

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.917 Topics in Algebraic Topology: The Sullivan Conjecture
Fall 2007

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.

Finiteness Conditions (Lecture 15)

Our goal in this lecture is to prove that the category \mathcal{U} of unstable \mathcal{A} -modules is locally Noetherian. We begin with by recalling a few definitions.

Definition 1. An object X of a Grothendieck abelian category \mathcal{C} is *Noetherian* if every ascending chain of subobjects

$$X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots$$

eventually stabilizes.

We will say that a Grothendieck abelian category \mathcal{C} is *locally Noetherian* if every object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is the direct limit of its Noetherian subobjects. direct limit

Remark 2. Suppose given an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow 0$$

in a Grothendieck abelian category \mathcal{C} . Then X is Noetherian if and only if X' and X'' are Noetherian. The “only if” direction is clear: any infinite ascending sequence of subobjects of X' or X'' gives rise to an infinite ascending sequence of subobjects of X . For the converse, we observe that an infinite ascending sequence of objects

$$X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq X$$

gives rise to a collection of long exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow X_i \cap X' \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow (\text{Im } X_i \rightarrow X'') \rightarrow 0.$$

If X' and X'' are Noetherian, then the subobjects $X_i \cap X'$ and $\text{Im } X_i \rightarrow X''$ are independent of i for $i \gg 0$, so that X_i is also independent of i for $i \gg 0$.

In particular, the collection of Noetherian objects of \mathcal{C} is closed under finite direct sums.

Example 3. Let R be a (left) Noetherian ring. Then the category \mathcal{C} of (left) R -modules is locally Noetherian. An object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is Noetherian if and only if it is finitely generated as an R -module.

The Steenrod algebra \mathcal{A} itself is *not* left Noetherian. For example, the left ideal of \mathcal{A} generated by $\{\text{Sq}^i\}_{i>0}$ is not finitely generated. Nevertheless, we have the following analogue of Example 3:

Theorem 4. (1) *The category \mathcal{U} of unstable \mathcal{A} -algebras is locally Noetherian.*

(2) *An object $M \in \mathcal{U}$ is Noetherian if and only if it is finitely generated as a \mathcal{A} -module.*

The implication (2) \Rightarrow (1) is clear, since every object in \mathcal{U} is the direct limit of its finitely generated subobjects. The “only if” direction follows formally from the following observation:

Lemma 5. *An object $M \in \mathcal{U}$ is Noetherian if and only if every submodule $M' \subseteq M$ is finitely generated.*

Proof. If $M' \subseteq M$ is not finitely generated, then we can find an infinite ascending sequence of submodules

$$\mathcal{A}x_1 \subset \mathcal{A}x_1 + \mathcal{A}x_2 \subset \dots \subseteq M'$$

by choosing each x_i to be an element of M' which does not belong to the submodule generated by $\{x_j\}_{j < i}$.

Conversely, if M is not Noetherian, we can find an infinite ascending sequence of submodules

$$M_0 \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots$$

Let $M' = \bigcup M_i \subseteq M$. Then M' cannot be finitely generated: if it were, then it would be generated by elements belonging to M_n for $n \gg 0$, so that $M_{n+1} \subseteq M_n$, contrary to our assumption. \square

We wish to prove that *every* finitely generated unstable \mathcal{A} -module M is Noetherian. In this case, we can write M as a quotient of a finite sum $\bigoplus_i F(n_i)$. Remark 2 implies that the collection of Noetherian objects of \mathcal{U} is stable under finite direct sums and quotients. In view of Lemma 5, it will suffice to prove the following:

Theorem 6. *Let $F(n)$ denote the free unstable \mathcal{A} -module on a single generator ν_n in degree n . Then every submodule $M \subseteq F(n)$ is finitely generated.*

We will prove Theorem 6 using induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is obvious. To handle the general case, we will need the following:

Lemma 7. *Let M be an unstable \mathcal{A} -module. If ΩM is finitely generated and M^0 is finitely generated, then M is finitely generated.*

Proof. If ΩM is finitely generated, then $\Sigma\Omega M$ is finitely generated. In the last lecture, we saw that there is an exact sequence

$$\Phi M \rightarrow M \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega M \rightarrow 0.$$

Choose a finite set of (homogeneous) generators $\{\bar{x}_i\}$ for $\Sigma\Omega M$, and lift them to (homogeneous) elements $\{x_i \in M\}$. Let N be the submodule of M generated by M^0 and $\{x_i\}$. We claim that $N = M$. We will prove by induction that $N^n = M^n$ for all integers n . If $n = 0$ there is nothing to prove. If n is odd, then the exact sequence above gives $M^n \simeq (\Sigma\Omega M)^n$, and the result is obvious. If $n = 2k > 0$ is even, then our exact sequence can be rewritten

$$M^k \xrightarrow{\text{Sq}^k} M^{2k} \rightarrow (\Sigma\Omega M)^{2k} \rightarrow 0.$$

It is clear that M^{2k} is generated by N^{2k} together with the image of Sq^k . The inductive hypothesis guarantees that $\text{Sq}^k M^k = \text{Sq}^k N^k \subseteq N^{2k}$, so that $M^{2k} = N^{2k}$ as desired. \square

We are now ready to proceed with the proof of Theorem 6.

