

1.13. Exactness of the tensor product.

Proposition 1.13.1. (see [BaKi, 2.1.8]) *Let \mathcal{C} be a multitensor category. Then the bifunctor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is exact in both factors (i.e., biexact).*

Proof. The proposition follows from the fact that by Proposition 1.10.9, the functors $V \otimes$ and $\otimes V$ have left and right adjoint functors (the functors of tensoring with the corresponding duals), and any functor between abelian categories which has a left and a right adjoint functor is exact. \square

Remark 1.13.2. The proof of Proposition 1.13.1 shows that the bi-additivity of the functor \otimes holds automatically in any rigid monoidal abelian category. However, this is not the case for bilinearity of \otimes , and thus condition of bilinearity of tensor product in the definition of a multitensor category is not redundant.

This may be illustrated by the following example. Let \mathcal{C} be the category of finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -bimodules in which the left and right actions of \mathbb{R} coincide. This category is \mathbb{C} -linear abelian; namely, it is semisimple with two simple objects $\mathbb{C}_+ = \mathbf{1}$ and \mathbb{C}_- , both equal to \mathbb{C} as a real vector space, with bimodule structures $(a, b)z = azb$ and $(a, b)z = az\bar{b}$, respectively. It is also rigid monoidal, with \otimes being the tensor product of bimodules. But the tensor product functor is not \mathbb{C} -bilinear on morphisms (it is only \mathbb{R} -bilinear).

Definition 1.13.3. A *multiring category* over k is a locally finite k -linear abelian monoidal category \mathcal{C} with biexact tensor product. If in addition $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{1}) = k$, we will call \mathcal{C} a *ring category*.

Thus, the difference between this definition and the definition of a (multi)tensor category is that we don't require the existence of duals, but instead require the biexactness of the tensor product. Note that Proposition 1.13.1 implies that any multitensor category is a multiring category, and any tensor category is a ring category.

Corollary 1.13.4. *For any pair of morphisms f_1, f_2 in a multiring category \mathcal{C} one has $\text{Im}(f_1 \otimes f_2) = \text{Im}(f_1) \otimes \text{Im}(f_2)$.*

Proof. Let I_1, I_2 be the images of f_1, f_2 . Then the morphisms $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$, $i = 1, 2$, have decompositions $X_i \rightarrow I_i \rightarrow Y_i$, where the sequences

$$X_i \rightarrow I_i \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow I_i \rightarrow Y_i$$

are exact. Tensoring the sequence $X_1 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow 0$ with I_2 , by Proposition 1.13.1, we get the exact sequence

$$X_1 \otimes I_2 \rightarrow I_1 \otimes I_2 \rightarrow 0$$

Tensoring X_1 with the sequence $X_2 \rightarrow I_2 \rightarrow 0$, we get the exact sequence

$$X_1 \otimes X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \otimes I_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Combining these, we get an exact sequence

$$X_1 \otimes X_2 \rightarrow I_1 \otimes I_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Arguing similarly, we show that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_1 \otimes I_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \otimes Y_2$$

is exact. This implies the statement. \square

Proposition 1.13.5. *If \mathcal{C} is a multiring category with right duals, then the right dualization functor is exact. The same applies to left duals.*

Proof. Let $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence. We need to show that the sequence $0 \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow Y^* \rightarrow X^* \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Let T be any object of \mathcal{C} , and consider the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(T, Z^*) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(T, Y^*) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(T, X^*).$$

By Proposition 1.10.9, it can be written as

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(T \otimes Z, \mathbf{1}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(T \otimes Y, \mathbf{1}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(T \otimes X, \mathbf{1}),$$

which is exact, since the sequence

$$T \otimes X \rightarrow T \otimes Y \rightarrow T \otimes Z \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, by the exactness of the functor $T \otimes$. This implies that the sequence $0 \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ is exact.

