

Regression Analysis

MIT 18.443

Dr. Kempthorne

Spring 2015

Outline

1 Regression Analysis II

- Distribution Theory: Normal Regression Models
- Maximum Likelihood Estimation
- Generalized M Estimation

Marginal Distributions of Least Squares Estimates

Because

$$\hat{\beta} \sim N_p(\beta, \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1})$$

the marginal distribution of each $\hat{\beta}_j$ is:

$$\hat{\beta}_j \sim N(\beta_j, \sigma^2 C_{j,j})$$

where $C_{j,j} = j\text{th diagonal element of } (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}$

The Q-R Decomposition of \mathbf{X}

Consider expressing the $(n \times p)$ matrix \mathbf{X} of explanatory variables as

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{R}$$

where

\mathbf{Q} is an $(n \times p)$ orthonormal matrix, i.e., $\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q} = I_p$.

\mathbf{R} is a $(p \times p)$ upper-triangular matrix.

The columns of $\mathbf{Q} = [\mathbf{Q}_{[1]}, \mathbf{Q}_{[2]}, \dots, \mathbf{Q}_{[p]}]$ can be constructed by performing the *Gram-Schmidt Orthonormalization* procedure on the columns of $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{X}_{[1]}, \mathbf{X}_{[2]}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{[p]}]$

If $\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{1,1} & r_{1,2} & \cdots & r_{1,p-1} & r_{1,p} \\ 0 & r_{2,2} & \cdots & r_{2,p-1} & r_{2,p} \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & & r_{p-1,p-1} & r_{p-1,p} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & r_{p,p} \end{bmatrix}$, then

- $\mathbf{X}_{[1]} = \mathbf{Q}_{[1]} r_{1,1}$

 \Rightarrow

$$r_{1,1}^2 = \mathbf{X}_{[1]}^T \mathbf{X}_{[1]}$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_{[1]} = \mathbf{X}_{[1]} / r_{1,1}$$

- $\mathbf{X}_{[2]} = \mathbf{Q}_{[1]} r_{1,2} + \mathbf{Q}_{[2]} r_{2,2}$

 \Rightarrow

$$\mathbf{Q}_{[1]}^T \mathbf{X}_{[2]} = \mathbf{Q}_{[1]}^T \mathbf{Q}_{[1]} r_{1,2} + \mathbf{Q}_{[1]}^T \mathbf{Q}_{[2]} r_{2,2}$$

$$= 1 \cdot r_{1,2} + 0 \cdot r_{2,2}$$

$$= r_{1,2} \quad (\text{known since } \mathbf{Q}_{[1]} \text{ specified})$$

- With $r_{1,2}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{[1]}$ specified we can solve for $r_{2,2}$:
 \Rightarrow

$$\mathbf{Q}_{[2]} r_{2,2} = \mathbf{X}_{[2]} - \mathbf{Q}_{[1]} r_{1,2}$$

Take squared norm of both sides:

$$r_{2,2}^2 = \mathbf{X}_{[2]}^T \mathbf{X}_{[2]} - 2r_{1,2} \mathbf{Q}_{[1]}^T \mathbf{X}_{[2]} + r_{1,2}^2$$

(all terms on RHS are known)

With $r_{2,2}$ specified

\Rightarrow

$$\mathbf{Q}_{[2]} = \frac{1}{r_{2,2}} [\mathbf{X}_{[2]} - r_{1,2} \mathbf{Q}_{[1]}]$$

- Etc. (solve for elements of \mathbf{R} , and columns of \mathbf{Q})

With the Q-R Decomposition

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{QR}$$

$(\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I}_p$, and \mathbf{R} is $p \times p$ upper-triangular)

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{y}$$

(plug in $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{QR}$ and simplify)

$$Cov(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\mathbf{R}^{-1})^T$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T = \mathbf{QQ}^T$$

(giving $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{Hy}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{e}} = (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{y}$)

