

Open Problem 8.1 *Is the Unique Games conjecture true? In particular, can it be refuted by a constant degree Sum-of-squares relaxation?*

Remarkably, approximating **Max-Cut** with an approximation ratio better than α_{GW} is as hard as refuting the Unique Games Conjecture (UG-hard) [KKMO05]. More generally, if the Unique Games Conjecture is true, the semidefinite programming approach described above produces optimal approximation ratios for a large class of problems [Rag08].

Not depending on the Unique Games Conjecture, there is a NP-hardness of approximation of $\frac{16}{17}$ for **Max-Cut** [Has02].

Conjecture 8.2 *For any $\epsilon > 0$, the problem of distinguishing whether an instance of the Unique Games Problem is such that it is possible to agree with a $\geq 1 - \epsilon$ fraction of the constraints or it is not possible to even agree with a ϵ fraction of them, is NP-hard.*

There is a sub-exponential time algorithm capable of distinguishing such instances of the unique games problem [ABS10], however no polynomial time algorithm has been found so far. At the moment one of the strongest candidates to break the Unique Games Conjecture is a relaxation based on the Sum-of-squares hierarchy that we will discuss below.

References

- [ABS10] S. Arora, B. Barak, and D. Steurer. Subexponential algorithms for unique games related problems. 2010.
- [Has02] J. Hastad. Some optimal inapproximability results. 2002.
- [KKMO05] S. Khot, G. Kindler, E. Mossel, and R. O’Donnell. Optimal inapproximability results for max-cut and other 2-variable csps? 2005.
- [Rag08] P. Raghavendra. Optimal algorithms and inapproximability results for every CSP? In *Proceedings of the Fortieth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC ’08*, pages 245–254. ACM, 2008.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.S096 Topics in Mathematics of Data Science
Fall 2015

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.