Similarly, consider the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(X^*, T) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(Y^*, T) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(Z^*, T).$$

By Proposition 1.10.9, it can be written as

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{1}, X \otimes T) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{1}, Y \otimes T) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{1}, Z \otimes T),$$

which is exact since the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \otimes T \rightarrow Y \otimes T \rightarrow Z \otimes T$$

is exact, by the exactness of the functor $\otimes T$. This implies that the sequence $Z^* \rightarrow Y^* \rightarrow X^* \rightarrow 0$ is exact. \square

Proposition 1.13.6. *Let P be a projective object in a multiring category \mathcal{C} . If $X \in \mathcal{C}$ has a right dual, then the object $P \otimes X$ is projective. Similarly, if $X \in \mathcal{C}$ has a left dual, then the object $X \otimes P$ is projective.*

Proof. In the first case by Proposition 1.10.9 we have $\text{Hom}(P \otimes X, Y) = \text{Hom}(P, Y \otimes X^*)$, which is an exact functor of Y , since the functors $\otimes X^*$ and $\text{Hom}(P, \bullet)$ are exact. So $P \otimes X$ is projective. The second case is similar. \square

Corollary 1.13.7. *If \mathcal{C} multiring category with right duals, then $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{C}$ is a projective object if and only if \mathcal{C} is semisimple.*

Proof. If $\mathbf{1}$ is projective then for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $X \cong \mathbf{1} \otimes X$ is projective. This implies that \mathcal{C} is semisimple. The converse is obvious. \square

1.14. Quasi-tensor and tensor functors.

Definition 1.14.1. Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be multiring categories over k , and $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be an exact and faithful functor.

(i) F is said to be a quasi-tensor functor if it is equipped with a functorial isomorphism $J : F(\bullet) \otimes F(\bullet) \rightarrow F(\bullet \otimes \bullet)$, and $F(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$.

(ii) A quasi-tensor functor (F, J) is said to be a tensor functor if J is a monoidal structure (i.e., satisfies the monoidal structure axiom).

Example 1.14.2. The functors of Examples 1.6.1, 1.6.2 and Subsection 1.7 (for the categories Vec_G^ω) are tensor functors. The identity functor $\text{Vec}_G^{\omega_1} \rightarrow \text{Vec}_G^{\omega_2}$ for non-cohomologous 3-cocycles ω_1, ω_2 is not a tensor functor, but it can be made quasi-tensor by any choice of J .

1.15. Semisimplicity of the unit object.

Theorem 1.15.1. *In any multiring category, $\text{End}(\mathbf{1})$ is a semisimple algebra, so it is isomorphic to a direct sum of finitely many copies of k .*

Proof. By Proposition 1.2.7, $\text{End}(\mathbf{1})$ is a commutative algebra, so it is sufficient to show that for any $a \in \text{End}(\mathbf{1})$ such that $a^2 = 0$ we have $a = 0$. Let $J = \text{Im}(a)$. Then by Corollary 1.13.4 $J \otimes J = \text{Im}(a \otimes a) = \text{Im}(a^2 \otimes \mathbf{1}) = 0$.

Now let $K = \text{Ker}(a)$. Then by Corollary 1.13.4, $K \otimes J$ is the image of $\mathbf{1} \otimes a$ on $K \otimes \mathbf{1}$. But since $K \otimes \mathbf{1}$ is a subobject of $\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}$, this is the same as the image of $a \otimes \mathbf{1}$ on $K \otimes \mathbf{1}$, which is zero. So $K \otimes J = 0$.

Now tensoring the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow J \rightarrow 0$ with J , and applying Proposition 1.13.1, we get that $J = 0$, so $a = 0$. \square

Let $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the primitive idempotents of the algebra $\text{End}(\mathbf{1})$. Let $\mathbf{1}_i$ be the image of p_i . Then we have $\mathbf{1} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbf{1}_i$.

Corollary 1.15.2. *In any multiring category \mathcal{C} the unit object $\mathbf{1}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable objects: $\mathbf{1} \cong \bigoplus_i \mathbf{1}_i$.*

Exercise 1.15.3. One has $\mathbf{1}_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. There are canonical isomorphisms $\mathbf{1}_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_i \cong \mathbf{1}_i$, and $\mathbf{1}_i \cong \mathbf{1}_i^*$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{ij} := \mathbf{1}_i \otimes \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathbf{1}_j$.

Definition 1.15.4. The subcategories \mathcal{C}_{ij} will be called the *component* subcategories of \mathcal{C} .

