

Lecture 4

FFermat, Euler, Wilson, Linear Congruences

(Definition) Complete Residue System: A complete residue system mod m is a collection of integers $a_1 \dots a_m$ such that $a_i \not\equiv a_j \pmod{m}$ if $i \neq j$ and any integer n is congruent to some $a_i \pmod{m}$

(Definition) Reduced Residue System: A reduced residue system mod m is a collection of integers $a_1 \dots a_k$ such that $a_i \not\equiv a_j \pmod{m}$ if $i \neq j$ and $(a_i, m) = 1$ for all i , and any integer n coprime to m must be congruent to some $a_i \pmod{m}$. Eg., take any complete residue system mod m and take the subset consisting of all the integers in it which are coprime to m - these will form a reduced residue system

Eg. For $m = 12$

complete = $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\}$

reduced = $\{1, 5, 7, 11\}$

(Definition) Euler's Totient Function: The number of elements in a reduced residue system mod m is called **Euler's totient function:** $\phi(m)$ (ie., the number of positive integers $\leq m$ and coprime to m)

Theorem 15 (Euler's Theorem).

$$\text{If } (a, m) = 1, \text{ then } a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

Proof.

Lemma 16. If $(a, m) = 1$ and $r_1 \dots r_k$ is a reduced residue system mod m , $k = \phi(m)$, then $ar_1 \dots ar_k$ is also a reduced residue system mod m .

Proof. All we need to show is that ar_i are all coprime to m and distinct mod m , since there are k of these ar_i and k is the number of elements in any residue system mod m . We know that if $(r, m) = 1$ and $(a, m) = 1$ then $(ar, m) = 1$. Also, if we had $ar_i \equiv ar_j \pmod{m}$, then $m | ar_i - ar_j = a(r_i - r_j)$. If $(a, m) = 1$ then $m | r_i - r_j \Rightarrow r_i \equiv r_j \pmod{m}$, which cannot happen unless $i = j$. \square

Choose a reduced residue system $r_1 \dots r_k \pmod{m}$ with $k = \phi(m)$. By lemma, $ar_1 \dots ar_k$ is also a reduced residue system. These two must be permutations of

each other mod m (ie., $ar_i \equiv r_{j(i)} \pmod{m}$).

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 r_2 \dots r_k &\equiv ar_1 ar_2 \dots ar_k \pmod{m} \\ r_1 r_2 \dots r_k &\equiv a^{\phi(m)} r_1 r_2 \dots r_k \pmod{m} \\ (r_1 r_2 \dots r_k, m) = 1 &\Rightarrow \text{can cancel} \\ a^{\phi(m)} &\equiv 1 \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

■

Corollary 17 (Fermat's Little Theorem).

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p} \text{ for prime } p \text{ and integer } a$$

Proof. If $p \nmid a$ (ie., $(a, p) = 1$) then $a^{\phi(p)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ by Euler's Theorem. $\phi(p) = p - 1 \Rightarrow a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \Rightarrow a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$. If $p|a$, then $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ so both sides are $0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. ■

Proof by induction.

Lemma 18 (Freshman's Dream).

$$(x + y)^p \equiv x^p + y^p \pmod{p} \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ prime } p$$

Use the Binomial Theorem.

$$(x + y)^p = x^p + y^p + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} x^k y^{p-k}}_{\equiv 0 \pmod{p}}$$

We saw that $\binom{p}{k}$ is divisible by p for $1 \leq k \leq p - 1$, so

$$(x + y)^p \equiv x^p + y^p \pmod{p}$$

□

Induction base case of $a = 0$ is obvious. Check to see if it holds for $a + 1$ assuming it holds for a

$$\begin{aligned} (a + 1)^p - (a + 1) &\equiv a^p + 1 - (a + 1) \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv a^p - a \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ (a + 1)^p &\equiv (a + 1) \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

This is reversible (if holds for a , then also for $a - 1$), and so holds for all integers by stepping up or down ■

Proposition 19 (Inverses of elements mod m). *If $(a, m) = 1$, then there is a unique integer $b \pmod m$ such that $ab \equiv 1 \pmod m$. This b is denoted by $\frac{1}{a}$ or $a^{-1} \pmod m$*

Proof of Existence. Since $(a, m) = 1$ we know that $ax + my = 1$ for some integers x, y , and so $ax \equiv 1 \pmod m$. Set $b = x$. ■

Proof of Uniqueness. If $ab_1 \equiv 1 \pmod m$ and $ab_2 \equiv 1 \pmod m$, then $ab_1 \equiv ab_2 \pmod m \Rightarrow m|a(b_1 - b_2)$. Since $(m, a) = 1$, $m|b_1 - b_2 \Rightarrow b_1 \equiv b_2 \pmod m$. ■

Theorem 20 (Wilson's Theorem). *If p is a prime then $(p - 1)! \equiv -1 \pmod p$*

Proof. Assume that p is odd (trivial for $p = 2$).