We define an ascending chain of submodules

$$M = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq F(n)$$

as follows: let M_n be defined so that $\Phi^n M_n$ is the inverse image of $M = M_0$ under the iterated Frobenius map

$$\Phi^n F(n) \rightarrow \Phi^{n-1} F(n) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F(n).$$

We have for each $m \geq 0$ an exact sequence

$$\Phi M_{m+1} \rightarrow M_m \rightarrow M'_m \rightarrow 0,$$

where M'_m denotes the image of M_m in $\Sigma\Omega F(n) \simeq \Sigma F(n-1)$. The inductive hypothesis implies that every ascending sequence of submodules of $F(n-1)$ stabilizes, so that $M'_m = M'_{m+1}$ for $m \geq m_0$.

We claim also that $M_m = M_{m+1}$ for $m \geq m_0$. To prove this, we show by induction on k that the sequence

$$M_{m_0}^k \subseteq M_{m_0+1}^k \subseteq M_{m_0+2}^k \subseteq \dots$$

is constant. If $k = 0$ there is nothing to prove. For $k > 0$, we have exact sequences

$$M_{m+1}^{\frac{k}{2}} \xrightarrow{\text{Sq}^{\frac{k}{2}}} M_m^k \rightarrow M'_m{}^k \rightarrow 0$$

(here the left term vanishes by convention if k is odd). The desired result follows from the inductive hypothesis (since $\frac{k}{2} < k$).

We now prove that each M_m is finitely generated, using descending induction on m . We observe that $\Sigma\Omega M_{m_0} \simeq M'_{m_0}$ is a submodule of $\Sigma F(n-1)$, and therefore finitely generated by our inductive hypothesis. Therefore M_{m_0} is finitely generated by Lemma 7.

To handle the general case, we use the exact sequence

$$\Phi M_{m+1} \rightarrow M_m \rightarrow M'_m \rightarrow 0.$$

The inductive hypothesis guarantees that M_{m+1} is finitely generated. Let $\{x_i\}$ be a finite set of generators for M_{m+1} . Then $\{\Phi(x_i)\}$ is a finite set of generators for ΦM_{m+1} . Let $\{y_i\}$ denote the images of these generators in M_m . Since M'_m is a submodule of $\Sigma F(n-1)$, we deduce that M'_m is generated by a finite set of elements $\{\bar{z}_j\}$. Choose elements $\{z_j\}$ in M_m which lift these elements. It is now clear that M_m is generated by the finite set $\{y_i\} \cup \{z_j\}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

Our next goal in this lecture is to prove the following result:

Proposition 8. *The collection of finitely generated unstable \mathcal{A} -modules is closed under the formation of tensor products.*

In other words, we wish to show that if M and N are finitely generated, then $M \otimes N$ is finitely generated. We can write M as a quotient some finite sum $\oplus_i F(m_i)$, so that $M \otimes N$ is a quotient of some finite sum $\oplus_i (F(m_i) \otimes N)$. It will therefore suffice to show that each $F(m_i) \otimes N$ is finitely generated. Applying the same argument to N , we are reduced to proving the following special case of Proposition 8:

Proposition 9. *For every pair of nonnegative integers $m, n \geq 0$, the tensor product $F(m) \otimes F(n)$ is finitely generated.*

To prove Proposition 9, we first recall the structure of the free unstable \mathcal{A} -module $F(n)$. Let X denote a product of n copies of $\mathbf{R}P^\infty$, so that $H^*(X) \simeq \mathbf{F}_2[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n]$. Then we can identify $F(n)$ with the \mathcal{A} -submodule of $H^*(X)$ generated by the element $t_1 \dots t_n \in H^n(X)$. Moreover, we have an explicit description of this submodule: it consists of those polynomials $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ which are symmetric and whose exponents involve only powers of 2. In particular, $F(1)$ can be identified with the \mathcal{A} -module of $\mathbf{F}_2[t]$ spanned by $\{t, t^2, t^4, \dots\}$. We can therefore identify $F(n)$ with the submodule of $F(1)^{\otimes n}$ spanned by the symmetric polynomials: in other words, we have an isomorphism

$$F(n) \simeq (F(1)^{\otimes n})^{\Sigma_n} \subseteq F(1)^{\otimes n}.$$

Let us turn to the proof of Proposition 9. We have an inclusion

$$F(m) \otimes F(n) \subseteq (F(1)^{\otimes m}) \otimes (F(1)^{\otimes n}) \simeq F(1)^{\otimes m+n}.$$

Since the collection of finitely generated unstable \mathcal{A} -modules is closed under the formation of subobjects, it will suffice to prove the following:

Proposition 10. *For each $n \geq 0$, the \mathcal{A} -module $F(1)^{\otimes n}$ is finitely generated.*

The proof goes by induction on n , the case $n = 0$ being obvious. To handle the general case, we use Lemma 7: it will suffice to show that $\Sigma\Omega F(1)^{\otimes n}$ is finitely generated. We observe that $F(1)^{\otimes n}$ can be identified with the submodule of $\mathbf{F}_2[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ spanned by monomials of the form $t_1^{2^{b_1}} \dots t_n^{2^{b_n}}$. We have an exact sequence

$$\Phi F(1)^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{f} F(1)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega F(1)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow 0$$

The map f can be identified with the usual Frobenius map which sends each element to its square. Its image consists of the span of those monomials $t_1^{2^{b_1}} \dots t_n^{2^{b_n}}$ such that each b_i is positive.

Consequently, $\Sigma\Omega F(1)^{\otimes n}$ can be identified with a submodule of

$$\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} F(1)^{\otimes i} \otimes \Sigma \mathbf{F}_2 \otimes F(1)^{\otimes n-i-1} \simeq \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} \Sigma F(1)^{\otimes n-1},$$

which is finitely generated by the inductive hypothesis.