Proposition 1.15.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a multiring category.*

- (1) $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i,j \in I} \mathcal{C}_{ij}$. Thus every indecomposable object of \mathcal{C} belongs to some \mathcal{C}_{ij} .
- (2) The tensor product maps $\mathcal{C}_{ij} \times \mathcal{C}_{kl}$ to \mathcal{C}_{il} , and it is zero unless $j = k$.
- (3) The categories \mathcal{C}_{ii} are ring categories with unit objects $\mathbf{1}_i$ (which are tensor categories if \mathcal{C} is rigid).
- (3) The functors of left and right duals, if they are defined, map \mathcal{C}_{ij} to \mathcal{C}_{ji} .

Exercise 1.15.6. Prove Proposition 1.15.5.

Proposition 1.15.5 motivates the terms “multiring category” and “multitensor category”, as such a category gives us multiple ring categories, respectively tensor categories \mathcal{C}_{ii} .

Remark 1.15.7. Thus, a multiring category may be considered as a 2-category with objects being elements of I , 1-morphisms from j to i forming the category \mathcal{C}_{ij} , and 2-morphisms being 1-morphisms in \mathcal{C} .

Theorem 1.15.8. (i) *In a ring category with right duals, the unit object $\mathbf{1}$ is simple.*

(ii) *In a multiring category with right duals, the unit object $\mathbf{1}$ is semisimple, and is a direct sum of pairwise non-isomorphic simple objects $\mathbf{1}_i$.*

Proof. Clearly, (i) implies (ii) (by applying (i) to the component categories \mathcal{C}_{ii}). So it is enough to prove (i).

Let X be a simple subobject of $\mathbf{1}$ (it exists, since $\mathbf{1}$ has finite length). Let

$$(1.15.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow 0$$

be the corresponding exact sequence. By Proposition 1.13.5, the right dualization functor is exact, so we get an exact sequence

$$(1.15.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow Y^* \longrightarrow \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow X^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring this sequence with X on the left, we obtain

$$(1.15.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow X \otimes Y^* \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow X \otimes X^* \longrightarrow 0,$$

Since X is simple and $X \otimes X^* \neq 0$ (because the coevaluation morphism is nonzero) we obtain that $X \otimes X^* \cong X$. So we have a surjective composition morphism $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow X \otimes X^* \rightarrow X$. From this and (1.15.1) we have a nonzero composition morphism $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{1}$. Since $\text{End}(\mathbf{1}) = k$, this morphism is a nonzero scalar, whence $X = \mathbf{1}$. \square

Corollary 1.15.9. *In a ring category with right duals, the evaluation morphisms are surjective and the coevaluation morphisms are injective.*

Exercise 1.15.10. Let \mathcal{C} be a multiring category with right duals. and $X \in \mathcal{C}_{ij}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{C}_{jk}$ be nonzero.

- (a) Show that $X \otimes Y \neq 0$.
- (b) Deduce that $\text{length}(X \otimes Y) \geq \text{length}(X)\text{length}(Y)$.
- (c) Show that if \mathcal{C} is a ring category with right duals then an invertible object in \mathcal{C} is simple.
- (d) Let X be an object in a multiring category with right duals such that $X \otimes X^* \cong \mathbf{1}$. Show that X is invertible.

Example 1.15.11. An example of a ring category where the unit object is not simple is the category \mathcal{C} of finite dimensional representations of the quiver of type A_2 . Such representations are triples (V, W, A) , where V, W are finite dimensional vector spaces, and $A : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear operator. The tensor product on such triples is defined by the formula

$$(V, W, A) \otimes (V', W', A') = (V \otimes V', W \otimes W', A \otimes A'),$$

with obvious associativity isomorphisms, and the unit object (k, k, Id) . Of course, this category has neither right nor left duals.

1.16. **Grothendieck rings.** Let \mathcal{C} be a locally finite abelian category over k . If X and Y are objects in \mathcal{C} such that Y is simple then we denote by $[X : Y]$ the multiplicity of Y in the Jordan-Hölder composition series of X .

Recall that the Grothendieck group $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ is the free abelian group generated by isomorphism classes $X_i, i \in I$ of simple objects in \mathcal{C} , and that to every object X in \mathcal{C} we can canonically associate its class $[X] \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ given by the formula $[X] = \sum_i [X : X_i]X_i$. It is obvious that if

$$0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 0$$

then $[Y] = [X] + [Z]$. When no confusion is possible, we will write X instead of $[X]$.

