

# Approximate Dynamic Programming (Via Linear Programming) For Stochastic Scheduling

Mohamed and Nelson  
2.997 Project

May 12, 2004

## Outline

- Scheduling and stochastic scheduling problems
- Problem statement and formulation as an MDP
- Reformulation into a stochastic shortest path problem
- LP approach to approximate DP - Quick review
- Main result and outline of proof
- Questions and open issues

## Scheduling Problems

- Given a set of tasks and limited resources, we need to efficiently use the resources so that a certain performance measure is optimized
- Scheduling is everywhere: manufacturing, project management, computer networks, etc.
- Almost all interesting scheduling problems are computationally intractable
- Have to settle for near-optimal or approximate solutions

## Simple Example - 2 Machines

- $n$  jobs
- Processing time of job  $i$ :  $p_i$ , deterministic
- Objective: minimize the **sum of completion times** on 2 identical parallel machines
- Number of states is exponential  $\Rightarrow$  Can't solve this problem by enumeration
- In fact, no polynomial algorithm is known
- Note that the problem is deterministic and yet remains quite hard

## Stochastic Scheduling - An example



## Stochastic Scheduling

- Many scheduling problems are plagued with uncertainties
- Stochastic scheduling problem:  $p_i$ 's follow some probability distribution
- Uncertainty  $\Rightarrow$  Larger state space

## Problem Definition

- Set of jobs  $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$
- 1 machine
- Processing time of job  $i$ : discrete probability distribution  $p_i$ 
  - $p_i$  and  $p_j$  pairwise stochastically independent for all  $i \neq j$
- The jobs have to be scheduled *nonpreemptively*
- Objective: minimize

$$\gamma \left( C^{(1)}, \dots, C^{(n)} \right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h(R_i) \left( C^{(i+1)} - C^{(i)} \right)$$

## Problem Definition - Continued

Objective: minimize

$$\gamma \left( C^{(1)}, \dots, C^{(n)} \right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h \left( R_i \right) \left( C^{(i+1)} - C^{(i)} \right)$$

$C^{(i)}$  = time of the  $i$ th job completion,  $C^{(0)} = 0$

$R_i$  = set of jobs remaining to be processed at the time of the  $i$ th job completion

$h$  is a set function such that  $h(\emptyset) = 0$

Such an objective function is said to be *additive*.

## MDP formulation

- Finite horizon, finite state space
- State of the system:

$$x = (C_{max}(x), R_x) \in \mathcal{S}$$

$C_{max}(x)$  is the completion time of the last job completed

$R_x$  is the set of jobs remaining to be scheduled at state  $x$

Note that the size of the state space is **exponential** in the number of jobs.

- Action at state  $x$  is the next job to be processed:  $a \in \mathcal{A}_x \subset R_x$

## MDP formulation - Continued

- Time stage costs:

$$g_a(x, y) = \frac{1}{n} h(R_x) (C_{max}(y) - C_{max}(x)).$$

- Transition probabilities:

$$P_a(x, y) = \begin{cases} p_a(t) & \text{if } R_y = R_x \setminus \{a\} \text{ and } C_{max}(y) = C_{max}(x) + t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

## MDP formulation - Continued

- Solve for the finite-horizon cost-to-go function

$$J^*(x, n) = 0$$

$$J^*(x, t) = \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}_x} \left\{ \sum_{y \in \mathcal{S}} P_a(x, y) (g_a(x, y) + J^*(y, t + 1)) \right\}, \quad t = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$$

- Exponential state space  $\Rightarrow$  exact DP hopeless
- Approximate DP methods consider infinite horizon problems  
 $\Rightarrow$  Recast our problem as stochastic shortest path problem

## Reformulation into SSP

- Introduce a **terminating** state  $\bar{x}$

Only states with  $R_x = \emptyset$  can reach  $\bar{x}$  in one step

- Transition probabilities involving  $\bar{x}$ :

$$P_a(x, \bar{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \forall x \text{ such that } R_x = \emptyset \\ 1 & \text{if } x = \bar{x} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Time-stage costs involving  $\bar{x}$ :

$$g_a(x, \bar{x}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \forall x \text{ such that } R_x = \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{if } x = \bar{x}. \end{cases}$$

## Reformulation into SSP - Continued

- Cost-to-go function for SSP formulation:

$$J^*(x) = \min_u E \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{T(x)} g_u(x_t, x_{t+1}) \mid x_0 = x \right].$$

