

Assessing Goodness Of Fit

MIT 18.443

Dr. Kempthorne

Spring 2015

Outline

1 Assessing Goodness of Fit

- Poisson Dispersion Test
- Hanging Histograms/Chigrams/Rootograms
- Probability Plots

Poisson Dispersion Test

Poisson Distribution

- Counts of *events* that occur at constant rate
- Counts in disjoint intervals/regions are independent
- If intervals/regions are constant in size, then identical distributions of counts
- Consider data:

X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n independent $\text{Poisson}(\lambda_i)$,

and testing:

- **Null Hypothesis**

$H_0: X_i$ are i.i.d. $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$.

- **Alternate Hypothesis**

$H_1: X_i$ are independent $\text{Poisson}(\lambda_i)$ (rates vary over i)

Apply Generalized Likelihood Ratio Test

Poisson Dispersion Test

Generalized Likelihood Ratio Test:

- MLE of λ under $H_0: X_1, \dots, X_n$ i.i.d. $Poisson(\lambda)$

$$Lik(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\lambda} \right]$$

$$\implies \hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{x}$$

- MLEs of λ_i under $H_1: X_i \sim Poisson(\lambda_i), i = 1, \dots, n$

$$Lik(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\lambda_i^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\lambda_i} \right]$$

$$\implies \tilde{\lambda}_j = x_j, j = 1, \dots, n$$

- Likelihood Ratio

$$\Lambda = Lik(\hat{\lambda}) / Lik(\tilde{\lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_n)$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\hat{\lambda}^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\hat{\lambda}} \right]}{\prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_i^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\tilde{\lambda}_i} \right]} = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\left(\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}_i} \right)^{x_i} e^{-\hat{\lambda} + \tilde{\lambda}_i} \right]$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\tilde{\lambda}_i} \right)^{x_i} \cdot e^{-n\bar{x} + \sum_1^n x_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{x_i} \right)^{x_i}$$

Poisson Dispersion Test

Generalized Likelihood Ratio Test (continued)

- GLR Test Statistic

$$\begin{aligned}
 LRStat &= -2 \times \log(\Lambda) \\
 &= -2 \times \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{x_i}\right)^{x_i}\right) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_j \ln\left(\frac{x_j}{\bar{x}}\right) \\
 &\approx \frac{1}{\bar{x}} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = n \times \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}_x^2}{\bar{x}}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Note: Last line applies Taylor Series Approximation

$$f(x) = x \ln\left(\frac{x}{x_0}\right) \approx (x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)^2.$$

- Approximate Distribution under H_0 :

$$LRStat \sim \chi_q^2, \text{ where } q = \dim(\Theta) - \dim(\Theta_0) = n - 1.$$

- H_0 is rejected when $LRStat$ is high $\iff \frac{\hat{\sigma}_x^2}{\bar{x}} \gg 1$

(For a Poisson Distribution $Var(X) = E(X) = \lambda$.)

Poisson Dispersion Test

Example 9.6.A. Asbestos Fibers

Steel et al. 1980: Counts of asbestos fibers on filters
(from Example 8.4.A)

- Data: $x = c(31, 29, 19, 18, 31, 28, \dots, 24)$ (23 values)
- Test Statistic:

$$\begin{aligned} LRStat &= 2 \sum_1^n x_j \ln(x_j/\bar{x}) = 27.11 \\ &\approx \frac{1}{\bar{x}} \sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = n \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}_x^2}{\bar{x}} \right) = 26.56 \end{aligned}$$

- Approximate P-Value:

Asymptotic Distribution: $LRStat \sim \chi_q^2$, with $q = n - 1 = 22$.
 $P - Value = 0.2072$.

Outline

1 Assessing Goodness of Fit

- Poisson Dispersion Test
- Hanging Histograms/Chigrams/Rootograms
- Probability Plots

Hanging Histograms

Histograms

- Random sample from distribution with cdf $F(x | \theta)$.

Sample data: x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n

- m interval bins in histogram:

$\text{bin}_j = (b_j, b_{j+1}]$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

- m bin counts in histogram

$n_j = \#\{x_i \in \text{bin}_j\} = \#\{\{x_i : b_j < x_i \leq b_{j+1}\}\}$,

- Evaluate Goodness-of-Fit of $F(x | \theta)$

- Expected Counts

$$\hat{n}_j = np_j$$

$$\text{where } p_j = F(b_{j+1} | \theta) - F(b_j | \theta)$$

- Observed Counts

$$n_j \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p_j)$$

- Hanging Histogram: Instead of plotting n_j ,
use $(n_j - \hat{n}_j)$ in Histogram.

- Correct for non-constant $\text{Var}(n_j - \hat{n}_j) = np_j(1 - p_j)$

Hanging Histogram

Hanging Chigram

- Hanging Histogram: Instead of plotting n_j , use $(n_j - \hat{n}_j)$ in Histogram.
- Correct for non-constant $Var(n_j - \hat{n}_j) = np_j(1 - p_j)$
use $\frac{(n_j - \hat{n}_j)}{\sqrt{\hat{n}_j}} \approx \frac{(n_j - \hat{n}_j)}{\sqrt{np_j(1 - p_j)}}$

Note:
$$\left[\frac{(n_j - \hat{n}_j)}{\sqrt{\hat{n}_j}} \right]^2 = \frac{(O_j - E_j)^2}{E_j}$$

Hanging Histogram (continued)