Now let \mathcal{C} be a multiring category. The tensor product on \mathcal{C} induces a natural multiplication on $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ defined by the formula

$$X_i X_j := [X_i \otimes X_j] = \sum_{k \in I} [X_i \otimes X_j : X_k] X_k.$$

Lemma 1.16.1. *The above multiplication on $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ is associative.*

Proof. Since the tensor product functor is exact,

$$[(X_i \otimes X_j) \otimes X_p : X_l] = \sum_k [X_i \otimes X_j : X_k] [X_k \otimes X_p : X_l].$$

On the other hand,

$$[X_i \otimes (X_j \otimes X_p) : X_l] = \sum_k [X_j \otimes X_p : X_k] [X_i \otimes X_k : X_l].$$

Thus the associativity of the multiplication follows from the isomorphism $(X_i \otimes X_j) \otimes X_p \cong X_i \otimes (X_j \otimes X_p)$. \square

Thus $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ is an associative ring with the unit $\mathbf{1}$. It is called the *Grothendieck ring of \mathcal{C}* .

The following proposition is obvious.

Proposition 1.16.2. *Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be multiring categories and $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a quasi-tensor functor. Then F defines a homomorphism of unital rings $[F] : \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{D})$.*

Thus, we see that (multi)ring categories categorify rings (which justifies the terminology), while quasi-tensor (in particular, tensor) functors between them categorify unital ring homomorphisms. Note that Proposition 1.15.5 may be regarded as a categorical analog of the Peirce decomposition in classical algebra.

1.17. Groupoids. The most basic examples of multitensor categories arise from finite groupoids. Recall that a *groupoid* is a small category where all morphisms are isomorphisms. Thus a groupoid \mathcal{G} entails a set X of objects of \mathcal{G} and a set G of morphisms of \mathcal{G} , the source and target maps $s, t : G \rightarrow X$, the composition map $\mu : G \times_X G \rightarrow G$ (where the fibered product is defined using s in the first factor and using t in the second factor), the unit morphism map $u : X \rightarrow G$, and the inversion map $i : G \rightarrow G$ satisfying certain natural axioms, see e.g. [Ren] for more details.

Here are some examples of groupoids.

- (1) Any group G is a groupoid \mathcal{G} with a single object whose set of morphisms to itself is G .

- (2) Let X be a set and let $G = X \times X$. Then the *product groupoid* $\mathcal{G}(X) := (X, G)$ is a groupoid in which s is the first projection, t is the second projection, u is the diagonal map, and i is the permutation of factors. In this groupoid for any $x, y \in X$ there is a unique morphism from x to y .
- (3) A more interesting example is the *transformation groupoid* $T(G, X)$ arising from the action of a group G on a set X . The set of objects of $T(G, X)$ is X , and arrows correspond to triples (g, x, y) where $y = gx$ with an obvious composition law. In other words, the set of morphisms is $G \times X$ and $s(g, x) = x$, $t(g, x) = gx$, $u(x) = (1, x)$, $i(g, x) = (g^{-1}, gx)$.

Let $\mathcal{G} = (X, G, \mu, s, t, u, i)$ be a finite groupoid (i.e., G is finite) and let $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G})$ be the category of finite dimensional vector spaces graded by the set G of morphisms of \mathcal{G} , i.e., vector spaces of the form $V = \bigoplus_{g \in G} V_g$. Introduce a tensor product on $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G})$ by the formula

$$(1.17.1) \quad (V \otimes W)_g = \bigoplus_{(g_1, g_2): g_1 g_2 = g} V_{g_1} \otimes W_{g_2}.$$

Then $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G})$ is a multitensor category. The unit object is $\mathbf{1} = \bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathbf{1}_x$, where $\mathbf{1}_x$ is a 1-dimensional vector space which sits in degree id_x in G . The left and right duals are defined by $(V^*)_g = (*V)_g = V_{g^{-1}}$.

We invite the reader to check that the component subcategories $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G})_{xy}$ are the categories of vector spaces graded by $\text{Mor}(y, x)$.

We see that $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G})$ is a tensor category if and only if \mathcal{G} is a group, which is the case of Vec_G already considered in Example 1.3.6. Note also that if $X = \{1, \dots, n\}$ then $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}(X))$ is naturally equivalent to $M_n(\text{Vec})$.

