

## Lecture 24: Birkhoff-Grothendieck, Riemann-Roch, Serre Duality

**Homework Related Stuff** Remark on the 10th homework: we do have counterexamples to 5(b) if the characteristic is not 0. Consider the Drinfeld curve a.k.a. the Deligne-Lusztig variety of dimension 1, given by  $x^p y - y^p x - z^{p+1} = 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  acts on  $X$ ,  $(a, b, c, d)$  acts by sending  $(x, y)$  to  $(ax + b, cx + d)$  is an isomorphism of this curve. Also, in 2b) one doesn't need the finiteness condition.

**Back to Cohomology** Recall that  $H^*(X, \mathcal{F})$  can be computed using 1) Čech cohomology for a fixed affine covering, or 2) adjusted e.g. flabby resolution.

**Remark 1.** 1) is a particular case of 2). In particular, let  $j : U \rightarrow X$  be an open embedding of  $U$  affine in  $X$  separated, then  $j_*$  is adjusted to  $\Gamma$ . Proof:  $j$  is an affine map, so  $H^i(j_* \mathcal{F}) = H^i(\mathcal{F}) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ .

If  $X = U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n$ , then as an example,  $\bigoplus j_{i*} j_i^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \bigoplus j_{i_1, i_2*} j_{i_1, i_2}^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \dots$  is a resolution. Another example: suppose  $X$  is an irreducible curve,  $X \supset Y$ , and  $Y$  is an affine open, say  $X - \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  has sections supported on  $x_i$ , then we have an s.e.s.  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow j_* j^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow j_* j^* \mathcal{F} / \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$ . Last term is flabby, since it's supported on a finite set.

**Example 1.** Let's compute  $H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n))$  using the 2-term complex

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n)) = k[X] \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n)|_{\mathbb{A}^1}) / \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n) \rightarrow 0$$

Using affine charts, one can compute the second term to be  $\frac{k[x, x^{-1}]}{x^n k[x^{-1}]}$ . The map is onto for  $n \geq 0$ , and the kernel consists polynomials of degree  $\leq n$ . Thus for  $n \geq 0$ , dimension of  $H^0(\mathcal{O}(n)) = n + 1$ , and  $H^1(\mathcal{O}(n)) = 0$ . For the negative cases, do inverse induction using  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$  or run the same argument again. In particular, when  $n < 0$ ,  $H^0$  is 0, and  $H^1$  has dimension  $-n - 1$ . So  $H^0(\mathcal{O}(-1)) = H^1(\mathcal{O}(-1)) = 0$ .

This yields a classification of locally free sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ :

**Theorem 1.1** (Grothendieck-Birkhoff). A locally free coherent sheaf of rank  $n$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_i)$  for a unique collection  $d_i$ .

*Proof.* Uniqueness is left as an exercise; one way is to recover  $d_i$  from dimensions of  $H^i(\mathcal{E}(d))$  for  $i = 0, 1, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now let's prove existence. We use induction on rank.

Claim:  $H^0(\mathcal{E}(d)) \neq 0$  for  $d \gg 0$ , and  $= 0$  for  $d \ll 0$ . Proof:  $\mathcal{E}$  is a quotient, i.e.  $\mathcal{O}(-m)^N \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(-m')^{N'} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}^\vee \implies \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{O}(m')^{N'}$  and so  $H^0(\mathcal{E}(-d)) = 0$  for  $d > m'$ . For  $d > m$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(d-m)^N \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}(d)$ , and the first is generated by global sections. Pick  $d$  such that  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}(d)) \neq 0$  but  $= 0$  for  $d' < d$ , and replace  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\mathcal{E}(d)$ , then we can assume  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  and  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}(d)) = 0$  for  $d < 0$ .

Pick some  $\sigma : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , claim:  $\mathcal{E}/\text{im}(\sigma)$  has no torsion. Proof: otherwise  $\mathcal{O}(D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}$  for some effective divisor  $D$ , then  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}(-D)) = \Gamma(\mathcal{E}(-d)) \neq 0$  for  $d = \text{deg}(D)$ , contradiction. So we have  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow 0$ , where the third is locally free. By induction,  $\mathcal{E}' = \bigoplus \mathcal{O}(d_i)$ .

