

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.917 Topics in Algebraic Topology: The Sullivan Conjecture
Fall 2007

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.

Tensor Products and Algebras (Lecture 11)

Recall that if X is a topological space, then the cohomology $H^*(X)$ has the structure of an unstable module over the Steenrod algebra \mathcal{A} . Moreover, $H^*(X)$ is equipped with a multiplication which satisfies the Cartan formula:

$$\mathrm{Sq}^n(xy) = \sum_{n=n'+n''} \mathrm{Sq}^{n'}(x) \mathrm{Sq}^{n''}(y).$$

In other words, the multiplication map

$$H^*(X) \otimes H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$$

is compatible with the Steenrod operations Sq^n , if we let Sq^n act by the formula

$$\mathrm{Sq}^n(x \otimes y) = \sum_{n=n'+n''} \mathrm{Sq}^{n'}(x) \otimes \mathrm{Sq}^{n''}(y).$$

Our goal in this lecture is to prove that the preceding formula endows $H^*(X) \otimes H^*$ with the structure of an unstable module over the Steenrod algebra. Moreover, a similar result is true for any pair M, N of unstable modules over the big Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Big}}$.

Definition 1. We let $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Big}}$ denote the big Steenrod algebra, and $\mathcal{U}^{\mathrm{Big}}$ the category of (graded) unstable $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Big}}$ -modules.

Let R denote the free \mathbf{F}_2 -algebra $\mathbf{F}_2[\dots, \mathrm{Sq}^{-1}, \mathrm{Sq}^0, \mathrm{Sq}^1, \dots]$, so that $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Big}}$ is the quotient of R by the ideal $I \subseteq R$ generated by the Adem relations.

For every pair of objects $M, N \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathrm{Big}}$, we let R act on $M \otimes N$ by the formula

$$\mathrm{Sq}^k(x \otimes y) = \sum_{k=k'+k''} \mathrm{Sq}^{k'}(x) \otimes \mathrm{Sq}^{k''}(y).$$

Observe that the sum appearing above is automatically finite, since $\mathrm{Sq}^{k'}(x) \otimes \mathrm{Sq}^{k''}(y)$ vanishes if $k' > \deg(x)$ or $k'' > \deg(y)$. The same argument shows that $M \otimes N$ is unstable, in the sense that $\mathrm{Sq}^k(x \otimes y) = 0$ for $k > \deg(x) + \deg(y)$.

We would like to prove the following:

Theorem 2. *For any pair of objects $M, N \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathrm{Big}}$, the tensor product $M \otimes N$ is again an unstable $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Big}}$ -module.*

In other words, we wish to show that the action of R on $M \otimes N$ factors through the quotient $R/I \simeq \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Big}}$. In other words, we wish to show that the submodule $I(M \otimes N) \subseteq M \otimes N$ vanishes. The submodule $I(M \otimes N)$ is generated by the submodules $I(x \otimes y) \subseteq M \otimes N$, where x and y are homogeneous elements of M and N . Let $m = \deg(x)$ and $n = \deg(y)$, so that x and y determine maps $\mathbf{F}^{\mathrm{Big}}(m) \rightarrow M$, $\mathbf{F}^{\mathrm{Big}}(n) \rightarrow N$. Here $\mathbf{F}^{\mathrm{Big}}(k)$ denotes the free unstable $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Big}}$ -module on a single generator $\bar{\nu}_k$ in degree k . The submodule $I(x \otimes y) \subseteq M \otimes N$ is a quotient of $I(\bar{\nu}_m \otimes \bar{\nu}_n) \subseteq \mathbf{F}^{\mathrm{Big}}(m) \otimes \mathbf{F}^{\mathrm{Big}}(n)$. It will therefore suffice to prove that this latter submodule vanishes.

