

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.969 Topics in Geometry: Mirror Symmetry  
Spring 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.

# MIRROR SYMMETRY: LECTURE 19

DENIS AUROUX

## 1. HOMOLOGICAL MIRROR SYMMETRY

**Conjecture 1.**  $X, X^\vee$  are mirror Calabi-Yau varieties  $\Leftrightarrow D^\pi \text{Fuk}(X) \cong D^b \text{Coh}(X^\vee)$

Look at  $T^2$  at the level of homology [Polishchuk-Zaslow]: on the symplectic side,  $T^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega = \lambda dx \wedge dy$ , so  $\int_{T^2} \omega = \lambda$ . On the complex side,  $X^\vee = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}, \tau = i\lambda$ . The Lagrangians  $L$  in  $X$  are Hamiltonian isotopic to straight lines with rational slope, and given a flat connection  $\nabla$  on a  $U(1)$ -bundle over  $L$ , we can arrange the connection 1-form to be constant. We will see that families of  $(L, \nabla)$  in the homology class  $(p, q)$  correspond to holomorphic vector bundles over  $X^\vee$  of rank  $p, c_1 = -q$ . For  $L \rightarrow X^\vee$  a line bundle, the pullback of  $L$  to the universal cover  $\mathbb{C}$  is holomorphically trivial, and

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} L \cong \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}/(z, v) \sim (z+1, v), (z, v) \sim (z+\tau, \phi(z)v) \\ \phi \text{ holomorphic, } \phi(z+1) = \phi(z) \end{aligned}$$

*Example.*  $\phi(z) = e^{-2\pi iz} e^{-\pi i\tau}$  determines a degree 1 line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  with a section given by the theta function

$$(2) \quad \theta(\tau, z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i(\frac{\tau m^2}{2} + mz)}$$

More generally, set

$$(3) \quad \theta[c', c''](\tau, z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\left(2\pi i \left[ \frac{\tau(m+c')^2}{2} + (m+c')(z+c'') \right]\right)$$

Then

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta[c', c''](\tau, z+1) &= e^{2\pi ic'} \theta[c', c''](\tau, z) \\ \theta[c', c''](\tau, z+\tau) &= e^{-\pi i\tau} e^{-2\pi i(z+c'')} \theta[c', c''](\tau, z) \end{aligned}$$

since the interior of exp for the latter formula is

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{\tau(m+c')^2}{2} + \tau(m+c') + (z+c'')(m+c') \\ &= \frac{\tau(m+1+c')^2}{2} - \frac{\tau}{2} + (m+1+c')(z+c'') - (z+c'') \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, sections of  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  are  $\theta[\frac{k}{n}, 0](n\tau, nz), k \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . By the above

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta[\frac{k}{n}, 0](n\tau, nz + n) &= \theta[\frac{k}{n}, 0](n\tau, nz) \\ \theta[\frac{k}{n}, 0](n\tau, nz + n\tau) &= e^{-\pi i n \tau} e^{-2\pi i n z} \theta[\frac{k}{n}, 0](n\tau, nz) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Other line bundles are given by pullback over the translation  $z \mapsto z + c''$ , and the higher rank bundles are given by matrices or pushforward by finite covers.

On the mirror, consider the Lagrangian subvarieties

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} L_0 &= \{(x, 0)\}, \nabla_0 = d \text{ (mirror to } \mathcal{O}\text{)}, \\ L_n &= \{(x, -nx)\}, \nabla_n = d \text{ (mirror to } \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}\text{)}, \\ L_p &= \{(a, y)\}, \nabla_p = d + 2\pi i b dy \text{ ("mirror to } \mathcal{O}_Z, z = b + a\tau\text{")} \end{aligned}$$

For gradings, pick  $\arg(dz)|_{L_i} \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0]$ . Then

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} s_k &= \left(\frac{k}{n}, 0\right) \in CF^0(L_0, L_n), \\ e &= (a, -na) \in CF^0(L_n, L_p), \\ e_0 &= (a, 0) \in CF^0(L_0, L_p) \end{aligned}$$

We want to find the coefficient of  $e_0$  in  $m_2(e, s_0)$ , i.e. we need to count holomorphic disks in  $T^2$ . All these disks lift to the universal cover  $\mathbb{C}$ , and a Maslov index calculation gives that rigid holomorphic disks are immersed. We obtain an infinite sequence of triangles  $T_m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  in the universal cover.  $T_m$  has corners at  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(a + m, -n(a + m))$ ,  $(a + m, 0)$ , and the area is  $\int_{T_m} \omega = \frac{\lambda n(a+m)^2}{2}$ . Taking holonomy on  $\partial T_m$  gives

$$(9) \quad \exp\left(2\pi i \int_{-n(a+m)}^0 b dy\right) = \exp(2\pi i n(a+m)b)$$

The  $T_m$  are regular, and doing sign calculations makes them count positively. Now,

$$(10) \quad m_2(e, s_0) = \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} T^{\lambda \frac{n}{2}(a+m)^2} e^{2\pi i n(a+m)b} \right) e_0$$

As usual, set  $T = e^{-2\pi}$  (convergence is not an issue here), i.e.  $T^\lambda = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ . Then

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp 2\pi i \left[ \frac{n\tau m^2}{2} + n(\tau a + b)m + (n\tau \frac{a^2}{2} + nab) \right] \\ = e^{\pi i n \tau a^2} e^{2\pi i n a b} \theta(n\tau, n(\tau a + b)) \end{aligned}$$

What we have computed is the composition  $\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{s_0} \mathcal{L}^n \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_z} \mathcal{O}_z$ , where  $\text{ev}_z$  is obtained by picking a trivialization of the fiber at  $z$ . Looking at the coefficient of  $e_0$  in  $m_2(e, s_k)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(12) \quad & \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp 2\pi i \left[ \frac{n\tau}{2} \left( a + m - \frac{k}{n} \right)^2 + n \left( a + m - \frac{k}{n} \right) b \right] \\
&= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp 2\pi i \left[ \frac{n\tau}{2} \left( m - \frac{k}{n} \right)^2 + n(\tau a + b) \left( m - \frac{k}{n} \right) + \frac{n\tau}{2} a^2 + nab \right] \\
&= e^{\pi i n \tau a^2} e^{2\pi i n a b} \theta \left[ 0, \frac{k}{n} \right] (n\tau, n(\tau a + b))
\end{aligned}$$

so the ratios  $\frac{s_k}{s_0}$  match.

Next, we need to multiply sections. For  $s_0^{1 \rightarrow 2} \in \text{hom}(L_1, L_2)$ ,  $s_0^{0 \rightarrow 1} \in \text{hom}(L_0, L_1)$ ,  $m_2(s_0^{1 \rightarrow 2}, s_0^{0 \rightarrow 1}) = c_0 s_0^{0 \rightarrow 2} + c_1 s_1^{0 \rightarrow 2}$  for  $s_0^{0 \rightarrow 2}, s_1^{0 \rightarrow 2} \in \text{hom}(L_0, L_2)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
(13) \quad & c_0 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T^{n^2 \lambda} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i \tau n^2} \\
& c_1 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i \tau (n + \frac{1}{2})^2}
\end{aligned}$$

This corresponds to  $\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{L}^2$ ,

$$(14) \quad \theta(\tau, z) \theta(\tau, z) = \underbrace{\theta(2\tau, 0)}_{c_0} \underbrace{\theta(2\tau, 2z)}_{s_0} + \underbrace{\theta[\frac{1}{2}, 0]}_{c_1} (2\tau, 0) \underbrace{\theta[\frac{1}{2}, 0]}_{s_1} (2\tau, 2z)$$