$  under  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  from class. Therefore,  $\hat{A} = \hat{A}^*$  under the  $\langle u, v \rangle_c$  inner product (which is a proper inner product for real  $c > 0$ ).

### Problem 2:

We need  $-\nabla^2 g = \delta(\mathbf{x})$ , and we determine this by evaluating both sides with an arbitrary test function  $\psi$ , using the distributional derivative  $(-\nabla^2 g)\{\psi\} = g\{-\nabla^2 \psi\}$  as in class. In cylindrical coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} g\{-\nabla^2 \psi\} &= - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} r dr \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi c \ln r \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \phi^2} \right] \\ &= -c \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} dr \ln r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{c \ln r}{r} dr \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \phi} \Big|_0^{2\pi} \\ &= -c \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left[ r \ln r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \Big|_{\epsilon}^{\infty} - \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} dr \frac{\partial(\ln r)}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) \right] \\ &= c \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \psi \Big|_{r=\epsilon}^{r=\infty} = -2\pi c \psi(\mathbf{0}). \end{aligned}$$

To get  $\delta\psi = \psi(\mathbf{0})$ , therefore, we need  $\boxed{c = -1/2\pi}$ .

### Problem 3:

It is convenient to write  $\hat{D}_{\sigma} = \hat{D} - \sigma I$ , where  $I$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix. Then it follows from  $\hat{D}^* = -\hat{D}$  and  $(\sigma I)^* = \sigma I$  (since  $\sigma$  is a real scalar and  $I$  is obviously self-adjoint) under the usual inner product  $\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}' \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{w}^* \mathbf{w}'$  that we have  $\hat{D}_{\sigma}^* = -\hat{D} - \sigma I$  and  $\hat{D}_{\sigma} + \hat{D}_{\sigma}^* = -2\sigma I$ .

(a) For a solution  $\mathbf{w}$  of  $\hat{D}_{\sigma} \mathbf{w} = \partial \mathbf{w} / \partial t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w} \rangle / \partial t &= \langle \partial \mathbf{w} / \partial t, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{w}, \partial \mathbf{w} / \partial t \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{D}_{\sigma} \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{w}, \hat{D}_{\sigma} \mathbf{w} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{w}, \hat{D}_{\sigma}^* \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{w}, \hat{D}_{\sigma} \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{w}, (\hat{D}_{\sigma}^* + \hat{D}_{\sigma}) \mathbf{w} \rangle \\ &= -2 \langle \mathbf{w}, \sigma \mathbf{w} \rangle = -2 \int \sigma(x) \|\mathbf{w}(x)\|^2 < 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$  is **decreasing** in time.

If  $\sigma(x) \geq \sigma_0 > 0$  for some  $\sigma_0$ , then we can go further and say that  $E(t) = \|\mathbf{w}\|^2$  is decaying at least exponentially fast in time, since in that case  $dE/dt \leq -2\sigma_0 E$  and from this one can show that  $E(t) \leq E(0)e^{-2\sigma_0 t}$ .

(i) Given an eigensolution  $\hat{D}_{\sigma} \mathbf{w}_n = \lambda_n \mathbf{w}_n$ , we can consider

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{w}_n, (\hat{D}_{\sigma} + \hat{D}_{\sigma}^*) \mathbf{w}_n \rangle &= -2 \langle \mathbf{w}_n, \sigma \mathbf{w}_n \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{w}_n, \hat{D}_{\sigma} \mathbf{w}_n \rangle + \langle \hat{D}_{\sigma} \mathbf{w}_n, \mathbf{w}_n \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{w}_n, \lambda_n \mathbf{w}_n \rangle + \langle \lambda_n \mathbf{w}_n, \mathbf{w}_n \rangle \\ &= (\lambda_n + \bar{\lambda}_n) \langle \mathbf{w}_n, \mathbf{w}_n \rangle = \langle \mathbf{w}_n, \mathbf{w}_n \rangle 2 \operatorname{Re} \lambda_n. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we moved  $\hat{D}_{\sigma}^*$  to act on the left via its adjoint. It is *not in general true* that  $\hat{D}_{\sigma}^* \mathbf{w}_n = \bar{\lambda}_n \mathbf{w}_n$ . Then we have:

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n = - \frac{\langle \mathbf{w}_n, \sigma \mathbf{w}_n \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{w}_n, \mathbf{w}_n \rangle} < 0$$

since  $\sigma > 0$ . Hence the eigensolutions are **decaying exponentially** in time (while they oscillate via the imaginary part of  $\lambda_n$ ), from their time dependence  $e^{\lambda_n t}$ .

#### Problem 4:

We will have  $\partial u/\partial t = \partial v/\partial x - \sigma u$  and  $\partial v/\partial t = \partial u/\partial x - \sigma v$ , so the only new terms are the  $\sigma$  terms. In the discretized  $\partial u/\partial t$  equation, the left-hand side is evaluated at point  $m$  and time  $n+0.5$ , so we have to get  $u_m^{n+0.5} = \frac{u_m^n + u_m^{n+1}}{2} + O(\Delta t^2)$  by averaging (similarly to how we handled the Crank-Nicolson discretization in class). Similarly for the  $\partial v/\partial t$  equation. Hence, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{u_m^{n+1} - u_m^n}{\Delta t} &= \frac{v_{m+0.5}^{n+0.5} - v_{m-0.5}^{n+0.5}}{\Delta x} - \sigma \frac{u_m^{n+1} + u_m^n}{2}, \\ \frac{v_{m+0.5}^{n+0.5} - v_{m+0.5}^{n-0.5}}{\Delta t} &= \frac{u_{m+1}^n - u_m^n}{\Delta x} - \sigma \frac{v_{m+0.5}^{n+0.5} + v_{m+0.5}^{n-0.5}}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Solving for  $u_m^{n+1}$  and  $v_{m+0.5}^{n+0.5}$ , we obtain the “leap-frog” equations:

$$\begin{aligned}u_m^{n+1} &= \left(1 + \frac{\sigma \Delta t}{2}\right)^{-1} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{\sigma \Delta t}{2}\right) u_m^n + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} (v_{m+0.5}^{n+0.5} - v_{m-0.5}^{n+0.5}) \right], \\ v_{m+0.5}^{n+0.5} &= \left(1 + \frac{\sigma \Delta t}{2}\right)^{-1} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{\sigma \Delta t}{2}\right) v_{m+0.5}^{n-0.5} + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} (u_{m+1}^n - u_m^n) \right].\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\sigma > 0$ , so we are never dividing by zero in  $1 + \sigma \Delta t/2$ , regardless of  $\Delta t$ , which is comforting.

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.303 Linear Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Numerics  
Fall 2014

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.