For every integer k , let $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{Big}}(k)$ denote the free R -module on a single generator $\widetilde{\nu}_k$, so that $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{Big}}(k)$ has a basis consisting of expressions $\{\text{Sq}^I \widetilde{\nu}_k\}$ where I ranges over *all* sequences of integers. We have canonical quotient maps

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{Big}}(k) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(k) \rightarrow F(k).$$

The construction of Definition 1 produces for us a map

$$\psi_{m,n} : \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{Big}}(m+n) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(m) \otimes \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(n).$$

We wish to show that $\psi_{m,n}$ factors through $\mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(m+n)$.

In a previous lecture, we defined a shift isomorphism

$$\widetilde{S} : \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{Big}}(k) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{Big}}(k+1)$$

by the formula

$$\text{Sq}^{i_k} \dots \text{Sq}^{i_0} \widetilde{\nu}_k \mapsto \text{Sq}^{i_k+2^k} \dots \text{Sq}^{i_0+1} \widetilde{\nu}_{k+1}$$

and showed that \widetilde{S} covers and isomorphism $S : \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(k) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(k+1)$.

Suppose (for a contradiction) that there exists z in the kernel of the projection $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{Big}}(m+n) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(m+n)$ such that $\psi(z) \neq 0$. Then we can write $\psi(z)$ as a nontrivial linear combination $\sum \text{Sq}^I \bar{\nu}_m \otimes \text{Sq}^J \bar{\nu}_n$, where I and J range over (finitely many) admissible sequences of integers having excess $\leq m$ and $\leq n$, respectively. Consequently, for $p \gg 0$, we can write $(S \otimes S)^p(\psi z)$ as a nontrivial linear combination $\sum \text{Sq}^{I'} \bar{\nu}_{m+p} \otimes \text{Sq}^{J'} \bar{\nu}_{n+p}$, where the sequences I' and J' consist entirely of positive integers. It follows that the image of $\psi(z)$ under the composite map

$$\mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(m) \otimes \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(n) \xrightarrow{S^p \otimes S^p} \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(m+p) \otimes \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(n+p) \rightarrow F(m+p) \otimes F(n+p)$$

is nonzero.

We now observe that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{Big}}(m+n) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{m,n}} & \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(m) \otimes \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(n) \\ \downarrow \widetilde{S}^{2p} & & \downarrow S^p \otimes S^p \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{Big}}(m+n+2p) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{m+p,n+p}} & \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(m+p) \otimes \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(n+p) \end{array}$$

commutes, where the horizontal arrows are defined as in Notation 1. Replacing z by $\widetilde{S}^{2p}(z)$ if necessary, we may assume that the composition

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{Big}}(m+n) \xrightarrow{\psi_{m,n}} \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(m) \otimes \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(n) \rightarrow F(m) \otimes F(n)$$

does not vanish on z .

We have seen that there are injections $F(m) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}^*((\mathbf{R}P^\infty)^m)$ and $F(n) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}^*((\mathbf{R}P^\infty)^n)$. Amalgamating these, we obtain an injection $F(m) \otimes F(n) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}^*((\mathbf{R}P^\infty)^{m+n})$. Since the Cartan formula holds in $\mathbf{H}^*((\mathbf{R}P^\infty)^{m+n})$, the composite map

$$\phi : \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{Big}}(m+n) \xrightarrow{\psi_{m,n}} \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(m) \otimes \mathbf{F}^{\text{Big}}(n) \rightarrow F(m) \otimes F(n) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}^*((\mathbf{R}P^\infty)^{m+n})$$

is simply the map of R -modules determined by the element $t_1 t_2 \dots t_{n+m} \in \mathbf{H}^{n+m}((\mathbf{R}P^\infty)^{m+n})$. Since $\mathbf{H}^*((\mathbf{R}P^\infty)^{m+n})$ satisfies the Adem relations, we have $\phi(z) = 0$, a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

It follows that the tensor product of Definition 1 determines a functor $\otimes : \mathcal{U}^{\text{Big}} \times \mathcal{U}^{\text{Big}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\text{Big}}$. It is easy to see that this operation is commutative and associative, up to coherent isomorphism. In other words, it endows \mathcal{U}^{Big} with the structure of a symmetric monoidal category.