Hanging Rootogram

- Hanging Rootogram: Instead of plotting n_j ,
use $\sqrt{n_j} - \sqrt{\hat{n}_j}$ in Histogram
- $g(x) = \sqrt{x}$ is a **Variance Stabilizing Transformation**
For a r.v. X : $E[X] = \mu$ and $Var[X] \approx \sigma^2(\mu)$
Then $Y = g(X)$ is a random variable with
 $Var[Y] \approx [g'(\mu)]^2 \cdot Var[X] \approx const$
(if $g'(\mu) = 1/\sigma(\mu)$)

Outline

1 Assessing Goodness of Fit

- Poisson Dispersion Test
- Hanging Histograms/Chigrams/Rootograms
- Probability Plots

Probability Plots

Sample from a Uniform(0, 1) Distribution

- X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n i.i.d. $\text{Uniform}(0, 1)$.
- **Def:** Order Statistics ordered sample values

$$X_{(1)} < X_{(2)} < \dots < X_{(n)}$$

- CDF and PDF of $X_{(n)}$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(n)}(x) &= P(\max X_i \leq x) = P(\text{ all } X_i \leq x) \\ &= [P(X_i \leq x)]^n = x^n \\ \implies f_{(n)}(x) &= \frac{d}{dx} F_{(n)}(x) \\ &= nx^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note: $E[X_{(n)}] = \int_0^1 xf_{(n)}(x)dx = \frac{n}{n+1}$

Probability Plots

Sample from a Uniform(0,1) Distribution

- CDF and PDF of $X_{(1)}$

$$\begin{aligned}1 - F_{(1)}(x) &= P(\min X_i > x) = P(\text{all } X_i > x) \\&= [P(X_i > x)]^n = (1 - x)^n\end{aligned}$$

$$\implies F_{(1)}(x) = 1 - (1 - x)^n$$

$$\implies f_{(1)}(x) = \frac{d}{dx}[1 - (1 - x)^n] = n(1 - x)^{n-1}$$

Probability Plots

Order Statistics from a Uniform(0,1) Distribution

- PDF of $X_{(j)}$, the j th order statistic ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$)

Use the cdf of the original distribution: $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$

$$f_{(j)}(x) = \left(\frac{n!}{(j-1)!1!(n-j)!} \right) [F(x)]^{(j-1)} f(x) [1 - F(x)]^{(n-j)}$$

- For $F(x) = x$, the cdf of the *Uniform(0, 1)* distribution

$$\begin{aligned} f_{(j)}(x) &= \left(\frac{n!}{(j-1)!1!(n-j)!} \right) x^{(j-1)} \cdot 1 \cdot [1-x]^{(n-j)} \\ &= \frac{x^{j-1}(1-x)^{n-j+1-1}}{\text{Beta}(j, n-j+1)} \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $X_{(j)} \sim \text{Beta}(j, (n-j)+1)$

- By properties of Beta integrals:

Note: $E[X_{(j)}] = \frac{\text{Beta}(j+1, (n-j)+1)}{\text{Beta}(j, (n-j)+1)} = \frac{j}{n+1}$

$$\text{Var}[X_{(j)}] = \left(\frac{j}{n+1} \right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{j}{n+1} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n+2} \right)$$

Order Statistics from Sampling a Continuous Distribution

- X_1, \dots, X_n i.i.d. with cdf $F_X(x)$
(assumption: $F_X(\cdot)$ is strictly increasing over its range)
- $X_{(1)} < X_{(2)} < \dots < X_{(n)}$, the order statistics

Definition: Probability Integral Transform

$$Y = F_X(X)$$

- $Y \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$ (See Rice Proposition C of Section 2.3)
- $Y_i = F_X(X_i)$ are i.i.d. $\text{Uniform}(0, 1)$
- The j th order statistic $Y_{(j)}$ is

$\text{Beta}(j, n - j + 1)$ random variable and

$$E[Y_{(j)}] = \frac{j}{n+1}$$

Probability Plots

Definition: Probability Plot

- Given a sample X_1, \dots, X_n
- $H_0 : F(\cdot)$ is the cdf of each X_i
- Plot $y = F(X_{(k)})$ vs $x = \frac{k}{n+1}$
The points should fall close to the line $y = x$ if H_0 is true.

QQ (Quantile-Quantile) Plots

- Plot $y = X_{(k)}$ vs $x = F^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{n+1}\right)$
- The vertical axis is the observed **quantile** and the horizontal axis is the theoretical quantile of the distribution.

Probability Plots

Normal QQ Plots

- Plot $y = X_{(k)}$ vs $x = F^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{n+1}\right)$ using $F(\cdot)$ for a $Normal(\mu, \sigma^2)$ distribution
- In terms of the $N(0, 1)$ distribution cdf $\Phi(\cdot)$,

$$F(x | \mu, \sigma) = \Phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

- Denote $z_k = \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{n+1}\right)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$
the theoretical quantiles of a $N(0, 1)$ distribution, then

$$F^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{n+1}\right) = \mu + \sigma z_k$$

- If the $\{X_i\}$ are a $Normal(\mu, \sigma^2)$ the **Normal QQ Plot** can be graphed as

$X_{(k)}$ versus z_k (without using μ and σ)

The plot will be close to linear with

Intercept = μ and Slope = σ

- Note: $F^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{n+1}\right) \approx E[X_{(k)}]$ (exact if F is uniform).

Testing for Normality

Goodness of Fit Tests for Normal Distributions

- Normal QQ Plots

Filliben(1975) : Accept Normal if
 R -Squared of $QQ - Plot$ is close to 1.

- Skewness Coefficient

$$SKEW = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^3}{s^3}$$

where $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, and $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$.

Reject Normality if $|SKEW|$ large.

- Kurtosis Coefficient

$$KURT = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^4}{s^4}$$

where $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, and $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$.

Reject Normality if $|KURT|$ large.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.443 Statistics for Applications

Spring 2015

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.