

Problem set #2 (due Wed., October 21)

SHOULD BE TYPED IN L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X

Problem 1. Rademacher Complexities and beyond

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of functions from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid copies of a random variable  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ . Moreover, let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  be  $n$  i.i.d.  $\text{Rad}(1/2)$  random variables and let  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  be  $n$  i.i.d.  $N(0, 1)$ . Assume that all these random variables are mutually independent.

1. Prove the *desymmetrization inequality*:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i [f(X_i) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)]] \right| \right] \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [f(X_i) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)]] \right| \right]$$

2. Prove the Rademacher/Gaussian process comparison inequality

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i f(X_i) \right] \leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^n g_i f(X_i) \right]$$

Define  $R_n(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i f(X_i) \right| \right]$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be two set of functions from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  and recall that  $\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G} = \{f + g : f \in \mathcal{F}, g \in \mathcal{G}\}$ .

3. Let  $h \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$  be a given function and define  $\mathcal{F} + h = \{f + h : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . Show that

$$R_n(\mathcal{F} + \{h\}) \leq R_n(\mathcal{F}) + \frac{\|h\|_{\infty}}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where  $\|h\|_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |h(x)|$ .

4. Let  $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_k$  be  $k$  sets of functions from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that

$$R_n(\mathcal{F}_1 + \dots, \mathcal{F}_k) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k R_n(\mathcal{F}_j).$$

5. Show that this inequality derived in 4. is in fact an equality when the  $\mathcal{F}_j$ s are the same.

Problem 2. Covering and packing

**Definition:** A set  $P \subset T$  is called an  $\varepsilon$ -packing of the metric space  $(T, d)$  if  $d(f, g) > \varepsilon$  for every  $f, g \in P, f \neq g$ . The largest cardinality of an  $\varepsilon$ -packing of  $(T, d)$  is called the *packing number* of  $(T, d)$ :

$$D(T, d, \varepsilon) = \sup \{ \text{card}(P) : P \text{ is an } \varepsilon \text{ packing of } (T, d) \}$$

Recall that  $N(T, d, \varepsilon)$  denotes the  $\varepsilon$ -covering number of  $(T, d)$ .

1. Show that

$$D(T, d, 2\varepsilon) \leq N(T, d, \varepsilon) \leq D(T, d, \varepsilon)$$

Let  $M$  be an  $n \times m$  random matrix with entries that are i.i.d  $\text{Rad}(1/2)$  entries. We are interested in its operator norm

$$\|M\| = \sup_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{R}^n : |u|_2 \leq 1 \\ v \in \mathbb{R}^m : |v|_2 \leq 1}} u^\top M v.$$

2. Show that

$$\|M\| \leq 2 \max_{\substack{u \in N_n \\ v \in N_m}} u^\top M v,$$

where  $N_n$  and  $N_m$  are  $\frac{1}{4}$ -nets of the unit Euclidean balls of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$  respectively.

3. Conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}\|M\| \leq C(\sqrt{m} + \sqrt{n}).$$

Problem 3. Chaining

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the class of all *nondecreasing functions* from  $[0, 1]$  to  $[0, 1]$ .

1. Show that for any  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ , the covering number of  $(\mathcal{F}, d_\infty^x)$  satisfy:

$$N(\mathcal{F}, d_\infty^x, \varepsilon) \leq n^{2/\varepsilon}.$$

2. Using the chaining bound, show that

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) \leq C \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}$$

3. Show that there is indeed a strict improvement over the bound obtained using the theorem in section 5.2.1

**Problem 4. Kernel ridge regression**

Consider the regression model:

$$Y_i = f(x_i) + \xi_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are fixed design points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with known covariance matrix  $\Sigma \succ 0$  and  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an unknown regression function.

Let  $W$  be an RKHS on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with reproducing kernel  $k$ . Define  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)^\top$  and  $\mathbf{g} = [g(x_1), \dots, g(x_n)]^\top$  for any function  $g$ . Define the estimator  $\hat{f}$  of  $f$  by

$$\hat{f} = \operatorname{argmin}_{g \in W} \{ \psi(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{g}) + \mu \|g\|_W^2 \}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_W$  denotes the Hilbert norm on  $W$ ,  $\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top \Sigma^{-1/2} \mathbf{x}$  and  $\mu > 0$  is a tuning parameter to be chosen later.

1. Prove the representer theorem, i.e., that there exists a vector  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i k(x_i, x), \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

2. Prove that the vector  $\hat{\mathbf{f}} = [\hat{f}(x_1), \dots, \hat{f}(x_n)]^\top$  satisfies

$$(K\Sigma^{-1/2} + \mu I_n) \hat{\mathbf{f}} = K\Sigma^{-1/2} \mathbf{Y},$$

where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $K$  denotes the symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix with elements  $K_{i,j} = k(x_i, x_j)$ .

3. Prove that the following inequality holds

$$\psi(\mathbf{f} - \hat{\mathbf{f}}) \leq \inf_{g \in W} \{ \psi(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g}) + 2\mu \|g\|_W^2 \} + \frac{1}{\mu} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i k(x_i, \cdot) \right\|_W^2,$$

where  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  are iid  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

4. Conclude that

$$\mathbb{E} \psi(\mathbf{f} - \hat{\mathbf{f}}) \leq \inf_{g \in W} \{ \psi(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g}) + 2\mu \|g\|_W^2 \} + \frac{1}{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}(K),$$

where  $\operatorname{Tr}(K)$  denotes the trace of  $K$ .

5. Assume now that  $k$  is the Gaussian kernel:

$$k(x, x') = e^{-|x-x'|^2}$$

Show that there exists a choice of  $\mu$  for which

$$\mathbb{E}\psi(\mathbf{f} - \hat{\mathbf{f}}) \leq 2\|f\|_W\sqrt{2n}.$$

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.657 Mathematics of Machine Learning  
Fall 2015

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.