Lemma 21. *The congruence $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod p$ has only the solutions $x \equiv \pm 1 \pmod p$*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &\equiv 1 \pmod p \\ \Rightarrow p|x^2 - 1 \\ \Rightarrow p|(x - 1)(x + 1) \\ \Rightarrow p|x \pm 1 \\ \Rightarrow x &\equiv \pm 1 \pmod p \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod p \Rightarrow (x, p) = 1$ and x has inverse and $x \equiv x^{-1} \pmod p$. $\{1 \dots p - 1\}$ is a reduced residue system mod p . Pair up elements a with inverse $a^{-1} \pmod p$. Only singletons will be 1 and -1 .

$$\begin{aligned} (p - 1)! &\equiv (a_1 \cdot a_1^{-1})(a_2 \cdot a_2^{-1}) \dots (a_k \cdot a_k^{-1})(1)(-1) \pmod p \\ &\equiv -1 \pmod p \end{aligned}$$

■

Wilson's Theorem lets us solve congruence $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod p$

Theorem 22. *The congruence $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod p$ is solvable if and only if $p = 2$ or $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$*

Proof. $p = 2$ is easy. We'll show that there is no solution for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ by contradiction. Assume $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ for some x coprime to p ($p = 4k + 3$). Note that

$$p - 1 = 4k + 2 = 2(2k + 1)$$

so $(x^2)^{2k+1} \equiv (-1)^{2k+1} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. But also,

$$(x^2)^{2k+1} \equiv x^{4k+2} \equiv x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

So $1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \Rightarrow p|2$, which is impossible since p is an odd prime.

If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1)! &\equiv -1 \pmod{p} \text{ by Wilson's Theorem} \\ (1)(2)\dots(p-1) &\equiv -1 \pmod{p} \\ \underbrace{\left(1 \cdot 2 \dots \frac{p-1}{2}\right)}_x \underbrace{\left(\frac{p+1}{2} \dots p-1\right)}_{\text{show that second factor equals the first}} &\equiv -1 \pmod{p} \\ p-1 &\equiv (-1)1 \pmod{p} \\ p-2 &\equiv (-1)2 \pmod{p} \\ &\vdots \\ \frac{p+1}{2} &\equiv (-1)\frac{p-1}{2} \pmod{p} \\ \underbrace{\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) \dots (p-1)}_{\text{second factor}} &\equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \underbrace{\left(1 \cdot 2 \dots \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\right)}_x \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{p-1}{2}$ is even since $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and so second factor equals the first factor, so $x = \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!$ solves $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. ■

Theorem 23. *There are infinitely many primes of form $4k + 1$*

Proof. As in Euclid's proof, assume finitely many such primes $p_1 \dots p_n$. Consider the positive integer

$$N = (2p_1 p_2 \dots p_n)^2 + 1$$

N is an odd integer > 1 , so it has an odd prime factor $q \neq p_i$, since each p_i divides $N - 1$. $q|N \Rightarrow (2p_1 \dots p_n)^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$, so $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$ has a solution and so by theorem $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, which contradicts $q \neq p_i$. ■

(Definition) Congruence: A congruence (equation) is of the form $a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} \cdots + a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ where $a_n \dots a_0$ are integers. Solution of the congruence are integers or residue classes mod m that satisfy the equation.

Eg. $x^p - x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. How many solutions? p .

Eg. $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$. Answers = 2, 3.

Eg. $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{43}$. No solutions since $43 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Eg. $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{15}$. Answers = $\pm 1, \pm 4 \pmod{15}$.

Note: The number of solutions to a non-prime modulus can be larger than the degree

(Definition) Linear Congruence: a congruence of degree 1 ($ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$)

Theorem 24. Let $g = (a, m)$. Then there is a solution to $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if and only if $g|b$. If it has solutions, then it has exactly g solutions mod m .

Proof. Suppose $g \nmid b$. We want to show that the congruence doesn't have a solution. Suppose x_0 is a solution $\Rightarrow ax_0 = b + mk$ for some integer k . Since $g|a, g|m, g$ divides $ax_0 - mk = b$, which is a contradiction. Conversely, if $g|b$, we want to show that solutions exist. We know $g = ax_0 + my_0$ for integer x_0, y_0 . If $b = b'g$, multiply by b' to get

$$\begin{aligned} b &= b'g = b'(ax_0 + my_0) \\ &= a(b'x_0) + m(b'y_0) \\ &\Rightarrow a(b'x_0) \equiv b \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

and so $x = b'x_0$ is a solution.

We need to show that there are exactly g solutions. We know that there is one solution x_1 , and the congruence says $ax \equiv b \equiv ax_1 \pmod{m}$.

$$\begin{aligned} a(x - x_1) &\equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ a(x - x_1) &\equiv mk \text{ for some integer } k \\ g = (a, m) &\Rightarrow a = a'g, m = m'g \end{aligned}$$

So $(a, m') = 1$, so $a'g(x - x_1) = m'gk \Rightarrow a(x - x_1) = m'k$ for some k . So $m'|x - x_1$, so $x \equiv x_1 \pmod{m'}$, so any solution of the congruence must be congruent to x

mod $m' = m$. So all the solutions are $x_1, x_1 + m', x_1 + 2m', \dots, x_1 + (g - 1)m'$. They are all distinct, so they are all the solutions mod m . ■

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.781 Theory of Numbers
Spring 2012

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.