

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.917 Topics in Algebraic Topology: The Sullivan Conjecture
Fall 2007

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.

Profinite Spaces (Lecture 26)

Let p be a prime number. In this lecture we will introduce the category of p -profinite spaces. We begin by reviewing an example from classical algebra.

Let \mathcal{C} be the category of abelian groups, and let $\mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be the full subcategory consisting of *finitely generated* abelian groups. Every abelian group A is the union of its finitely generated subgroups. Consequently, every object of \mathcal{C} can be obtained as a (filtered) direct limit of objects in \mathcal{C}_0 . Moreover, the morphisms in \mathcal{C} are determined by the morphisms in \mathcal{C}_0 . If A is a finitely generated abelian group and $\{B_\beta\}$ is any filtered system of abelian groups, then we have a bijection

$$\varinjlim \text{Hom}(A, B_\beta) \cong \text{Hom}(A, \varinjlim B_\beta).$$

More generally, if A is given as a filtered colimit of abelian groups, then we get a bijection

$$\text{Hom}(\varinjlim A_\alpha, \varinjlim B_\beta) \cong \varprojlim_{\alpha} \text{Hom}(A_\alpha, \varinjlim B_\beta) \cong \varprojlim_{\alpha} \varinjlim_{\beta} \text{Hom}(A_\alpha, B_\beta).$$

We can summarize the situation by saying that \mathcal{C} is equivalent to the category of *Ind-objects* of \mathcal{C}_0 :

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{C}_0 be a category. The category $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ of *Ind-objects* of \mathcal{C}_0 is defined as follows:

- (1) The objects of $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ are *formal* direct limits “ $\varinjlim C_\alpha$ ”, where $\{C_\alpha\}$ is a filtered diagram in \mathcal{C}_0 .
- (2) Morphisms in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ are given by the formula

$$\text{Hom}(\varinjlim C_\alpha, \varinjlim D_\beta) = \varprojlim_{\alpha} \varinjlim_{\beta} \text{Hom}(C_\alpha, D_\beta).$$

Remark 2. There is a fully faithful embedding from \mathcal{C}_0 into $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_0)$, which carries an object $C \in \mathcal{C}_0$ to the constant diagram consisting of the single object C . We will generally abuse notation and identify \mathcal{C}_0 with its image under this embedding.

The category $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ admits filtered colimits. Moreover, an object “ $\varinjlim C_\alpha$ ” in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ actually does coincide with the colimit of the diagram $\{C_\alpha\}$ in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_0)$.

Remark 3. The category $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ can be characterized by the following universal property: for any category \mathcal{D} which admits filtered colimits, the restriction functor

$$\text{Fun}_0(\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_0), \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{D})$$

is an equivalence of categories, where the left side is the category of functors from $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ to \mathcal{D} which preserve filtered colimits.

Example 4. Let \mathcal{C} be the category of groups (or rings, or any other type of algebraic structure). Then \mathcal{C} is equivalent to $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_0)$, where $\mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is the full subcategory spanned by the finitely presented groups (or rings, etcetera).

There is a dual construction, which replaces a category \mathcal{C}_0 by the category $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ of *pro-objects* in \mathcal{C}_0 : that is, formal inverse limits “ $\varprojlim C_\alpha$ ” of filtered diagrams in \mathcal{C}_0 .

Example 5. Let \mathcal{C}_0 be the category of *finite* groups. Then $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ is equivalent to the category of *profinite* groups: that is, topological groups which are compact, Hausdorff, and totally disconnected.

The construction $\mathcal{C}_0 \mapsto \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ makes sense not only for ordinary categories, but also for homotopy theories. In other words, suppose that \mathcal{C}_0 is a category enriched over topological spaces (so that for every pair of objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_0$, we have a mapping space $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_0}(X, Y)$). Then we can define a new topological category $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_0)$. Roughly speaking, the objects of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ are given by formal filtered limits “ $\varprojlim C_\alpha$ ” in \mathcal{C}_0 , and the morphisms are described by the formula

$$\text{Map}(\varprojlim C_\alpha, \varprojlim D_\beta) = \text{holim}_\beta \text{hocolim}_\alpha \text{Map}(C_\alpha, D_\beta).$$

To really make this idea precise requires the machinery of higher category theory; we will be content to work with this construction in an informal way.

We now specialize this construction to the case of interest. Let \mathfrak{S} denote the category of spaces, \mathfrak{S}_p the category of p -finite spaces, and \mathfrak{S}_p^\vee the category $\text{Pro}(\mathfrak{S}_p)$ of pro-objects in \mathfrak{S}_p . We will refer to \mathfrak{S}_p^\vee as the category of *p -profinite spaces*.

There is a canonical functor $G : \mathfrak{S}_p^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$, which carries a formal inverse limit “ $\varprojlim C_\alpha$ ” to the space $\text{holim } C_\alpha$. If we restrict to a suitable subcategory of \mathfrak{S}_p^\vee by imposing finiteness and connectivity conditions, then the functor G is fully faithful; its essential image being (a suitable subcategory of) the category of p -complete spaces. We will discuss this point in more detail in a future lecture.