More Distribution Theory

Assume $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon$, where $\{\epsilon_i\}$ are i.i.d. $N(0, \sigma^2)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon &\sim N_n(\mathbf{0}_n, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n) \\ \text{or } \mathbf{y} &\sim N_n(\mathbf{X}\beta, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)\end{aligned}$$

Theorem* For any $(m \times n)$ matrix \mathbf{A} of rank $m \leq n$, the random normal vector \mathbf{y} transformed by \mathbf{A} ,

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{Ay}$$

is also a random normal vector:

$$\mathbf{z} \sim N_m(\mu_z, \Sigma_z)$$

where $\mu_z = \mathbf{AE}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{AX}\beta$,

and $\Sigma_z = \mathbf{ACov}(\mathbf{y})\mathbf{A}^T = \sigma^2 \mathbf{AA}^T$.

Earlier, $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T$ yields the distribution of $\hat{\beta} = \mathbf{Ay}$

With a different definition of \mathbf{A} (and \mathbf{z}) we give an easy proof of:

Theorem For the normal linear regression model

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X} & (n \times p) \text{ has rank } p \text{ and} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon} & \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}_n, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n).\end{aligned}$$

- (a) $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ are independent r.v.s
- (b) $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1})$
- (c) $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\epsilon}_i^2 = \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \sim \sigma^2 \chi_{n-p}^2$ (Chi-squared r.v.)
- (d) For each $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$

$$\hat{t}_j = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{\hat{\sigma} C_{j,j}} \sim t_{n-p} \text{ (t-distribution)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{n-p} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\epsilon}_i^2 \\ C_{j,j} &= [(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}]_{j,j}\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Note that (d) follows immediately from (a), (b), (c)

Define $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}^T \\ \mathbf{W}^T \end{bmatrix}$, where

- \mathbf{A} is an $(n \times n)$ orthogonal matrix (i.e. $\mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$)
- \mathbf{Q} is the column-orthonormal matrix in a $Q-R$ decomposition of \mathbf{X}

Note: \mathbf{W} can be constructed by continuing the *Gram-Schmidt Orthonormalization* process (which was used to construct \mathbf{Q} from \mathbf{X}) with $\mathbf{X}^* = [\mathbf{X} \mid \mathbf{I}_n]$.

Then, consider

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{Q}} \\ \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{W}} \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{matrix} (p \times 1) \\ (n-p) \times 1 \end{matrix}$$

The distribution of $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}$ is $N_n(\mu_{\mathbf{z}}, \Sigma_{\mathbf{z}})$
where

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\mathbf{z}} &= [\mathbf{A}][\mathbf{X}\beta] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}^T \\ \mathbf{W}^T \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{R} \cdot \beta] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{R} \cdot \beta] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_p \\ \mathbf{0}_{(n-p) \times p} \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{R} \cdot \beta] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} \cdot \beta \\ \mathbf{0}_{(n-p) \times p} \end{bmatrix} \\ \Sigma_{\mathbf{z}} &= \mathbf{A} \cdot [\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n] \cdot \mathbf{A}^T = \sigma^2 [\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n \\ &\text{since } \mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } z = \begin{pmatrix} z_Q \\ z_W \end{pmatrix} \sim N_n \left[\begin{pmatrix} R\beta \\ O_{n-p} \end{pmatrix}, \sigma^2 I_n \right]$$

\implies

$$z_Q \sim N_p[(R\beta), \sigma^2 I_p]$$

$$z_W \sim N_{(n-p)}[(O_{(n-p)}), \sigma^2 I_{(n-p)}]$$

and z_Q and z_W are independent.

The Theorem follows by showing

(a*) $\hat{\beta} = R^{-1}z_Q$ and $\hat{\epsilon} = Wz_W$,

(i.e. $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\epsilon}$ are functions of different independent vectors).

(b*) Deducing the distribution of $\hat{\beta} = R^{-1}z_Q$,

applying Theorem* with $A = R^{-1}$ and "y" = z_Q

(c*) $\hat{\epsilon}^T \hat{\epsilon} = z_W^T z_W$

= sum of $(n - p)$ squared r.v's which are i.i.d. $N(0, \sigma^2)$.

