

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.969 Topics in Geometry: Mirror Symmetry
Spring 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.

MIRROR SYMMETRY: LECTURE 20

DENIS AUROUX

1. HOMOLOGICAL MIRROR SYMMETRY (CNTD.)

Last time, we studied homological mirror symmetry on T^2 (with area form λ) on the one hand and $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}, \tau = i\lambda$ on the other. Lagrangians of slope (p, q) with a $U(1)$ flat connection correspond to vector bundles of rank p and degree $-q$ (for $(p, q) = (0, -1)$, this gives skyscraper sheaves). We showed that m_2 corresponds to theta functions and to sections and products.

1.1. Massey Products. We consider these in the special case of a triangulated category \mathcal{D} , and consider objects and morphisms $X_1 \xrightarrow{f} X_2 \xrightarrow{g} X_3 \xrightarrow{h} X_4$ where $g \circ f = 0, h \circ g = 0$. Assume that $\text{hom}(X_1, X_3[-1]) = \text{hom}(X_2, X_4[-1]) = 0$. Then $m_3(h, g, f) \in \text{hom}(X_1, X_4[-1])$. Let K be s.t. $K \rightarrow X_2 \xrightarrow{g} X_3 \xrightarrow{[1]} K[1]$ is a distinguished triangle (i.e. $K[1] = \text{Cone}(g)$). Then $g \circ f = 0 \implies f$ factors through $X_1 \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} K \rightarrow X_2$, where $\bar{f} \in \text{hom}(X_1, K)$ comes from

$$(1) \quad \text{hom}(X_1, X_3[-1]) \rightarrow \text{hom}(X_1, K) \rightarrow \text{hom}(X_1, X_2) \xrightarrow{g} \text{hom}(X_1, X_3)$$

Similarly, $h \circ g = 0 \implies h$ factors through $X_3 \rightarrow K[1] \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} X_4$, and we define

$$(2) \quad m_3(h, g, f) := \bar{h}[-1] \circ \bar{f} : X_1 \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} K \xrightarrow{\bar{h}[-1]} X_4[-1]$$

Now, let's say that we had f, g, h in the A_∞ category of twisted complexes, $K = \{X_2 \xrightarrow{g} X_3[-1]\}$,

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & & \\ \downarrow f & & \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{g} & X_3[-1] \\ & & \downarrow h[-1] \\ & & X_4[-1] \end{array}$$

1

and $m_2^{\text{Tw}}(\bar{h}[-1], \bar{f}) = m_3(h, g, f)$. If we add an extra step

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} e = X_2 & \xrightarrow{g} & X_3[-1], \quad m_1(e) = X_1 \\ \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow 0 \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{g} & X_3[-1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \downarrow f \\ & & X_2 \longrightarrow X_3[-1] \\ & & \searrow g \\ & & X_2 \longrightarrow X_3[-1] \\ & & \downarrow h \\ & & X_4[-1] \end{array}$$

then we get

$$(5) \quad m_3(h, g, f) = m_3(h, m_1(e), f) = m_2(h, m_2(e, f)) + \text{other terms which vanish}$$

Now, let $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X^\vee$ be a nontrivial degree 0 holomorphic line bundle over an elliptic curve, p, q generic points. Then the pairwise compositions in

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O}_p \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{L}[1] \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{O}_q[1]$$

vanish, and we have

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{hom}(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{L}[1]) &= \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{L}) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_p)^\vee \\ \text{hom}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{L}[1]) &= \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{L}) \cong H^1(\mathcal{L}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then $K \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(p)$ is a degree 1 line bundle, neither $\mathcal{O}(p)$ nor $\mathcal{O}(q)$: note that $\mathcal{O}(p)$ is a degree 1 line bundle with a section $s_p, s_p^{-1}(0) = \{p\}$. Then we have a long exact sequence

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{s_p} \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_p \rightarrow 0$$

giving us an exact triangle in the derived category

$$(9) \quad K = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_p \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{L}[1] \xrightarrow{[1]} K[1]$$

via our extension class. f should factor as a map from \mathcal{O} to K , and does via a nontrivial section \bar{f} of $K = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(p)$. Moreover, for $\bar{h}[-1]$ nontrivial in $\text{hom}(K, \mathcal{O}_q)$, $\bar{h}[-1] \circ \bar{f} \neq 0$.

This matches with the calculation of m_3 for the relevant Lagrangians in the Fukaya category of T^2 : two horizontal lines and two vertical lines, bounding an infinite series of rectangles. See notes for a visual description of this.

2. STROMINGER-YAU-ZASLOW (SYZ) CONJECTURE

Motivating question: how does one build a mirror X^\vee of a given Calabi-Yau X ? Observe that homological mirror symmetry (1994) says that $D^b\text{Coh}(X^\vee) \cong D^\pi\text{Fuk}(X)$. Points $p \in X^\vee$ correspond to skyscraper sheaves $\mathcal{O}_p \in D^b\text{Coh}(X^\vee)$ and $\mathcal{L}_p \in D^\pi\text{Fuk}(X)$. That is, we can regard X^\vee as the moduli space of skyscraper sheaves in $D^b\text{Coh}(X^\vee)$ as well as a moduli space of certain objects of $D^\pi\text{Fuk}(X)$. The question reduces to understanding exactly what are these certain objects. Four lectures ago, we computed $\text{Ext}^k(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{O}_p) \cong \bigwedge^k V$ for V the tangent space at p . As a graded vector space, $\text{Ext}^*(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{O}_p) \cong H^*(T^n; \mathbb{C})$. Four lectures before that, we showed that $HF^*(L, L)$ is in good cases isomorphic to $H^*(L)$, but if L bounds disks, these are only related by a spectral sequence.

Remark. Warning: recall that in general we are dealing with Λ -coefficients. In good cases, we can set $T = e^{-2\pi}$ and hope that we have convergence. If convergence fails, we only get a formal family near LSCL.

If (optimistically) we assume \mathcal{L}_p is an actual Lagrangian, then it should be a Lagrangian torus. There are not enough of these: given $T^n \cong L \subset X$, $V(L) \cong T^*L$, one has that Lagrangian deformations of L are graphs of closed 1-forms, while Hamiltonian isotopies are graphs of exact 1-forms. Furthermore, for T^n , $\text{Def}_L \cong H^1(L, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$.

Now, recall the twisted Floer homology for pairs (L, ∇) , with ∇ a flat $U(1)$ connection on $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow L$: $\nabla = d + A$, $A \in \Omega^1(L, i\mathbb{R})$. Taking this modulo gauge transformations and exact 1-forms, we obtain $H^1(L; i\mathbb{R})$. One can hope that generic points of X^\vee parameterize isomorphism classes of (L, ∇) , $L \subset X$ a Lagrangian torus and $U(1)$ a flat connection.