Claim:  $d_i \leq 0$ . Proof: otherwise we can write  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'(-1) \rightarrow 0$ .  $H^1(\mathcal{O}(-1)) = 0 \implies H^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E}'(-1))$ . Suppose for some  $d \geq 0$ , we can write  $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{O}(d) \oplus \dots$ , then we have  $\mathcal{E}'(-1) = \mathcal{O}(d-1) \oplus \dots$ , hence  $H^0(\mathcal{E}'(-1)) \neq 0 \implies H^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) \neq 0$ , contradiction.

It remains to check that the s.e.s.  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow 0$  splits. Easier to check that the dual sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$  splits. To see this, it's enough to see that  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O})$  is onto. First one is  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}^\vee)$ , second being  $k$ . But  $\mathcal{E}'^\vee$  is the sum of all  $\mathcal{O}(d_i)$  where  $d_i \geq 0$ , so  $H^1(\mathcal{E}'^\vee) = 0$ , and this is the obstruction to the surjectivity using the l.e.s.

Or we can invoke a little homological algebra and just say the following:  $\text{Ext}^1(A, B)$  parametrizes the isomorphism classes of extensions  $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ . Note that  $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}', 0) = H^1(\mathcal{E}'^\vee) = 0$ .  $\square$

Here are some general facts, probably to be covered in 18.726:

1.  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for  $i > \dim(X)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is an quasicoherent sheaf.
2. If  $X$  is complete and  $\mathcal{F}$  coherent, then  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$  is finite-dimensional.

The proof of these statements are beyond the scope of this course, but at least we can prove them for  $X$  of dimension 1.

*Proof.* We can first reduce to the case of  $X$  a smooth (eqv. normal) curve. Let  $q : Y \rightarrow X$  be the normalization of  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  a coherent sheaf on  $X$ . Consider  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow q_*q^*\mathcal{F}$ : the kernel and cokernel of this map are supported at singular points of  $X$ , and thus are torsion sheaves. Coherent torsion sheaves are extensions of copies of skyscraper sheaves supported at the singular points, so they have finite dimensional  $H^0$  and higher cohomology groups vanish, so by the cohomology les it suffices to prove the corresponding statements for  $q_*q^*\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $q$  is an affine map,  $H^i(X, q_*q^*\mathcal{F}) = H^i(q^*X, q^*\mathcal{F})$ , so we reduce to the smooth case.

Now a smooth curve  $X$  admits an affine map  $f$  to the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , which is defined by any non-constant element of the field of rational functions when  $X$  is connected, and is finite when  $X$  is complete. We have that  $H^*(X, \mathcal{F}) = H^*(\mathbb{P}^1, f_*\mathcal{F})$ , so we further reduce to proving the following statements for any quasicoherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ :

1.  $H^i(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for  $i > 1$ ;
2. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is coherent, then  $H^0$  and  $H^1$  are finite dimensional.

The first statement is clear from the Čech cohomology computation, where we use the standard 2-piece affine covering. For the second one, write  $\mathcal{F}$  as a sum of a locally free sheaf and a torsion sheaf. A coherent torsion sheaf on curve clearly has  $H^0$  finite dimensional and  $H^1$  vanishing, and the case for locally free sheaf follows from Grothendieck-Birkhoff.  $\square$

**Euler Characteristic** Define the *Euler characteristic*  $\chi : K^0(\mathbf{Coh}(X)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  for  $X$  a complete algebraic variety. One can compute that  $\chi([\mathcal{F}]) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim H^i(\mathcal{F})$ , and the l.e.s. of cohomology shows that  $\chi$  is additive on short exact sequences.

