

Lecture 3

*Lecturer: Daniel A. Spielman***3.1 Analysis of repetition code meta-channel**

When we specialize our interpretation of the output of a channel to the meta channel formed by encoding using the repetition code and transmitting over another channel, we solve a fundamental problem of probability: *how to combine the results of independent experiments*.

That is, let w be a random variable taking values in $\{0, 1\}$. Imagine encoding w using the repeat-2-times code to $(x_1, x_2) = (w, w)$, and transmitting x_1 and x_2 over a memoryless channel (so each transmission is independent). Equivalently, we could assume that x_1 is transmitted over one channel and x_2 is transmitted over another. Let y_1 and y_2 be the random variables corresponding to the outputs of the channel, let b_1 and b_2 be the values actually received, and let

$$p_1 = \mathbb{P}[x_1 = 1 | y_1 = b_1], \text{ and}$$

$$p_2 = \mathbb{P}[x_2 = 1 | y_2 = b_2].$$

We would like to know the probability that $w = 1$ given both y_1 and y_2 . As before, we will assume that w was uniformly distributed (half chance 0 and half chance 1). I think of each channel transmission as an experiment, and I now want to determine the probability that w was 1 given the results of both experiments.

By the theorem from last class, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[w = 1 | y_1 = b_1 \text{ and } y_2 = b_2] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[y_1 = b_1 \text{ and } y_2 = b_2 | w = 1]}{\mathbb{P}[y_1 = b_1 \text{ and } y_2 = b_2 | w = 1] + \mathbb{P}[y_1 = b_1 \text{ and } y_2 = b_2 | w = 0]} \quad (3.1)$$

To evaluate this probability, we first note that

$$\mathbb{P}[y_1 = b_1 | w = 1] = \mathbb{P}[w = 1 | y_1 = b_1] \mathbb{P}[y_1 = b_1] / \mathbb{P}[w = 1] = p_1 \mathbb{P}[y_1 = b_1] / \mathbb{P}[w = 1].$$

While we do not necessarily know $\mathbb{P}[y_1 = b_1]$, it will turn out not to matter.

Since the two channel outputs are independent given w , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[y_1 = b_1 \text{ and } y_2 = b_2 | w = 1] &= \mathbb{P}[y_1 = b_1 | w = 1] \mathbb{P}[y_2 = b_2 | w = 1] \\ &= \frac{p_1 \mathbb{P}[y_1 = b_1] p_2 \mathbb{P}[y_2 = b_2]}{\mathbb{P}[w = 1] \mathbb{P}[w = 1]}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying $P[w = 0|y_1 = b_1] = 1 - P[w = 1|y_1 = b_1]$, we can also compute

$$P[y_1 = b_1 \text{ and } y_2 = b_2|w = 0] = \frac{(1 - p_1)P[y_1 = b_1](1 - p_2)P[y_2 = b_2]}{P[w = 0]^2}.$$

Combining these equations, and $P[w = 0] = P[w = 1] = 1/2$, we obtain

$$(3.1) = \frac{p_1 p_2}{p_1 p_2 + (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2)}.$$

In particular, the terms we don't know cancel!

3.2 Capacity of meta-channel

Consider the meta-channel obtained by encoding a bit w via the repeat-2-times code to obtain (x_1, x_2) , and then passing these bits through the BSC_p to obtain (y_1, y_2) . We will now compute the capacity of this meta-channel. We begin with the computation of the quantities that appear in the formula for $I(w; (y_1, y_2))$:

$$\begin{aligned} P[w = 1|(y_1, y_2) = (1, 1)] &= \frac{(1 - p)^2}{p^2 + (1 - p)^2} \\ P[w = 1|(y_1, y_2) = (1, 0)] &= \frac{p(1 - p)}{p(1 - p) + (1 - p)p} = 1/2 \\ P[w = 1|(y_1, y_2) = (0, 0)] &= \frac{p^2}{p^2 + (1 - p)^2} \\ P[w = 0|(y_1, y_2) = (1, 1)] &= \frac{p^2}{p^2 + (1 - p)^2} \\ P[w = 0|(y_1, y_2) = (1, 0)] &= \frac{p(1 - p)}{p(1 - p) + (1 - p)p} = 1/2 \\ P[w = 0|(y_1, y_2) = (0, 0)] &= \frac{(1 - p)^2}{p^2 + (1 - p)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

