

14 Lecture 19 (Notes: K. Venkatram)

14.1 Generalized Kähler Geometry

Recall from earlier that a Kähler structure is a pair $\mathbb{J}_J = \begin{pmatrix} J & \\ & -J^* \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbb{J}_\omega = \begin{pmatrix} & -\omega^{-1} \\ \omega & \end{pmatrix}$ s.t.

$$\mathbb{J}_J \mathbb{J}_\omega = \mathbb{J}_\omega \mathbb{J}_J = - \begin{pmatrix} & g^{-1} \\ g & \end{pmatrix} = -G.$$

Definition 22. A generalized Kähler structure is a pair $(\mathbb{J}_A, \mathbb{J}_B)$ of generalized complex structures s.t. $-\mathbb{J}_A \mathbb{J}_B = G$ is a generalized Riemannian metric.

The usual example has type $(0, n)$ for $\mathbb{J}_A, \mathbb{J}_B$. In fact, as we will show later type $\mathbb{J}_A + \text{type } \mathbb{J}_B \leq n$ and $\equiv n \pmod{2}$.

Example. 1. Can certainly apply B -field $(e^B \mathbb{J}_A e^{-B}, e^B \mathbb{J}_B e^{-B})$ and obtain the generalized metric $e^B G e^{-B}$.

2. Going back to hyperkähler structures, recall that

$$(\omega_J + i\omega_K)I = g(J + iK)I = -gI(J + iK) = I^*(\omega_J + i\omega_K) \quad (106)$$

so $\frac{1}{2}(\omega_J + i\omega_K) = \sigma$ is a holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form with $\sigma^n \neq 0$. Note that $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_J^{-1} - i\omega_K^{-1})$ satisfies $\beta\sigma = \frac{1}{2}(1 - iI) = P_{1,0}$, i.e. it is the projection to the $(1, 0)$ -form $\beta|_{T_{1,0}^*} = \sigma^{-1}|_{T_{1,0}}$.

Recall that, for β a holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -bivector field s.t. $[\beta, \beta] = 0$, $e^{\beta+\bar{\beta}} \mathbb{J}_I e^{-\beta-\bar{\beta}}$ is a generalized complex structure. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t\omega_J^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & \\ & -I^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -t\omega_J^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} I & -t\omega_J^{-1}I^* \\ & -I^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -t\omega_J^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & -tI\omega_J^{-1} - t\omega_J^{-1}I^* \\ 0 & -I^* \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} I & 2tKg^{-1} \\ & -I^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & -2t\omega_K^{-1} \\ & -I^* \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (107)$$

Now, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t\omega_J^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} & -\omega_I^{-1} \\ \omega_I & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -t\omega_J^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} t\omega_J^{-1}\omega_I & -\omega_I^{-1} \\ \omega_I & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -t\omega_J^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} t\omega_J^{-1}\omega_I & -\omega_I^{-1} - t^2\omega_J^{-1}\omega_I\omega_J^{-1} \\ \omega_I & -t\omega_I\omega_J^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} tK & (-1 + t^2)\omega_I^{-1} \\ \omega_I & -tK^* \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sqrt{1 - t^2} \mathbb{J}_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}\omega_I} + t\mathbb{J}_K \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

By a previous calculation, this is integrable, and $\mathbb{J}_A = \begin{pmatrix} I & -2t\omega_K^{-1} \\ & -I^* \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbb{J}_B = \begin{pmatrix} tK & (-1 + t^2)\omega_I^{-1} \\ \omega_I & -tK^* \end{pmatrix}$ is a generalized Kähler structure of type $(0, 0)$.

Problem. Let (J, ω) be a Kähler structure, β a holomorphic Poisson structure. For $Q = \beta + \bar{\beta}$, when is $e^{tQ} \mathbb{J}_\omega e^{-tQ}$ integrable for small t ?

What is the analog of the Hodge decomposition $H^k(M, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^{p,q}(M)$ for generalized Kähler manifolds. The key element of this decomposition in the case of ordinary Kähler structures is to show that $\Delta_d = \partial\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} = \bar{\partial}\Delta_{\partial}$, where $\Delta_d = dd^* + d^*d = (d + d^*)^2$, and d^* is the adjoint of d in an appropriate metric define on forms. The equality of the above decomposition then follows from Hodge theory (that every cohomology class has a unique harmonic representative).