Corollary 3. *Let M and N be unstable modules over the Steenrod algebra \mathcal{A} . Then the tensor product $M \otimes N$ inherits the structure of an unstable module over the Steenrod algebra.*

Proof. We have seen that $M \otimes N$ has the structure of an unstable module over \mathcal{A}^{Big} . To complete the proof, it will suffice to show that Sq^0 acts by the identity on $M \otimes N$. Unwinding the definition, we have

$$\text{Sq}^0(x \otimes y) = \sum_k \text{Sq}^k(x) \otimes \text{Sq}^{-k}(y).$$

The right hand side vanishes if $k \neq 0$, and coincides with $x \otimes y$ when $k = 0$. □

The tensor product operation on the category of unstable Steenrod modules results from a comultiplicative structure which exists on the Steenrod algebra \mathcal{A} itself:

Proposition 4. *There exists a ring homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$$

given by

$$\text{Sq}^k \mapsto \sum_{k=k'+k''} \text{Sq}^{k'} \otimes \text{Sq}^{k''}.$$

Proof. The formula above evidently defines a ring homomorphism $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$. Let K denote the kernel of the projection map $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. It will suffice to show that $\Delta(K) = 0$. Suppose otherwise. Then there exists a nonzero element

$$T = \sum_{\alpha} \text{Sq}^{I_{\alpha}} \otimes \text{Sq}^{J_{\alpha}}$$

belonging to the image $\Delta(K)$, where (I_{α}, J_{α}) ranges over some finite set of admissible positive sequences. Choose a pair of positive integers (m, n) such that for some index α , m is at least as large as the excess of I_{α} and n is at least as large as the excess of J_{α} . Then we have $T(\nu_m \otimes \nu_n) \neq 0 \in F(m) \otimes F(n)$, which contradicts Corollary 3. □

The comultiplication $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ of Proposition 4 is in some respects simpler than the multiplication on \mathcal{A} : for example, it is commutative while the multiplication on \mathcal{A} is not. We will return to this point in a future lecture.

We now introduce some terminology which we will need later.

Definition 5. An *unstable \mathcal{A}^{Big} -algebra* is an unstable \mathcal{A}^{Big} -module M equipped with a commutative and associative multiplication $m : M \otimes M \rightarrow M$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) The Cartan formula is satisfied:

$$\text{Sq}^k(xy) = \sum_{k=k'+k''} \text{Sq}^{k'}(x) \text{Sq}^{k''}(y).$$

In other words, m is a map of \mathcal{A}^{Big} -modules.

- (2) For every homogeneous element $x \in M$, $\text{Sq}^{\deg(x)}(x) = x^2$.
- (3) M contains a unit element 1 satisfying

$$\text{Sq}^i(1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

An *unstable \mathcal{A} -algebra* is an unstable \mathcal{A}^{Big} -algebra which is an \mathcal{A} -module: that is, an unstable \mathcal{A}^{Big} -algebra M which satisfies $\text{Sq}^0(x) = x$ for all $x \in M$.

Example 6. The cohomology $H^*(X)$ of any space X has the structure of an unstable \mathcal{A} -algebra.

The cohomology $H^*(A)$ of any E_∞ -algebra over \mathbf{F}_2 has the structure of an unstable \mathcal{A}^{Big} -algebra.

Our next goal is to understand the structure of free unstable algebras. For every integer n , we let $F_{\text{Alg}}(n)$ denote the free unstable \mathcal{A} -algebra generated by a single element μ_n of degree n , and $F_{\text{Alg}}^{\text{Big}}(n)$ the free unstable \mathcal{A}^{Big} -algebra generated by a single element $\bar{\mu}_n$ of degree n . We have an evident quotient map $\pi : F_{\text{Alg}}^{\text{Big}}(n) \rightarrow F_{\text{Alg}}(n)$, uniquely determined by the requirement that $\pi(\bar{\mu}_n) = \mu_n$.