$\sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{(n-p)}$, a scaled Chi-Squared r.v.

Proof of (a*)

$\hat{\beta} = \mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{z}_Q$ follows from

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{y} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R} \text{ with } \mathbf{Q} : \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I}_p$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\epsilon} &= \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} = \mathbf{y} - (\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R}) \cdot (\mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{z}_Q) \\ &= \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{z}_Q \\ &= \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}^T) \mathbf{y} \\ &= \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{y} \quad (\text{since } \mathbf{I}_n = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}^T + \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^T) \\ &= \mathbf{W} \mathbf{z}_W\end{aligned}$$

Outline

1 Regression Analysis II

- Distribution Theory: Normal Regression Models
- **Maximum Likelihood Estimation**
- Generalized M Estimation

Maximum-Likelihood Estimation

Consider the normal linear regression model:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \text{ where } \{\epsilon_i\} \text{ are i.i.d. } N(0, \sigma^2), \text{ i.e.,} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon} &\sim N_n(\mathbf{0}_n, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n) \\ \text{or } \mathbf{y} &\sim N_n(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)\end{aligned}$$

Definitions:

- The **likelihood function** is

$$L(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B}, \sigma^2)$$

where $p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B}, \sigma^2)$ is the joint probability density function (pdf) of the conditional distribution of \mathbf{y} given data \mathbf{X} , (known) and parameters $(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2)$ (unknown).

- The **maximum likelihood** estimates of $(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2)$ are the values maximizing $L(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2)$, i.e., those which make the observed data \mathbf{y} most likely in terms of its pdf.

Because the y_i are independent r.v.'s with $y_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma^2)$ where $\mu_i = \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j x_{i,j}$,

$$\begin{aligned} L(\beta, \sigma^2) &= \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i | \beta, \sigma^2) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i - \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j x_{i,j})^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)} \end{aligned}$$

The maximum likelihood estimates $(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ maximize the log-likelihood function (dropping constant terms)

$$\begin{aligned} \log L(\beta, \sigma^2) &= -\frac{n}{2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} Q(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

where $Q(\beta) = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)$ ("Least-Squares Criterion"!)

- The OLS estimate $\hat{\beta}$ is also the ML-estimate.
- The ML estimate of σ^2 solves

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \log L(\hat{\beta}, \sigma^2)}{\partial (\sigma^2)} &= 0, \text{i.e., } -\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2} (-1)(\sigma^2)^{-2} Q(\hat{\beta}) = 0 \\ \implies \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2 &= Q(\hat{\beta})/n = (\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\epsilon}_i^2)/n \quad (\text{biased!}) \end{aligned}$$

Outline

1 Regression Analysis II

- Distribution Theory: Normal Regression Models
- Maximum Likelihood Estimation
- Generalized M Estimation

Generalized M Estimation

For data \mathbf{y} , \mathbf{X} fit the linear regression model

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

by specifying $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ to minimize

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n h(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2)$$

The choice of the function $h(\cdot)$ distinguishes different estimators.

(1) Least Squares: $h(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta})^2$

(2) Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD): $h(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = |y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}|$

(3) Maximum Likelihood (ML): Assume the y_i are independent with pdf's $p(y_i | \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{x}_i, \sigma^2)$,

$$h(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = -\log p(y_i | \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{x}_i, \sigma^2)$$

(4) Robust M-Estimator: $h(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = \chi(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta})$
 $\chi(\cdot)$ is even, monotone increasing on $(0, \infty)$.

(5) Quantile Estimator: For $\tau : 0 < \tau < 1$, a fixed *quantile*

$$h(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = \begin{cases} \tau |y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}|, & \text{if } y_i \geq \mathbf{x}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ (1 - \tau) |y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}|, & \text{if } y_i < \mathbf{x}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} \end{cases}$$

- E.g., $\tau = 0.90$ corresponds to the 90th quantile / upper-decile.
- $\tau = 0.50$ corresponds to the *MAD* Estimator

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.443 Statistics for Applications

Spring 2015

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.