14.2 Hodge Theory on Generalized Kähler Manifolds

Recall the Born-Infeld volume: letting (a_i) be an orthonormal basis for C_+ in $\text{Pin}(T \oplus T^*)$, we have an associated element $-G \in O(n, n)$; letting $\star\psi = \alpha(\alpha(*)\psi)$ denote the generalized Hodge star and $\langle \star\phi, \psi \rangle \in \det T^*$ the symmetric volume form, the *Born-Infeld* inner product on $S \otimes \mathbb{C} = \Omega^*(M, \mathbb{C})$ is

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \int_M \langle \star\phi, \bar{\psi} \rangle \quad (109)$$

This is a Hermitian inner product. Recall also that, if we split $T \oplus T^*$ and $G = \begin{pmatrix} & g^{-1} \\ g & \end{pmatrix}$, then $\langle \star\phi, \psi \rangle = \phi \wedge \star\psi = (\phi, \psi) \text{vol}_g$ via the usual Hodge inner product. What is the adjoint of d_H ?

Lemma 7. $\langle d\phi, \psi \rangle = (-1)^{\dim M} \langle \phi, \partial\psi \rangle$.

Proof. First, note that $\alpha(\phi^{(k)}) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)}\phi^{(k)}$. then

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi \wedge \alpha(\psi)) &= d\phi \wedge \alpha(\psi) + (-1)^k \phi \wedge d\alpha(\psi) \\ d(\alpha(\psi^p)) &= (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)}d\psi^p = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}p(p-1) + \frac{1}{2}p(p+1)}\alpha(d\psi^p) = -\alpha(d\psi^p) \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

Thus, $d(\phi \wedge \alpha(\psi)) = \langle d\phi, \psi \rangle + (-1)^n \langle \phi, d\psi \rangle$. □

Lemma 8. *We have the same for $H \wedge \cdot$.*

Corollary 8. *On an even-dimensional manifold, $\int_M \langle d_H\phi, \psi \rangle = \int_M \langle \phi, d_H\psi \rangle$.*

Now

$$h(d_H\phi, \psi) = \int \langle \star d_H\phi, \psi \rangle = \int \langle d_H\phi, \sigma(ast)\psi \rangle = \int \langle \phi, d_H\sigma(*)\psi \rangle = \int \langle \star\phi, \star d_H\sigma(*)\psi \rangle \quad (111)$$

so $d_H^* = \star d_H \star^{-1}$. As in the classical case, $d_H + d_H^*$ is elliptic, as is $D^2 = \Delta_{d_H}$. By Hodge theory, every twisted deRham cohomology class has a unique harmonic representative.

To perform Hodge decomposition on generalized Kähler manifolds, note that we have two commuting actions on spinors. For \mathbb{J}_A , we have the maps $\partial_A : \mathcal{U}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{k+1}$ and $\bar{\partial}_A : \mathcal{U}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{k-1}$, with the associated differential $d_H = \partial_A + \bar{\partial}_A$. Each \mathcal{U}_k must decompose as eigenspaces for \mathbb{J}_B , i.e. we can obtain a set of spaces $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}$ which has the pair of eigenvalues (ir, is) for $(\mathbb{J}_A, \mathbb{J}_B)$. Between these spaces, we have horizontal maps given by L_A, \bar{L}_A and vertical maps given by L_B, \bar{L}_B , with the associated decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} (T \oplus T^*) \otimes \mathbb{C} &= L_A \cap L_B \oplus L_A \cap \bar{L}_B \oplus \bar{L}_A \cap L_B \oplus \bar{L}_A \cap \bar{L}_B \\ d_H &= \delta_+ + \delta_- + \bar{\delta}_+ + \bar{\delta}_- \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

.

Proposition 10. $\delta_+^* = -\bar{\delta}_+$ and $\delta_-^* = \bar{\delta}_-$.

Proof. The identity $\mathbb{J}_A \mathbb{J}_B = -G$ corresponds to the spin decomposition $e^{\frac{\pi}{2} \mathbb{J}_A} \times e^{\frac{\pi}{2} \mathbb{J}_B} = *$. Thus, for $\phi \in \mathcal{U}^{p,q}$, $*\phi = e^{\frac{\pi}{2} \mathbb{J}_A} \times e^{\frac{\pi}{2} \mathbb{J}_B} \phi = i^{p+q} \phi$ and

$$\delta_+^* = (*d_H *^{-1} \phi) = (i^{p+q-2} \bar{\delta}_+ i^{-p-q} \phi) = -\bar{\delta}_+ \quad (113)$$

The other identity follows similarly. □

Corollary 9. *If $\phi \in \mathcal{U}^{p,q}$ is closed (i.e. $d_H \phi = 0$) then it is Δ closed as well.*

By our above decomposition of d_H and the implied decomposition of d_H^* , we find that $\frac{1}{2}(d_H + d_H^*) = \delta_- + \delta_-^*$ and $\frac{1}{2}(d_H - d_H^*) = \delta_+ + \delta_+^*$, so that $\frac{1}{4} \Delta_{d_H} = \Delta_{\delta_-} = \Delta_{\delta_+}$. This finally gives us our desired decomposition

$$H_H^*(M, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{|p+q| \leq n, p+q \equiv n \pmod{2}} \mathcal{H}_{\Delta_{d_H}}^{p,q} \quad (114)$$