Let X denote the subspace of $F_{\text{Alg}}^{\text{Big}}(n)$ spanned by the products

$$\{\text{Sq}^{I_1}(\bar{\mu}_n) \text{Sq}^{I_2}(\bar{\mu}_n) \dots \text{Sq}^{I_k}(\bar{\mu}_n)\}.$$

Using relations (1) and (3), we deduce that X is a subalgebra of $F_{\text{Alg}}^{\text{Big}}(n)$, so that $X = F_{\text{Alg}}^{\text{Big}}(n)$. Moreover, relation (2) allows us to reduce any such monomial to a form where the sequences I_1, \dots, I_k are all distinct. Using the Adem relations and the instability condition, we can further reduce to considering such monomials where each sequence I_j is admissible and has excess $\leq n$. We have therefore proven half of the following result:

Theorem 7. (1) *The free unstable \mathcal{A}^{Big} -algebra $F_{\text{Alg}}^{\text{Big}}(n)$ has a basis of monomials*

$$\{\text{Sq}^{I_1}(\bar{\mu}_n) \text{Sq}^{I_2}(\bar{\mu}_n) \dots \text{Sq}^{I_k}(\bar{\mu}_n)\}$$

where $I_1 < \dots < I_k$ (with respect to the lexicographical ordering, say) are admissible sequences of excess $\leq n$.

(2) *The free unstable \mathcal{A} -algebra $F_{\text{Alg}}(n)$ has a basis of monomials*

$$\{\text{Sq}^{I_1}(\mu_n) \text{Sq}^{I_2}(\mu_n) \dots \text{Sq}^{I_k}(\mu_n)\}$$

where $I_1 < \dots < I_k$ are admissible positive sequences of excess $\leq n$.

The proof follows the same lines as our proof of the analogous fact for modules, and our construction of tensor products earlier in this lecture: we will reduce assertion (1) to assertion (2), using a shifting argument. Namely, there exists an isomorphism of algebras $F_{\text{Alg}}^{\text{Big}}(n) \rightarrow F_{\text{Alg}}^{\text{Big}}(n+1)$ given by the formula

$$(\text{Sq}^{i_{j_1}^1} \dots \text{Sq}^{i_{j_1}^1} \bar{\mu}_n) \dots (\text{Sq}^{i_{j_k}^k} \dots \text{Sq}^{i_{j_k}^k} \bar{\mu}_n) \mapsto (\text{Sq}^{i_{j_1}^1 + 2^{j_1}} \dots \text{Sq}^{i_{j_1}^1 + 2^{j_1}} \bar{\mu}_{n+1}) \dots (\text{Sq}^{i_{j_k}^k + 2^{j_k}} \dots \text{Sq}^{i_{j_k}^k + 2^{j_k}} \bar{\mu}_{n+1}).$$

Consequently, any linear dependence among the expressions

$$M(I_1, \dots, I_k) = \text{Sq}^{I_1}(\bar{\mu}_n) \text{Sq}^{I_2}(\bar{\mu}_n) \dots \text{Sq}^{I_k}(\bar{\mu}_n) \in F_{\text{Alg}}^{\text{Big}}(n)$$

results in a linear dependence among analogous expressions $M(I'_1, \dots, I'_k) \in F_{\text{Alg}}^{\text{Big}}(n+p)$, for each $p \geq 0$. Choosing $p \gg 0$, we get a linear dependence involving monomials in which all of the sequences (I'_1, \dots, I'_k) are positive, which contradicts (2).

To prove (2), we need to produce some examples of unstable \mathcal{A} -algebras. We will return to this point in the next lecture.