The functor G has a left adjoint $X \mapsto X^\vee$, which we will refer to as the functor of *p -profinite completion*. The functor $^\vee$ carries a topological space X to the formal inverse limit $X^\vee = \varprojlim X_\alpha$, where X_α ranges over all p -finite spaces equipped with a map to X . If X is itself p -finite, then we can identify this inverse limit with X itself.

Definition 6. Let X be a p -profinite space. We let $H^n(X) = H^n(X; \mathbf{F}_p)$ denote the set of homotopy classes of maps from X into an Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\mathbf{F}_p, n)$ in the p -profinite category \mathfrak{S}_p^\vee .

Since $K(\mathbf{F}_p, n)$ is p -finite, we see that

$$H^n(\varprojlim X_\alpha) \simeq \varinjlim H^n(X_\alpha).$$

It follows that for any p -profinite space X , the cohomology $H^*(X) \simeq \oplus_n H^n(X)$ is a filtered colimit of the cohomology rings of a collection of p -finite spaces, and therefore inherits the structure of an unstable algebra over the Steenrod algebra.

Remark 7. If X is a topological space, then the cohomology $H^*(X; \mathbf{F}_p)$ (in the usual sense) can be identified with the cohomology $H^*(X^\vee)$ of the p -profinite completion of X , defined as in Definition 6.

The process of extracting cohomology does *not* generally commute with the inverse limit functor $G : \mathfrak{S}_p^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$, unless we make suitable finiteness assumptions.

We now discuss the existence of mapping objects in the p -profinite category.

Proposition 8. *Let X be a p -profinite space, and let V be a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbf{F}_p . Then there exists a p -profinite space X^{BV} equipped with an evaluation map $X^{BV} \times BV \rightarrow X$ with the following universal property: for any p -profinite space Y , the induced map*

$$\theta : \text{Map}(Y, X^{BV}) \rightarrow \text{Map}(Y \times BV, X)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Proof. If $X = \varprojlim X_\alpha$, then we can take $X^{BV} = \varprojlim X_\alpha^{BV}$ (here we are using the fact that each X_α^{BV} is again p -finite). We claim that X^{BV} has the appropriate universal property. For any p -profinite space Y , we can identify θ with a map

$$\mathrm{holim} \mathrm{Map}(Y, X_\alpha^{BV}) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(Y, X^{BV}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}(Y \times BV, X) \simeq \mathrm{holim} \mathrm{Map}(Y \times BV, X_\alpha).$$

It will therefore suffice to prove the result after replacing X by X_α , so we may assume that X is p -finite. Let $Y = \varprojlim Y_\beta$. Then the map θ can be identified with

$$\mathrm{hocolim} \mathrm{Map}(Y_\beta, X^{BV}) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(Y, X^{BV}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}(Y \times BV, X) \simeq \mathrm{hocolim} \mathrm{Map}(Y_\beta \times BV, X),$$

where the last equivalence follows from the observation that

$$Y \times BV \simeq \varprojlim Y_\beta \times BV$$

is a product for Y and BV in the p -profinite category. We may therefore assume that Y is p -finite as well, in which case the result is obvious. \square

Remark 9. Proposition 9 remains valid if we replace BV by an arbitrary p -finite space. However, it is not valid if BV is a general p -profinite space; the p -profinite category \mathfrak{S}_p^\vee does not have internal mapping objects in general.

Remark 10. Let $X = \varprojlim X_\alpha$ and $Y = \varprojlim Y_\beta$ be p -profinite spaces. Then $\varprojlim X_\alpha \times Y_\beta$ is a product for X and Y in the category of p -profinite spaces. Applying the Kunneth theorem to the p -finite spaces X_α and Y_β , we deduce

$$\mathrm{H}^*(X \times Y) \simeq \varinjlim \mathrm{H}^*(X_\alpha \times Y_\beta) \simeq \varinjlim \mathrm{H}^* X_\alpha \otimes \mathrm{H}^* Y_\beta \simeq \mathrm{H}^* X \otimes \mathrm{H}^* Y.$$

Let us now assume that $p = 2$. Let X be a p -profinite space. The evaluation map $X^{BV} \times BV \rightarrow X$ induces a map on cohomology

$$\mathrm{H}^* X \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^*(X^{BV} \times BV) \simeq \mathrm{H}^*(X^{BV}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^*(BV),$$

which is adjoint to a map $\psi : T_V \mathrm{H}^*(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^*(X^{BV})$.

Theorem 11. *The map ψ is an isomorphism, for every 2-profinite space X .*

Proof. The proof when X is 2-finite was given in the previous lecture. In general, write $X = \varprojlim X_\alpha$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_V \mathrm{H}^*(X) &\simeq T_V \varinjlim \mathrm{H}^*(X_\alpha) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim T_V \mathrm{H}^*(X_\alpha) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim \mathrm{H}^*(X_\alpha^{BV}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{H}^*(X^{BV}). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Using this result, we get a measure of exactly how the ψ might fail to be an isomorphism when we work in the usual category of spaces. For any space X , we have

$$T_V \mathrm{H}^*(X) \simeq T_V \mathrm{H}^*(X^\vee) \simeq \mathrm{H}^*(X^\vee)^{BV} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^*(X^{BV})^\vee.$$

In other words, the failure of T_V to compute the cohomology of mapping spaces is measured by the failure of the formation of mapping spaces to commute with profinite completion.