

18.433 Combinatorial Optimization

Linear Programs

Oct 14

Lecturer: Santosh Vempala

A linear program consists of linear constraints with the goal of maximizing or minimizing a linear objective function subject to the constraints.

Lets look at the max-flow problem:

$$G = (V, E)$$

In this problem the capacities are u_{ij} and the flows are x_{ij} . The conditions a flow must satisfy are:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq x_{ij} &\leq u_{ij} & \forall i, j \in E \\ \sum_j x_{ij} &= \sum_j x_{ji} & \forall v \in V \setminus \{s, t\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that all of our constraints are linear as they are of the form:

$$a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_n x_n \stackrel{\geq}{\leq} b$$

We would like to find the maximum of $\sum_j x_{sj} - \sum_j x_{js}$.

Let's look at a minimum cost flow problem. The constraints are:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq x_{ij} &\leq u_{ij}, \\ \sum_j x_{ij} - \sum_j x_{ji} &= b(i) & \forall i \in V. \end{aligned}$$

The objective function is:

$$\sum_{i,j \in E} c_{ij} x_{ij}.$$

The goal is to minimize the objective function.

A maximum matching problem would have the following constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq x_e &\leq 1 & \forall e \in E \\ \sum_{e: e \text{ meets } v} x_e &\leq 1 & \forall v \in V \\ \sum_{e \in S} x_e &\leq \frac{|S| - 1}{2} & \forall S \subseteq V, |S| \text{ odd} \end{aligned}$$

The general form of a linear program is:

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad c = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad x, c \in \Re$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max } c^T x &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i & \text{or} & \quad \text{Min } c^T x = \text{Max} - c^T x \\ Ax \leq b, \quad x \geq 0 & & & a^T x \geq b \iff -a^T x \leq -b \end{aligned}$$

To solve:

$$\max cxAx \leq b$$

we can set $x = y - z$, where $y, z \geq 0$.

(Note: $a^T x \geq b \iff -a^T \leq b$)

We are trying to find an x such that the objective function is maximized. We must ask ourselves if there is a good characterization for the solution. Suppose we are given x^* . Is x^* the optimal solution?

If NO: Either x^* does not satisfy some constraint or give x^{**} such that

$$c^T x^{**} > c^T x^*.$$

If YES: ?? (We'll come back to this later)

Here is another example: Find the maximum value of $(4x_1 + x_2 + 5x_3 + 3x_4)$, call it z^* , subject to the following constraints:

$$x_1 - x_2 - x_3 + 3x_4 \leq 1 \tag{1}$$

$$5x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 + 8x_4 \leq 55 \tag{2}$$

$$-x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 - 5x_4 \leq 3 \tag{3}$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \geq 0 \tag{4}$$

Let's try to estimate z^* with a hit and miss method:

$$x = (0, 0, 1, 0) \quad z^* \geq 5$$

$$x = (2, 1, 1, \frac{1}{3}) \quad z^* \geq 15$$

The problem with this method is that we don't know when z^* is a maximum. We need to find an upper bound on the optimum.

Let's try another approach: Equation 2 multiplied by $\frac{5}{3}$ is

$$\frac{25}{3}x_1 + \frac{5}{3}x_2 + 5x_3 + \frac{40}{3}x_4 \leq \frac{275}{3}.$$

Notice that the left side of this equation is term-by-term greater than or equal to the objective function. Therefore,

$$4x_1 + x_2 + 5x_3 + 3x_4 \leq \frac{275}{3}.$$

And therefore,

$$z^* \leq \frac{275}{3}.$$

An even stricter bound can be obtained by adding Equations 2 and 3. This gives,

$$4x_1 + 3x_2 + 6x_3 + 3x_4 \leq 58.$$

Again, this is term-by-term greater than or equal to the objective function, so,

$$z^* \leq 58.$$

Let us generalize this approach. Choose $y_1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0$ to be three multipliers on Equations 1, 2, and 3. Taking the sum we get:

$$(y_1 + 5y_2 - y_3)x_1 + (-y_1 + y_2 + 2y_3)x_2 + (-y_1 + 3y_2 + 3y_3)x_3 + (3y_1 + 8y_2 - 5y_3)x_4 \geq y_1 + 55y_2 + 3y_3. \quad (5)$$

In order for the left hand side of Equation 5 to be an upper bound on the objective function we require:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} y_1 + 5y_2 - y_3 \geq 4 \\ -y_1 + y_2 + 2y_3 \geq 1 \\ -y_1 + 3y_2 + 3y_3 \geq 5 \\ 3y_1 + 8y_2 - 5y_3 \geq 3 \\ y_1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0 \end{array} \right\} \implies z^* \leq y_1 + 55y_2 + 3y_3$$

Therefore, in order to get the best upper bound we should minimize $(y_1 + 55y_2 + 3y_3)$ according to the above constraints. This constitutes a new linear program.

In general:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \max c^T x \\ Ax \leq b \\ x_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \max c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_n x_n \\ a_{11} x_1 + a_{12} x_2 + \dots + a_{1n} x_n \leq b_1 \\ a_{21} x_1 + a_{22} x_2 + \dots + a_{2n} x_n \leq b_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{m1} x_1 + a_{m2} x_2 + \dots + a_{mn} x_n \leq b_m \end{array} \right.$$

Again, we would choose multipliers $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \geq 0$ on the m constraint equations above.

The dual is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + \dots + b_m y_m \\ & a_{11} y_1 + a_{21} y_2 + \dots + a_{m1} y_m \geq c_1 \\ & a_{12} y_1 + a_{22} y_2 + \dots + a_{m2} y_m \geq c_2 \\ & \vdots \\ & a_{1n} y_1 + a_{2n} y_2 + \dots + a_{mn} y_m \geq c_n \\ & y_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max c^T x & \min b^T y \\ Ax \leq b & A^T y \geq c \\ \underbrace{x \geq 0}_{\text{Primal}} & \underbrace{y \geq 0}_{\text{Dual}} \end{array}$$

(Note: Dual(Dual) = Primal)

Also,

$$\max c^T x \leq \min b^T y,$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} c^T x & \leq (A^T y)^T x = y^T A x \leq y^T b = b^T y \\ & \Rightarrow c^T x \leq b^T y. \end{aligned}$$

This gives us the *Weak Duality Theorem*:

$$\max \{c^T x \mid Ax \leq b\} \leq \min \{y^T b \mid A^T y = c, y \geq 0\} \quad (6)$$

Next week we will prove the *Strong Duality Theorem* which replaces the inequality in Equation 6 with an equality. Using this we will be able to give a short proof of the case when x^* is optimal (i.e. the YES case mentioned earlier), which means that we have good characterization.