Exercise 1.17.1. Let C_i be isomorphism classes of objects in a finite groupoid \mathcal{G} , $n_i = |C_i|$, $x_i \in C_i$ be representatives of C_i , and $G_i = \text{Aut}(x_i)$ be the corresponding automorphism groups. Show that $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G})$ is (non-canonically) monoidally equivalent to $\bigoplus_i M_{n_i}(\text{Vec}_{G_i})$.

Remark 1.17.2. The finite length condition in Definition 1.12.3 is not superfluous: there exists a rigid monoidal k -linear abelian category with bilinear tensor product which contains objects of infinite length. An example of such a category is the category \mathcal{C} of Jacobi matrices of finite dimensional vector spaces. Namely, the objects of \mathcal{C} are semi-infinite matrices $V = \{V_{ij}\}_{ij \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ of finite dimensional vector spaces V_{ij} with finitely many non-zero diagonals, and morphisms are matrices of linear maps. The tensor product in this category is defined by the

formula

$$(1.17.2) \quad (V \otimes W)_{il} = \sum_j V_{ij} \otimes W_{jl},$$

and the unit object $\mathbf{1}$ is defined by the condition $\mathbf{1}_{ij} = k^{\delta_{ij}}$. The left and right duality functors coincide and are given by the formula

$$(1.17.3) \quad (V^*)_{ij} = (V_{ji})^*.$$

The evaluation map is the direct sum of the canonical maps $V_{ij}^* \otimes V_{ij} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{jj}$, and the coevaluation map is a direct sum of the canonical maps $\mathbf{1}_{ii} \rightarrow V_{ij} \otimes V_{ij}^*$.

Note that the category \mathcal{C} is a subcategory of the category \mathcal{C}' of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ -graded vector spaces with finite dimensional homogeneous components. Note also that the category \mathcal{C}' is not closed under the tensor product defined by (1.17.2) but the category \mathcal{C} is.

- Exercise 1.17.3.** (1) Show that if X is a finite set then the group of invertible objects of the category $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}(X))$ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(X)$.
- (2) Let \mathcal{C} be the category of Jacobi matrices of vector spaces from Example 1.17.2. Show that the statement Exercise 1.15.10(d) fails for \mathcal{C} . Thus the finite length condition is important in Exercise 1.15.10.

1.18. Finite abelian categories and exact faithful functors.

Definition 1.18.1. A k -linear abelian category \mathcal{C} is said to be *finite* if it is equivalent to the category $A - \text{mod}$ of finite dimensional modules over a finite dimensional k -algebra A .

Of course, the algebra A is not canonically attached to the category \mathcal{C} ; rather, \mathcal{C} determines the Morita equivalence class of A . For this reason, it is often better to use the following “intrinsic” definition, which is well known to be equivalent to Definition 1.18.1:

Definition 1.18.2. A k -linear abelian category \mathcal{C} is *finite* if

- (i) \mathcal{C} has finite dimensional spaces of morphisms;
- (ii) every object of \mathcal{C} has finite length;
- (iii) \mathcal{C} has enough projectives, i.e., every simple object of \mathcal{C} has a projective cover; and
- (iv) there are finitely many isomorphism classes of simple objects.

Note that the first two conditions are the requirement that \mathcal{C} be locally finite.

Indeed, it is clear that if A is a finite dimensional algebra then $A - \text{mod}$ clearly satisfies (i)-(iv), and conversely, if \mathcal{C} satisfies (i)-(iv), then

one can take $A = \text{End}(P)^{op}$, where P is a projective generator of \mathcal{C} (e.g., $P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_i$, where P_i are projective covers of all the simple objects X_i).

A projective generator P of \mathcal{C} represents a functor $F = F_P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ from \mathcal{C} to the category of finite dimensional k -vector spaces, given by the formula $F(X) = \text{Hom}(P, X)$. The condition that P is projective translates into the exactness property of F , and the condition that P is a generator (i.e., covers any simple object) translates into the property that F is faithful (does not kill nonzero objects or morphisms). Moreover, the algebra $A = \text{End}(P)^{op}$ can be alternatively defined as $\text{End}(F)$, the algebra of functorial endomorphisms of F . Conversely, it is well known (and easy to show) that any exact faithful functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ is represented by a unique (up to a unique isomorphism) projective generator P .

Now let \mathcal{C} be a finite k -linear abelian category, and $F_1, F_2 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ be two exact faithful functors. Define the functor $F_1 \otimes F_2 : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ by $(F_1 \otimes F_2)(X, Y) := F_1(X) \otimes F_2(Y)$.