To compute the capacity, we must assume that $P[w = 1] = P[w = 0] = 1/2$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} i(w = 1; (y_1, y_2) = (1, 1)) &= \log_2 \left(\frac{P[w = 1 | (y_1, y_2) = (1, 1)]}{P[w = 1]} \right), \\ &= \log_2 \left(\frac{2(1-p)^2}{(1-p)^2 + p^2} \right), \\ i(w = 1; (y_1, y_2) = (1, 0)) &= 0 \\ i(w = 1; (y_1, y_2) = (0, 0)) &= \log_2 \left(\frac{2p^2}{(1-p)^2 + p^2} \right), \\ i(w = 0; (y_1, y_2) = (0, 0)) &= \log_2 \left(\frac{2(1-p)^2}{(1-p)^2 + p^2} \right), \\ i(w = 0; (y_1, y_2) = (1, 0)) &= 0 \\ i(w = 0; (y_1, y_2) = (1, 1)) &= \log_2 \left(\frac{2p^2}{(1-p)^2 + p^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We now compute $I(w; y_1, y_2)$ by summing over all events:

$$\begin{aligned} I(w; y_1, y_2) &= \sum_{a, b_1, b_2} P[w = a, y_1 = b_1, y_2 = b_2] i(w = a; y_1 = b_1, y_2 = b_2) \\ &= ((1-p)^2 + p^2) \left(1 - H \left(\frac{p^2}{(1-p)^2 + p^2} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

3.3 Prior, Extrinsic, Posterior and Intrinsic Probabilities

It is unsatisfying to have to keep assuming that w is uniformly distributed just because we don't know how it is distributed. There is a way to avoid having to make this assumption. In the situation in which a variable w is chosen, and then experiments are performed that reveal information about w , such as passing w through a channel, we call the initial probability of $w = 1$ the *prior* probability of $w = 1$, usually written

$$P^{prior} [w = 1].$$

In general, when w can take one of many values a_1, \dots, a_m , the prior distribution is the vector of prior probabilities

$$(P^{prior} [w = a_1], P^{prior} [w = a_2], \dots, P^{prior} [w = a_m]).$$

Our experiments reveal the *extrinsic* probability of $w = 1$ given the outcome of the experiment. For example, if y is the output of a channel on input w , and b is the value received, then

$$P^{ext} [w = 1 | y = b] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{P[y = b | w = 1]}{P[y = b | w = 1] + P[y = b | w = 0]}$$

is the extrinsic probability of $w = 1$ given the event $y = b$. Up to now, we have really been computing *extrinsic* probabilities. For example, when we derived the interpretation of the output of a channel, we really derived the extrinsic probability.

If you know the prior probability, then you can combine this knowledge with the extrinsic probability to achieve the *posterior probability*: then actual probability of $w = 1$ given the channel output. Treating the prior and extrinsic probabilities as independent observations, and applying the calculation of the previous section, we obtain

$$P^{post} [w = 1|y = b] = \frac{P^{ext} [w = 1|y = b] P^{prior} [w = 1]}{P^{ext} [w = 1|y = b] P^{prior} [w = 1] + P^{ext} [w = 0|y = b] P^{prior} [w = 0]}.$$

A useful exercise would be to re-derive the probability that $w = 1$ given $y = b$ assuming that w is not uniformly distributed, and to observe that one obtains the above formula.

We will occasionally also see the term *intrinsic probability*. This will usually be treated in the same way as the prior, but will be distinguished from the prior in that it will often be determined from previous experiments.