**Theorem 1.2** (Riemann-Roch for Curves). *Let  $X$  be irreducible complete (or smooth, for convenience's sake) curve. Then  $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = \deg(\mathcal{F}) - \text{rank}(\mathcal{F})(g_a - 1)$  where  $g_a = \dim H^1(\mathcal{O})$ .*

$g_a$  is the arithmetic genus, which equals the geometric genus for nonsingular curves.

*Proof.* Enough to check on generators of  $K^0(\mathbf{Coh}(X))$ .

**Lemma 1.**  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  along with  $\mathcal{O}_{x_i}$  generate the group.

To see it implies the theorem: if  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_x$ , lhs = 1 = rhs. if  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , lhs = 1 -  $g_a$  = rhs. Proof of the lemma: recall that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is torsion then it is some  $\bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{x_i}$ . Now we do induction on rank: if  $\mathcal{F}$  has rank  $i$  and torsion-free, find some  $\mathcal{F}|_U = \mathcal{O}_U \oplus \mathcal{F}'$  that has a section  $\sigma : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ . Then it extends to  $\mathcal{O}(-D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$  for  $D = \sum d_i x_i$  for some  $d_i > 0$ , then we're done because  $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{O}(-D)$  has smaller rank, and  $\mathcal{O}(-D) \cong [\mathcal{O}] - \sum_i d_i [\mathcal{O}_{x_i}]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.3** (Serre Duality). *If  $\mathcal{E}$  is a locally free sheaf on a complete smooth (this time essential) irreducible curve, then we have a canonical isomorphism  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})^* \cong H^1(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes K_X)$ .*

Noting that  $H^1(K_X) \cong k$ , and we said there's a map  $H^i(\mathcal{F}) \otimes H^j(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^{i+j}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})$ , so the pairing comes from  $\mathcal{E} \otimes (\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes K) \rightarrow K$ . The proof we shall present below is based on Tate's paper [Tat68].

*Proof.* Recall that for  $x \in X$ ,  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{x,X}} \cong k[[t]]$ , and the residue field is just  $k((t))$ , the Laurent power series. So  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{x,X}}$  is a complete topological vector space (with Tychonoff topology), and the residue field is a linear topological vector space. Also recall an elementary duality that generalizes the usual linear duality of vector spaces, as a functor from discrete spaces to complete vector spaces, given by  $V \mapsto \text{Hom}(V, k)$ , and the other way by  $W \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cont}}(W, k)$ . In particular,  $k((t))^\vee \cong k((t))$  (the topological dual), and  $k[[t]]^\vee \cong t^{-1}k[[t^{-1}]] \implies t^{-1}k[[t^{-1}]]^\vee \cong k[[t]]$  (notice this is non-canonical). Observation: we have  $k((t))^\vee \cong \Omega(k((t))/k) \cong k((t))dt$  coming from the pairing  $(f, \omega) \mapsto \text{res}(f\omega)$ .

On the other hand, we have

$$(\mathcal{E}_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{x,X}} F_{\text{res}}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{x,X}}))^\vee \cong (\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes K_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{x,X}} F_{\text{res}}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{x,X}})$$

where  $F_{\text{res}}$  denotes the residue field. Here's the overall plan of the proof: we have  $Y = X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  affine. Call the left side  $(\widehat{E}_x^\circ)^\vee$ , and define  $\widehat{E}_x = \mathcal{E}_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{x,X}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{x,X}}$ . Then cohomology of  $\mathcal{E}$  is computed using the complex  $\bigoplus_x \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_x \oplus \Gamma(\mathcal{E}|_Y) \rightarrow \bigoplus_x \widehat{E}_x^\circ$ . We'll check that  $\widehat{E}_x^\perp = (\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes K_X)$  and  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}|_Y)^\vee = \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes K_X)$ , and conclude that  $(\widehat{E}_x^\circ)^\vee = \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes K_X$ .

□

## References

- [Tat68] J. Tate. "Residues of differentials on curves." English. In: *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 1.1 (1968), pp. 149–159. ISSN: 0012-9593.

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.725 Algebraic Geometry  
Fall 2015

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.