Proposition 1.18.3. *There is a canonical algebra isomorphism $\alpha_{F_1, F_2} : \text{End}(F_1) \otimes \text{End}(F_2) \cong \text{End}(F_1 \otimes F_2)$ given by*

$$\alpha_{F_1, F_2}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)|_{F_1(X) \otimes F_2(Y)} := \eta_1|_{F_1(X)} \otimes \eta_2|_{F_2(Y)},$$

where $\eta_i \in \text{End}(F_i)$, $i = 1, 2$.

Exercise 1.18.4. Prove Proposition 1.18.3.

1.19. **Fiber functors.** Let \mathcal{C} be a k -linear abelian monoidal category.

Definition 1.19.1. A *quasi-fiber functor* on \mathcal{C} is an exact faithful functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ from \mathcal{C} to the category of finite dimensional k -vector spaces, such that $F(\mathbf{1}) = k$, equipped with an isomorphism $J : F(\bullet) \otimes F(\bullet) \rightarrow F(\bullet \otimes \bullet)$. If in addition J is a monoidal structure (i.e. satisfies the monoidal structure axiom), one says that F is a *fiber functor*.

Example 1.19.2. The forgetful functors $\text{Vec}_G \rightarrow \text{Vec}$, $\text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ are naturally fiber functors, while the forgetful functor $\text{Vec}_G^\omega \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ is quasi-fiber, for any choice of the isomorphism J (we have seen that if ω is cohomologically nontrivial, then Vec_G^ω does not admit a fiber functor). Also, the functor $\text{Loc}(X) \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ on the category of local systems on a connected topological space X which attaches to a local system E its fiber E_x at a point $x \in X$ is a fiber functor, which justifies the terminology. (Note that if X is Hausdorff, then this functor can be identified with the abovementioned forgetful functor $\text{Rep}(\pi_1(X, x)) \rightarrow \text{Vec}$).

Exercise 1.19.3. Show that if an abelian monoidal category \mathcal{C} admits a quasi-fiber functor, then it is a ring category, in which the object $\mathbf{1}$ is simple. So if in addition \mathcal{C} is rigid, then it is a tensor category.

1.20. Coalgebras.

Definition 1.20.1. A *coalgebra* (with counit) over a field k is a k -vector space C together with a comultiplication (or coproduct) $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$ and counit $\varepsilon : C \rightarrow k$ such that

(i) Δ is coassociative, i.e.,

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{Id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$$

as maps $C \rightarrow C^{\otimes 3}$;

(ii) one has

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{Id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta = \text{Id}$$

as maps $C \rightarrow C$ (the ‘‘counit axiom’’).

Definition 1.20.2. A left comodule over a coalgebra C is a vector space M together with a linear map $\pi : M \rightarrow C \otimes M$ (called the coaction map), such that for any $m \in M$, one has

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{Id})(\pi(m)) = (\text{Id} \otimes \pi)(\pi(m)), \quad (\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id})(\pi(m)) = m.$$

Similarly, a right comodule over C is a vector space M together with a linear map $\pi : M \rightarrow M \otimes C$, such that for any $m \in M$, one has

$$(\pi \otimes \text{Id})(\pi(m)) = (\text{Id} \otimes \Delta)(\pi(m)), \quad (\text{Id} \otimes \varepsilon)(\pi(m)) = m.$$

For example, C is a left and right comodule with $\pi = \Delta$, and so is k , with $\pi = \varepsilon$.

Exercise 1.20.3. (i) Show that if C is a coalgebra then C^* is an algebra, and if A is a finite dimensional algebra then A^* is a coalgebra.

(ii) Show that for any coalgebra C , any (left or right) C -comodule M is a (respectively, right or left) C^* -module, and the converse is true if C is finite dimensional.

Exercise 1.20.4. (i) Show that any coalgebra C is a sum of finite dimensional subcoalgebras.

Hint. Let $c \in C$, and let

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \Delta(c) = (\text{Id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta(c) = \sum_i c_i^1 \otimes c_i^2 \otimes c_i^3.$$

Show that $\text{span}(c_i^2)$ is a subcoalgebra of C containing c .

(ii) Show that any C -comodule is a sum of finite dimensional submodules.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.769 Topics in Lie Theory: Tensor Categories
Spring 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.