

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.726 Algebraic Geometry
Spring 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.

18.726: Algebraic Geometry (K.S. Kedlaya, MIT, Spring 2009)
Problem Set 10 (due Friday, April 24, in class)

Please submit *nine* of the following exercises (counting with multiplicities as indicated), including all items marked “Required”. More exercises than usual this week are from Eisenbud-Harris; let me know if you need access to a copy.

1. Recall that a *paracompact* topological space is a Hausdorff space on which every open covering admits a locally finite refinement. Prove that if X is paracompact, then for every locally finite open covering $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X , there exists another open covering $\mathfrak{V} = \{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X with the same index set, such that for each $i \in I$, the closure of V_i in X is contained in U_i . (I include this mostly so that you may assume it for the next exercise. See Bourbaki’s *Topologie generale*.)
2. Let X be a paracompact topological space.
 - (a) Let $\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{V}$ be as in the previous exercise. Let $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a surjective morphism of sheaves of abelian groups on X . Prove that for any open subset T of X , any element $s \in \Gamma(\check{C}^i(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{G}), T)$ lifts to $\Gamma(\check{C}^i(\mathfrak{V}, \mathcal{F}), T)$ for some refinement \mathfrak{W} of \mathfrak{U} . (Hint: for each $x \in X$, one can find an open neighborhood W_x of x meeting only finitely many of the U_i . Show that you can choose W_x so that $x \in U_i$ implies $W_x \subseteq U_i$, $x \in V_i$ implies $W_x \subseteq V_i$, $W_x \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$ implies $x \in U_i$, and $x \in T$ implies s lifts to W_x .)
 - (b) Use this to show that the functors

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{U}} \check{C}^r(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F})$$

are exact, and so conclude that Čech cohomology and sheaf cohomology coincide on a paracompact space.

3. Hartshorne III.4.10.
4. Hartshorne III.5.1.
5. (Counts as two) Hartshorne III.5.8.
6. (Required) Hartshorne III.5.10.
7. (Counts as two) In this exercise, we classify vector bundles on \mathbb{P}_k^1 , for k an algebraically closed field; this is due to Grothendieck, based on ideas of Serre. Throughout, let \mathcal{F} denote a finitely generated locally free quasicohherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}_k^1 ; let d denote the rank of \mathcal{F} , and define the *degree* of \mathcal{F} as the unique integer n such that $\wedge^d \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}(n)$; this exists and is unique by Corollary II.6.17. (Compare Hartshorne exercise V.2.6 (sic).)

(a) Suppose that

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n_2) \rightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence of quasicoherent sheaves and that $n_1 < n_2$. Prove that there exists a rank 1 subbundle of \mathcal{F} of degree $> n_1$. (Hint: twist to reduce to the case $n_1 = -1$, then take cohomology.)

(b) Suppose that

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n_2) \rightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence of quasicoherent sheaves and that $n_1 \geq n_2$. Prove that the exact sequence splits. (Hint: twist to reduce to the case $n_2 = 0$, then take cohomology again.)

(c) Prove that \mathcal{F} contains a subsheaf isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(n)$ for some n ; deduce that \mathcal{F} admits a “composition series”

$$0 = \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_d = \mathcal{F}$$

by subsheaves with $\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ locally free of rank 1 for $i = 1, \dots, d$. (Hint: if \mathcal{F} is nonzero, then it admits a rational section.)

(d) Prove that the set of degrees of rank 1 subsheaves of \mathcal{F} is bounded above. (Hint: compare to a filtration as in (c).)

(e) Prove that \mathcal{F} admits a composition series with

$$\deg(\mathcal{F}_1/\mathcal{F}_0) \geq \deg(\mathcal{F}_2/\mathcal{F}_1) \geq \cdots \geq \deg(\mathcal{F}_d/\mathcal{F}_{d-1}).$$

(Hint: take \mathcal{F}_1 to be a subsheaf of \mathcal{F} with degree as large as possible.)

(f) Prove that $\mathcal{F} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{O}(n_i)$ for some integers n_1, \dots, n_d .

8. (Required) Let X be a nonempty closed subscheme of \mathbb{P}_k^r , for k an algebraically closed field. Prove that for a generic hyperplane H , we have $\dim(X \cap H) < \dim(X)$; that is, the hyperplanes H for which this fails correspond to the points of a closed subscheme of the Grassmannian. (This Grassmannian is itself a projective space, in the coefficients describing H in terms of x_0, \dots, x_n .)

9. (Eisenbud-Harris III-58) Let A be a noetherian ring. Let X be a closed subscheme of \mathbb{P}_A^r for some $r \geq 1$. Prove that for any nonnegative integer n , the function

$$t \mapsto \dim_{\kappa(t)} \Gamma(X_t, \mathcal{O}(n))$$

is upper semicontinuous; that is, for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the set of points where the function has value at least m is closed in $\text{Spec } A$.

10. Eisenbud-Harris III-60.

11. (Required) The *Hilbert function* of a closed subscheme X of a projective space over a field k is the function on nonnegative integers defined by $n \mapsto \dim_k H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(n))$.

- (a) Find the Hilbert polynomial and the Hilbert function of all subschemes of the plane of length 3 over an algebraically closed field.
 - (b) Give an example of two schemes with the same Hilbert polynomial but not the same Hilbert function.
12. Eisenbud-Harris III-66.
13. Check the numerical criterion for flatness explicitly for Hartshorne Example III.9.8.4.