$T(x)$  = time stage when the system reaches the terminating state

- Every policy reaches the terminating state in a finite number of steps with probability 1
- $\Rightarrow$  The cost-to-go function for the SSP problem is the unique solution to Bellman's equation

## Approximate DP Via ALP

- Exact linear program (ELP):

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & c^T J \quad (c > 0) \\ \text{subject to} & TJ \geq J \end{array}$$

- Approximate linear program (ALP):

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & c^T \Phi r \quad (c > 0) \\ \text{subject to} & T\Phi r \geq \Phi r \end{array}$$

- $\tilde{r}$  is optimal solution to ALP  $\Rightarrow$  obtain a (hopefully) good policy by using the greedy policy with respect to  $\Phi\tilde{r}$

## Approximate DP Via ALP - Continued

Error bound for the ALP approach for discounted cost problems:

**Theorem 1.** (de Farias and Van Roy 2003) Let  $\tilde{r}$  be a solution of the approximate LP. Then, for any  $v \in \mathbb{R}^K$  such that  $(\Phi v)(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\alpha H\Phi v < \Phi v$ ,

$$\|J^* - \Phi\tilde{r}\|_{1,c} \leq \frac{2c^T \Phi v}{1 - \beta_{\Phi v}} \min_r \|J^* - \Phi r\|_{\infty, 1/\Phi v}$$

where

$$(H\Phi v)(x) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}_x} \left\{ \sum_{y \in \mathcal{S}} P_a(x, y) (\Phi v)(y) \right\}$$

and

$$\beta_{\Phi v} = \max_x \frac{\alpha (H\Phi v)(x)}{(\Phi v)(x)}.$$

## Relaxed Stochastic Shortest Path Problem

- Relaxation: introduce discount factor  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  at each time stage
- Call this formulation the  *$\alpha$ -relaxed SSP formulation*
- Cost-to-go function for relaxed formulation:

$$J^{*,\alpha}(x) = \min_u E \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{T(x)} \alpha^t g_u(x_t, x_{t+1}) \mid x_0 = x \right]$$

- For this relaxation, we show that the error of the ALP solution is uniformly bounded over the number of jobs to be scheduled.

## Main Result

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that the holding cost  $h(S) \leq M$  for all subsets  $S$  of  $N$ . Let  $\tilde{r}$  be the ALP solution to the  $\alpha$ -relaxed SSP formulation of the stochastic scheduling problem. For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,*

$$\|J^{*,\alpha} - \Phi\tilde{r}\|_{1,c} \leq \frac{2M \max_{i \in N} E[p_i]}{1 - \alpha}.$$

- The error is **uniformly bounded** over the number of jobs
- How **amazing** is that?

## Outline of Proof

The cost-to-go function is

$$J^{*,\alpha}(x) = \min_u E \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{T(x)} \alpha^t g_{u(x_t)}(x_t, x_{t+1}) \mid x_0 = x \right]$$

where

$$g(x_t, x_{t+1}) = \frac{1}{n} h(R_x) (C_{max}(x_{t+1}) - C_{max}(x_t))$$

Recall  $h$  is bounded from above by  $M$ . After some algebraic manipulation, this quantity is found to be

$$\leq \frac{M}{n} \sum_{i \in R_x} E[p_i]$$

## Outline of Proof - Continued

Let

$$V(x) = \frac{k}{n} \sum_{i \in R_x} E[p_i]$$

$V$  is a **Lyapunov function**

$\exists \beta < 1$  independent of  $n$  such that  $\alpha HV \leq \beta V$

Also,

$$\min_r \|J^{*,\alpha} - \Phi r\|_{\infty, 1/V} \leq \frac{M}{k}$$

## Outline of Proof - Continued

Consider  $c^T V$ ,  $c$  some probability distribution over  $\mathcal{S}$

We have the following uniform bound

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} c(x) V(x) \leq k \max_{i \in N} E[p_i]$$

Combining these results,

$$\|J^{*,\alpha} - \Phi \tilde{r}\|_{1,c} \leq \frac{2M \max_{i \in N} E[p_i]}{1 - \alpha}$$

## Conclusions and Remarks

- ALP approach has an error bound for our relaxed stochastic scheduling problem that does not grow with the number of jobs to be scheduled
- What about  $\alpha = 1$ ? (original SSP formulation)
- Multiple machines?
- Computational experiments: how does ALP perform in practice?

Questions?